

EXHIBIT S



U.S. Department of Justice

National Security Division

Washington, D.C. 20530

JAN 29 2008

Marcia Hofmann
Electronic Frontier Foundation
454 Shotwell Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

Re: FOIA/PA # 08-060

Dear Ms. Hofmann:

This is in further reference to your Freedom of Information Act request for access to "all records from September 1, 2007 to the present concerning briefings, discussions, or other exchanges that Justice Department officials have had with 1) members of the Senate or House of Representatives and 2) representatives or agents of telecommunications companies concerning amendments to FISA," et al. You also requested expedited processing of your request, and it has been granted. We have initiated a search for responsive records within all components of the National Security Division, and will notify you once our search is completed. If you have any questions concerning your request, feel free to contact me on (202) 353-3092. Thank you in advance for your continuing patience.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Theresa Crosland".

Theresa Crosland
FOIA Public Liaison

EXHIBIT T

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

ELEC. FRONTIER FOUND.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPT' OF JUSTICE,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 07-0656 (JDB)

ORDER

Plaintiff Electronic Frontier Foundation ("EFF") seeks a preliminary injunction that would require defendant United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") to process plaintiff's Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") request within twenty days and provide a Vaughn index ten days later. The FOIA request, submitted on March 12, 2007, is for records relating to the use of National Security Letters ("NSLs") by the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"). Expedited processing of the request was sought, see 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d)(1)(iv), and on March 30, 2007, the FBI informed plaintiff that expedited processing had been granted because of the exceptional media interest involving issues of government integrity relating to a report by the DOJ Inspector General on the FBI's use of NSLs.*

Dissatisfied with the pace of the expedited processing, plaintiff filed this action and its motion for a preliminary injunction on April 10, 2007. After full briefing of the motion, the

*Indeed, recent news reports concerning an ongoing internal FBI investigation into NSL abuses confirms that there is a continuing high level of public interest in this issue. See Pl.'s Suppl. Mem. in Support of Pl.'s Proposal for a FOIA Production Schedule.

Court held a conference with the parties on May 21, 2007, at which it was clear that although there remained some distance between the two sides, DOJ was expediting its processing of this request ahead of all but two other FOIA requests pending at the FBI, and EFF no longer realistically expected the degree of expedition originally sought in its motion for a preliminary injunction. The Court therefore ordered the parties to meet and confer on scheduling and to submit a joint, if possible, scheduling proposal or, more likely, competing proposals -- which the Court has now received from each side. The Court now resolves EFF's pending motion and sets a processing schedule in light of the parties' competing proposals.

1. Expedited processing is underway at the FBI, based on the statutory directive that agencies must "process as soon as practicable any request for records to which [they have] granted expedited processing." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(iii) (emphasis added); see also 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d)(4) ("If a request for expedited processing is granted, the request shall be given priority and should be processed as soon as practicable."). The pace and status of that expedited processing of EFF's request is described in two detailed declarations from David M. Hardy, the responsible FOIA official at the FBI. As he explains, the volume of potentially responsive material is extensive (estimated at well over 100,000 pages), and the FBI's expedited processing is extraordinary (at least ten full-time employees assigned exclusively to this request), but nonetheless the search for records will not even be completed until August 24, 2007. See Apr. 24, 2007, Decl. of David M. Hardy ¶¶ 26-28; May 25, 2007, Second Decl. of David M. Hardy ¶¶ 7-12. DOJ therefore proposes a rolling basis for processing under the following schedule: the first response/release 45 days from this scheduling order; further responses/releases at 30-day intervals; 2000 pages processed every 30 days; the search for records completed by August 24,

2007; a report to the Court regarding the completion of processing on August 24, 2007; and a Vaughn index and briefing schedule delayed until after that time. See Def.'s Notice of Filing of Proposed Scheduling Order and Second Decl. of David M. Hardy at 2. EFF counters with a somewhat more expedited processing proposal, also on a rolling basis: the first response/release 20 days from this scheduling order; further responses/releases at 15-day intervals; 1500 pages processed every 15 days; (presumably) a report to the Court when processing is complete; a Vaughn index 15 days after processing is complete; and DOJ's motion for summary judgment 30 days thereafter. See Notice of Filing of Pl.'s Proposal for a FOIA Production Schedule at 3-4.

