March 31, 2011

Hon. Charles E. Grassley
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Re: Potential FBI Abuses of Expiring PATRIOT Act Authorities

Dear Sen. Grassley:

During yesterday’s Judiciary Committee hearing on oversight of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, you asked FBI Director Mueller whether any of the three expiring provisions of the PATRIOT Act have been the subject of any findings of abuse. Mr. Mueller responded that he is not aware of any such findings.

I am writing to share with you documents that the Electronic Frontier Foundation has obtained as a result of pending Freedom of Information Act litigation; documents that seem to contradict Mr. Mueller’s response to your question. Our FOIA request sought information from the Bureau concerning the expiring PATRIOT Act provisions, and specifically covered:

[A]ll records discussing or reflecting any instances in which the use of the authorities granted in the three provisions were deemed to be possibly unlawful or contrary to Executive order or Presidential directive, including but not limited to matters that were considered for referral to the Intelligence Oversight Board [“IOB”].

In response, the Bureau released several internal documents relating to potential reports to the IOB. Although these documents are heavily redacted, and it is thus difficult to ascertain the substance of these potential “IOB matters,” the FBI deemed them to be responsive to our FOIA request for information concerning the expiring PATRIOT Act provisions. Further, some of the redacted records indicate that they relate to “roving authority” and “over collection of FISA derived information.” It thus seems clear that these documents are relevant to the question you raised with Mr. Mueller and, indeed, they suggest that there have been instances in which potential abuses of the expiring provisions were flagged within the Bureau.

Given the FBI’s unwillingness to make public the attached documents in anything other than heavily-redacted form, and because these records appear to raise questions concerning the accuracy of Mr. Mueller’s response to your query, I urge you to pursue
this issue with the Bureau and ascertain whether there have, in fact, been instances in which the expiring PATRIOT Act provisions have been abused or improperly applied.

Please feel free to have your staff contact me at 202-797-9009 if EFF can provide additional information or otherwise be of assistance.

Sincerely

David L. Sobel
Senior Counsel

cc: Hon. Patrick J. Leahy
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Synopsis: REPORT OF POTENTIAL IOB MATTER

Derived From: FBI NSISCG-20080301

Declassify On: 20340805
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Patriot Act-538
To: General Counsel  From:  
Re:  08/05/2009

(U) 1. Full Initiated: 11/24/2009
(U) 2. Case Agent: SA
(U) 3. Supervisor: SSA

(S) 5. Possible IOB error

(U) 6. Description of the error:

on at approximately

Patriot Act-539
To: General Counsel     From:  
Re:  
08/05/2009  

In addition, a co-case agent will verify final packages.
Great. Just as a quick follow-up with respect to the overproduction in response to an NSL.

Status: No opinion yet by OGC/NSLB, but after reviewing it, is will almost definitely not be reported to the IOB, and in fact, is no longer considered a matter that must be reported to OGC/NSLB as a potential IOB matter. However, this type of NSL overproduction must be reported to OGC/NSLB for tracking purposes.

Status: Opinion dated 02/09/2006, 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO serial 1168, reported to the IOB.

Status: Opinion dated 09/21/2007, 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO serial 4735, not reported to the IOB.

This is exactly what I was looking for. Thank you very much for putting this together.

and searched ACS (Case Identification Number 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO where reports of potential IOB matters and OGC/NSLB's IOB decisions are located) and all of the IOB databases. Based upon their search, the following information was found:


Patriot Act-548
Report of potential IOB, 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO, serial 648; IOB Opinion, 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO serial 1068

2. Potential IOB Matter 2008-1178
Report of potential IOB, 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO, serial 7089
IOB Opinion: no opinion by OGC/NSLB yet

Please let me know if there is any other information that you need.

From: (CTO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 17, 2009 10:34 AM
To: (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: IOB database

SECRET
RECORD 415-HQ

Hello,

suggested I contact you as I am working on a tasking due today to determine if there have been any IOB violations of these cases. These cases had roving wiretap authority and the Director's office wants to know if any IOB violations have been associated with the cases in using it. The cases are:

Case is currently in but the authority was used when the case was in

Patriot Act-549
From: (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 1:46 PM
To: (OGC) (FBI); SIEGEL, STEVEN N. (OGC) (FBI);
Cc: (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Roving Authority Issue
Attachments: 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO-8121[1].EC.WPD

Attached is the only EC from [Blank] that hit in both ACS and Sentinel searches from 4/17-present. The IOB number assigned is: IOB # 1668 and assigned to [Blank].

This communication contains privileged and confidential attorney work product/attorney client information.

From: (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2009 1:28 PM
To: SIEGEL, STEVEN N. (OGC) (FBI); [OGC] (FBI)
Cc: (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Roving Authority Issue
Importance: High

Steve,

Valerie asked if we were aware of an instance where we used roving FISA authority and erroneously "roved" onto a facility that was NCT being used by our target.

[Blank] and [Blank] had looked into this issue in April 2009. As you can see by the email chain below, there were NO reports where we erroneously "roved" onto a facility that was not the target.

[Blank] is checking now to determine whether we had any reports from April 2009 to present.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
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Case ID #: 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO (Pending)

Title: REPORTING OF POTENTIAL IOB VIOLATION: OVER COLLECTION OF FISA DERIVED INFORMATION

Synopsis: To report a potential Intelligence Oversight Board (PIOB) matter relative to the over collection of FISA derived information.

 Derived From: G-1
 Declassify On: 04/22/2034

Reference: 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO Serial 5693 and serial 3726.

Details: This EC advises OGC/NSLB of a PIOB violation involving the over collection of FISA derived information pursuant to an FBI Division Resident Agency (RA).

The RA case agent assigned to this investigation is SA and the SSA is .

Patriot Act-761
SECRET//NOFORN

To: General Counsel From: [Redacted]

Re: [Redacted] 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO, 04/22/2009

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Patriot Act-762
To: General Counsel  From: 

(U) Re: 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO, 04/22/2009

LEAD(s):
Set Lead 1: (Action)

GENERAL COUNSEL

AT WASHINGTON, DC

(U) To report a potential Intelligence Oversight Board (PIOB) matter relative to the over collection of FISA-related information.

★★

SECRET//NOFORN

Patriot Act-764