2. Some courts have used the preliminary injunction vehicle to order expedition of the processing of FOIA requests, most often where the agency has denied expedition. See Elec. Privacy Info. Ctr. v. Dep't of Justice, 416 F. Supp. 2d 30, 42 (D.D.C. 2006); Am. Civil Liberties Union v. Dep't of Defense, 339 F. Supp. 2d 501, 503 (S.D.N.Y. 2004); see also, e.g., Aguilera v. FBI, 941 F. Supp. 144, 152-53 (D.D.C. 1996) (reviewing agency's decision to deny expedition); Cleaver v. Kelley, 427 F. Supp. 80, 81-82 (D.D.C. 1976) (same). Other courts have declined to employ preliminary injunctions, finding them generally inappropriate in FOIA settings. See Elec. Privacy Info. Ctr. v. Dep't of Justice, No. 03-cv-2078, slip op. at 1-2 (D.D.C. Oct. 20, 2003), vacated as moot, 2004 WL 2713119 (D.C. Cir. 2004); Judicial Watch v. Dep't of Justice, No. 00-cv-1396, slip op. at 1-2 (D.D.C. June 27, 2000); see also, e.g., Al-Fayed v. CIA, No. 00-cv-2092, 2000 WL 34342564, at *6 (D.D.C. Sept. 20, 2000) (denying preliminary injunction filed after agency denied expedited processing); Assassination Archives & Research Ctr., Inc. v. CIA, No. 88-cv-2600, 1988 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18606, at *1 (D.D.C. Sept. 29, 1988) (same). Certainly, the vehicle of a preliminary injunction motion is an imperfect means to address what

is, in essence, a scheduling issue. Moreover, the possibility of overuse, or even abuse, of preliminary injunction requests in the FOIA scheduling context is obvious. Nonetheless, where a plaintiff contends in good faith that an agency has failed to expedite processing of a FOIA request in accordance with statute or regulation -- as seems to be the case here -- the availability of an order that effectively is an injunction, preliminary or otherwise, should not be foreclosed.

3. Here, the Court concludes that it need not grapple with and resolve issues of the propriety of a preliminary injunction under the traditional four-factor test. The FBI has granted expedited processing, the parties have now proposed competing but parallel expedited processing schedules that are not dramatically different, and the Court's task boils down to assessing which proposed schedule better comports with the "as soon as practicable" statutory and regulatory standard under the circumstances reflected in the record. Upon consideration of the parties' proposals, the Hardy declarations, and that standard, the Court concludes that a schedule that is more expedited than DOJ requests, but not quite as expedited as EFF's latest proposal, is warranted under the circumstances.

4. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART**, and the following schedule is **ORDERED** for processing EFF's March 12, 2007, request:

- a. processing, and resulting responses and releases, shall be on a "rolling basis" as agreed by EFF and DOJ;
- b. DOJ and the FBI shall provide the first response/release within 20 days from this Order -- i.e., by not later than July 5, 2007 -- in light of the time that has already passed since filing of the Second Hardy Declaration and the parties' scheduling proposals;

- c. subsequent responses/releases shall be provided every 30 days, given that the preparation of a response every 15 days (as suggested by EFF) would be inefficient and unduly burdensome;
- d. the FBI shall process 2500 pages every 30 days;
- e. the search for responsive records shall be completed by August 10, 2007, given the somewhat lower volume of potentially responsive records reflected in the representations to the Court since the first Hardy Declaration and the slightly faster pace of processing the Court is requiring;
- f. DOJ shall file a report regarding the completion of the search for responsive records and the status of the ongoing processing of records by not later than August 14, 2007;
- g. the parties shall, by not later than August 20, 2007, meet and confer regarding the completion of processing, the provision of a Vaughn index, and a summary-judgment briefing schedule; and
- h. the parties shall file a joint scheduling proposal, or competing proposals if they cannot agree, by not later than August 24, 2007.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ John D. Bates
JOHN D. BATES
United States District Judge

Dated: June 15, 2007

EXHIBIT U

Director of National Intelligence

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT ANNUAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR 2007

[This report contains information in the format specified in Department of Justice guidance to the EFOIA. Text in *italics* is the information provided in response to specified headings.]

I. Basic Information Regarding Report

A. Name, title, address, and telephone number of person to be contacted with questions about the report.

*Mr. John F. Hackett
Director, Information Management Office
Office of the Director of National Intelligence
Washington D.C. 20511
(703) 482-3610*

B. Electronic address for report on the World Wide Web.

An electronic copy of this report will be posted to www.dni.gov

C. How to obtain a copy of the report in paper form.

Write to the above address.

II. How to Make a FOIA Request

Submit a written request to the mail address above. Transmission via facsimile also is acceptable - (703) 482-2144. FOIA requests may also be submitted electronically to FOIA@dni.gov.

A. Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of all individual agency components and offices that receive FOIA requests.

The mailing address in section I. A., above, is the single, central office which receives all FOIA requests for the Director of National Intelligence. However, FOIA requests received by components of the ODNI are referred to Mr. Hackett.

B. Brief description of the agency's response-time ranges.

For those FOIA cases closed between 10/01/2006 – 09/30/2007, 80% were closed within 0.31 years; median response time was 0.11 years; average response time was 0.23 years.

For those Privacy Act cases between 10/01/2006 – 09/30/2007, 80 % were closed within 0.43 years; median response time was 0.27 years; average response time was 0.31 years.

C. Brief description of why some requests are not granted.

ODNI consistently protects, among other things, classified national security information and information relating to intelligence sources and methods, from release under the FOIA. In addition, ODNI frequently receives requests for records which can not be accepted and processed because they predate the creation of the ODNI in April, 2005. When possible, ODNI advises the requester regarding where a request for such records should be directed.

III. Definitions of Terms and Acronyms Used in the Report

A. Agency-specific acronyms or other terms.

ODNI: Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

B. Basic terms, expressed in common terminology.

1. FOIA/PA Request – Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act request. A FOIA request is generally a request for access to records concerning a third party, an organization, or a particular topic of interest. A Privacy Act request is a request for records concerning oneself; such requests are also treated as FOIA requests. (All requests for access to records, regardless of which law is cited by the requester, are included in this report.)

2. Initial Request – a request to a federal agency for access to records under the Freedom of Information Act.

3. Appeal – a request to a federal agency asking that it review at a higher administrative level a full denial or partial denial of access to records under the Freedom of Information Act, or any other FOIA determination such as a matter pertaining to fees.

4. Processed Request or Appeal – a request or appeal for which an agency has taken a final action on the request or the appeal in all respects.

5. Multi-track Processing – a system in which simple requests requiring relatively minimal review are placed in one processing track and more voluminous and

complex requests are placed in one or more other tracks. Requests in each track are processed on a first-in/first-out basis. A requester who has an urgent need for records may request expedited processing (see below).

6. Expedited Processing – an agency will process a FOIA request on an expedited basis when a requester has shown an exceptional need or urgency for the records which warrants prioritization of his or her request over other requests that were made earlier.

7. Simple Request – a FOIA request that an agency using multi-track processing places in its fastest (non-expedited) track based on the volume and/or simplicity of records requested.

8. Complex Request – a FOIA request that an agency using multi-track processing places in a slower track based on the volume and/or complexity of records requested.

9. Grant – an agency decision to disclose all records in full in response to a FOIA request.

10. Partial Grant – an agency decision to disclose a record in part in response to a FOIA request, deleting information determined to be exempt under one or more of the FOIA exemptions; or a decision to disclose some records in their entirety, but to withhold others in whole or in part.

11. Denial – an agency decision not to release any part of a record or records in response to a FOIA request because all the information in the requested records is determined by the agency to be exempt under one or more of the FOIA's exemptions, or for some procedural reason (such as because no record is located in response to a FOIA request).

12. Time Limits – the time period in the Freedom of Information Act for an agency to respond to a FOIA request (ordinarily 20 working days from proper receipt of a “perfected” FOIA request).

13. “Perfected” Request – a FOIA request for records which adequately describes the records sought, which has been received by the FOIA office of the agency or agency component in possession of the records, and for which there is no remaining question about the payment of applicable fees.

14. Exemption 3 Statute – a separate federal statute prohibiting the disclosure of a certain type of information and authorizing its withholding under FOIA subsection (b)(3).

15. Median Number – the middle, not average, number. For example, of 3, 7, and 14, the median number is 7.

16. Average Number – the number obtained by dividing the sum of a group of numbers by the quantity of numbers in the group. For example, of 3, 7, and 14, the average number is 8.

IV. Exemption 3 Statutes

List of Exemption 3 Statutes relied on by agency during current fiscal year.

(1) *Section 102A(i) of the National Security Act of 1947, as amended, codified at 50 U.S.C.A. § 403-1(i).*

1. Brief description of type(s) of information withheld under each statute.

Information that would reveal intelligence sources and methods was withheld pursuant to the National Security Act of 1947.

2. Statement of whether a court has upheld the use of each statute. If so, cite example.

Courts have upheld the use of the following statute:

CIA v. Sims, 471 U.S. 159 (1985), National Security Act of 1947.

See “U.S. Department of Justice Freedom of Information Act Guide and Privacy Act Overview” for additional examples.

V. Initial FOIA/PA Access Requests

A. Numbers of initial requests.

1. Requests pending as of end of preceding year: 24
2. Requests received during current fiscal year: 103
3. Requests processed during current fiscal year: 77
4. Requests pending as of end of current fiscal year: 50

B. Disposition of initial requests.

1. Number of total grants: 7
2. Number of partial grants: 3

3. Number of denials: 10

Number of times each FOIA exemption used (counting each exemption once per request):

- (1) Exemption 1: 3
- (2) Exemption 2: 2
- (3) Exemption 3: 1
- (4) Exemption 4: 1
- (5) Exemption 5: 3
- (6) Exemption 6: 4
- (7) Exemption 7(a): 0
- (8) Exemption 7(b): 0
- (9) Exemption 7(c): 0
- (10) Exemption 7(d): 0
- (11) Exemption 7(e): 0
- (12) Exemption 7(f): 0
- (13) Exemption 8: 0
- (14) Exemption 9: 0

4. Other reasons for nondisclosure (total):

- a. no records: 17
- b. referrals: 18
- c. request withdrawn: 0
- d. fee-related reason: *N/A*
- e. records not reasonably described: 0

- f. not a proper FOIA request for some other reason: 0
- g. not an agency record: 0
- h. duplicate request: 0
- i. other: *Cancellations*: 22

VI. Appeals of Initial Denials of FOIA/PA Requests

A. Numbers of appeals.

- 1. Number of appeals received during fiscal year: 4
- 2. Number of appeals processed during fiscal year: 1

B. Disposition of appeals.

- 1. Number completely upheld: 0
- 2. Number partially reversed: 0
- 3. Number completely reversed: 0

Number of times each FOIA exemption used (counting each exemption once per appeal): 0

- (1) Exemption 1: *N/A*
- (2) Exemption 2: *N/A*
- (3) Exemption 3: *N/A*
- (4) Exemption 4: *N/A*
- (5) Exemption 5: *N/A*
- (6) Exemption 6: *N/A*
- (7) Exemption 7(A): *N/A*
- (8) Exemption 7(B): *N/A*
- (9) Exemption 7(C): *N/A*
- (10) Exemption 7(D): *N/A*

(11) Exemption 7(E): *N/A*

(12) Exemption 7(F): *N/A*

(13) Exemption 8: *N/A*

(14) Exemption 9: *N/A*

4. Other reasons for nondisclosure (total): 1

a. no records: *1*

b. referrals: *N/A*

c. request withdrawn: *N/A*

d. fee-related reason: *N/A*

e. records not reasonably described: *N/A*

f. not a proper FOIA request for some other reason: *N/A*

g. not an agency record: *N/A*

h. duplicate request: *N/A*

i. other: *N/A*

VII. Compliance With Time Limits/Status of Pending Requests

A. Median processing time for requests processed during the year.

1. Simple requests.

a. number of requests processed: *20*

b. median number of days to process: *8*

2. Complex request.

a. number of requests processed: *55*

b. median number of days to process: *81*

3. Requests accorded expedited processing. *2*

- a. number of requests processed: 0
- b. median number of days to process: *N/A*

B. Status of pending requests.

- 1. Requests pending as of end of current fiscal year: 50
- 2. Median number of days that such requests were pending as of that date: 81

VIII. Comparisons With Previous Year(s)

- A. Comparison of number of requests received: *103 in FY'07 vs. 44 in FY'06*
- B. Comparison of number of requests processed: *77 in FY'07 vs. 20 in FY'06*
- C. Comparison of median numbers of days requests were pending as of end of fiscal year: *FOIA – 75; PA - 82*
- D. Other statistics significant to agency: *N/A*

IX. Costs/FOIA Staffing

A. Staffing levels.

- 1. Number of full-time FOIA personnel: *.33*
- 2. Number of personnel with part-time or occasional FOIA duties (estimated FTE): *.46*
- 3. Total estimated number of personnel (FTE): *.79*

B. Total estimated costs (including staff and all resources).

- 1. FOIA processing (including appeals): *\$81,922*
- 2. Litigation-related activities: *\$0*
- 3. Total estimated costs: *\$81,922*

C. Statement of additional resources needed for FOIA compliance (optional)

- 1. ODNI published its final FOIA Regulations to the Federal Register in

August 2007 and currently has a draft of its Privacy Act Regulations available for comment in the Federal Register. Total estimated costs for the development of these publications was \$7,505.

X. Fees

A. Total amount of fees collected by agency for processing requests: *\$0.00*

B. Percentage of total costs: *N/A*

XI. FOIA Regulations (Including Fee Schedule)

The ODNI began operations when Ambassador John D. Negroponte was confirmed as the first Director of National Intelligence and sworn in on 21 April 2005. Final FOIA Regulations were published in the Federal Register, 32 CFR, Chapter XVII, in August 2007.

XII. Report on FOIA Executive Order Implementation

The Office of the Director of National Intelligence made significant progress improving its FOIA processes and procedures as required by EO 13392, *Improving Agency Disclosure of Information*. During FY07, the ODNI received a surge of FOIA and Privacy Act requests. Despite this increase, the FOIA office, with a small staff, was able to implement the planned improvements that were submitted in June 2006.

A. Description of supplementation/modification of agency improvement plan (if applicable)

Not applicable

B. Report on the ODNI's implementation of its FOIA Improvement Plan

The ODNI's FOIA Improvement Plan focused on three areas of improvement, *Affirmative and Proactive Disclosures; Overall FOIA Web Site Improvements; and the Centralization of the FOIA Process*. During the reporting period the Office was able to meet all of its milestones in its improvement areas.

Affirmative and Proactive Disclosure

The ODNI met and completed all milestones in this improvement area including preliminary review of current materials to be posted on its public website and began routine posting of materials of interest to the public. Just as it did previously, during this reporting period, the Office increased content to its public website and to the websites of its components.

Overall web site Improvements

During this reporting period, standards for producing public website content were promulgated through the Office by the ODNI's Public Affairs staff. The Office continues to work on internal final policies regarding technology standards for the creation, formatting, and maintenance of new ODNI websites. We consistently review our FOIA website for formatting, fonts and navigation and have made changes as necessary to make the web page more customer-friendly. In addition, we have ensured that each of our component offices have a clear link to our FOIA web page for easy navigation.

Centralization of the FOIA Process

The ODNI has now met its milestones in the *Centralization of the FOIA Process* improvement area. Final FOIA regulations were published in the Federal Register on August 16, 2007. A FOIA Handbook was completed and posted to the ODNI website and an internal instruction on the FOIA and PA was finalized.

C. Identification and discussion of any deficiency in meeting plan milestones

At this time, ODNI has met its milestones as outlined in its FOIA Improvement Plan as required by EO 13392, *Improving Agency Disclosure of Information*.

D. Other Executive Order Activities

During the reporting period, the office witnessed a surge in FOIA requests due to the public's interest in the ODNI's work. To respond to requests as quickly as possible, the office acknowledged, clarified and responded to requests by telephone, e-mail, and fax in addition to US mail. The Office also posted items of high interest to its web site as quickly as possible, including DNI statements and speeches. The ODNI also continues to work with the CIA, the ODNI's outsource provider, to streamline processes and procedures to better provide service to the public.

E. Concise Descriptions of FOIA Exemptions

- (b)(1) exempts from disclosure material properly classified, pursuant to an Executive Order, because it is related to matters of national defense or foreign policy;
- (b)(2) exempts from disclosure information which pertains solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of the Agency;
- (b)(3) exempts from disclosure materials that another federal statute protects, provided that the other federal statute either requires that the matters be withheld, or establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld
- (b)(4) exempts from disclosure trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person that is privileged or confidential ;

- (b)(5) exempts from disclosure inter-and intra-agency communications that are protected by certain legal privileges;
- (b)(6) exempts from disclosure material that would be an unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of other individuals;
- (b)(7) exempts from disclosure law enforcement investigatory records that, if released, would either (A) interfere with enforcement proceedings, (B) deprive a person of the right to a fair trial; (C) be an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; (D) identify a confidential source; (E) reveal investigative techniques and procedures; or (F) endanger someone's life or physical safety.
- (b)(8) exempts from disclosure information contained in reports or examinations of an agency responsible for regulating or supervising banks, savings and loans, or other financial institutions.
- (b)(9) exempts from disclosure information about wells.

F. Additional Statistics:

1. Ten Oldest Pending FOIA Requests

Calendar Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Requests	0	0	0	0	0	0	Feb 21 Apr 21 Jul 13 Aug 10 Oct 2 Oct 2 Nov 11 Dec 13	Jan 3 Jan 26

2. Consultations

a. Number of Consultations Received, Processed, and Pending

Consultations Received From Other Agencies During FY07	Consultations Received From Other Agencies That Were Processed by Your Agency During FY07 (includes those received prior to FY07)	Consultations Received From Other Agencies That Were Pending At Your Agency as of October 1, 2007 (includes those received prior to FY07)
7	7	0

b. Ten Oldest Pending Consultations Received From Other Agencies

Calendar Year	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Consults Recieved	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. Agency Improvement Plan

A copy of the ODNI's FOIA Improvement Plan can be found at www.DNI.gov/FOIA_Review.pdf.

EXHIBIT V

VII. COMPLIANCE WITH TIME LIMITS/STATUS OF PENDING REQUESTS
A. Median Processing Time for Requests Processed During the Year

	SIMPLE REQUESTS		COMPLEX REQUESTS		REQUESTS ACCORDED EXPEDITED PROCESSING	
	NUMBER OF REQUESTS PROCESSED	MEDIAN NUMBER OF DAYS TO PROCESS	NUMBER OF REQUESTS PROCESSED	MEDIAN NUMBER OF DAYS TO PROCESS	NUMBER OF REQUESTS PROCESSED	MEDIAN NUMBER OF DAYS TO PROCESS
Office of the AG	210	49	45	717	12	179
Office of the DAG	99	90	33	819	8	193
Office of the Assoc. AG	35	34	6	483	2	288
Antitrust	105	19	38	476	2	10
ATF	1,567	8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
BOP	14,441	13	571	36	18	2
Civil	n/a	n/a	357	22	2	27
Civil Rights	565	5	6	59	0	0
CRS	13	10	0	n/a	0	n/a
Criminal	n/a	n/a	1,166	31	1	10
DEA	n/a	n/a	1,568	42	n/a	n/a
ENRD	n/a	n/a	136	43	0	n/a
EOIR	10,573	17	1,249	51	20	20
EOUSA	3,999	175	n/a	n/a	26	363
EOUST	n/a	n/a	31	31	0	n/a
FBI	*	*	*	*	27	64
FCSC	10	1	0	0	0	0
JMD	385	n/a	2	21	0	0
NDIC	40	19	0	0	0	0
COPS	45	7	0	n/a	0	n/a
ODR	12	2.5	0	n/a	0	n/a
OFDT	36	7	2	85	0	n/a
OIP	416	17	8	660	1	45
OIG	202	8.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NSD	121	6	25	77	3	23
OIPL	11	52	1	39	0	0
OJP	336	12	113	45	0	0
OLC	50	10	16	60	0	0
OLP	108	58	8	483	2	159
OLA	41	131	11	289	8	88
Pardon Attorney	60	4	0	0	0	0
OPR	57	15	6	421	1	14
Public Affairs	23	26	4	633	2	167
OSG	105	60	0	n/a	40	10
OVW	33	17	0	0	0	0
PRAO	13	13	0	n/a	0	n/a
Tax	178	8	3	30	0	0

USMS	1,073	7	11	63	7	3
USNCB	166	10	13	20	1	3
USPC	0	0	867	5	0	0
Totals	35,128	n/a	6,296	n/a	183	n/a

*The FBI maintains three tracks for requests:
Small requests/Median days -- 11,870/8;
Medium requests/Median days 360/268;
Large requests/Median days -- 52/484

VII. COMPLIANCE WITH TIME LIMITS/STATUS OF PENDING REQUESTS

B. Status of Pending Requests

	SIMPLE REQUESTS		COMPLEX REQUESTS		REQUESTS ACCORDED EXPEDITED PROCESSING	
	NUMBER OF REQUESTS PENDING	MEDIAN NUMBER OF DAYS PENDING	NUMBER OF REQUESTS PENDING	MEDIAN NUMBER OF DAYS PENDING	NUMBER OF REQUESTS PENDING	MEDIAN NUMBER OF DAYS TO PROCESS
Office of the AG	88	149	36	539	12	197
Office of the DAG	60	129	15	662	12	299
Office of the Assoc. AG	10	126	3	559	0	0
Antitrust	3	4	45	409	0	0
ATF	66	39	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
BOP	959	7	58	23	0	0
Civil	n/a	n/a	9	25	0	n/a
Civil Rights	20	22	21	68	0	0
CRS	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
Criminal	n/a	n/a	832	737	0	0
DEA	n/a	n/a	383	77	n/a	n/a
ENRD	n/a	n/a	26	53.5	0	n/a
EOIR	834	31	126	59	2	12.5
EOUSA	1,684	496			28	791
EOUST	n/a	n/a	29	56	n/a	n/a
FBI	*	*	*	*	6	89
FCSC	0	0	n/a		n/a	
JMD	33	74	0	0	0	0
NDIC	6	27	0	0	0	0
COPS	0		0	n/a	0	n/a
ODR	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	n/a
OFDT	0		2	61	0	0
OIP	25	127	2	73	0	0
OIG	17	48.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

NSD	7	20	13	120	0	0
OIPL	2	40	1	581	0	0
OJP	3	57	14	105	0	0
OLC	6	20	5	60	1	60
OLP	27	12	5	590	2	119
OLA	34	103	8	206	7	197
Pardon Attorney	0	0	0	0	0	0
OPR	15	79	3	343	0	0
Public Affairs	4	173	1	461	0	0
OSG	5	30			0	n/a
OVW	1		0	0	0	0
PRAO	1	1	0	n/a	0	n/a
Tax	3	4	6	63	0	0
USMS	26	22	2	151	0	0
USNCB	0	0	0	0	0	0
USPC			48	45	0	
Totals	3,939	n/a	1,693	n/a	70	n/a

*The FBI maintains three tracks for requests:
Small requests/Median days -- 1,499/139;
Medium requests/Median days 369/249;
Large requests/Median days -- 79/252

Go to: [Table of Contents](#)