

FOR PUBLICATION
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

TASH HEPTING, on Behalf of
Himself and All Others Similarly
Situating; GREGORY HICKS; ERIK
KNUTZEN, on Behalf of Themselves
and All Others Similarly Situated;
CAROLYN JEWEL on Behalf of
Herself and All Others Similarly
Situating; BENSON B. ROE, Plaintiff
in related case no. C-06-03467
MMC,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

and

WIRED NEWS; CONDENET INC.,

Intervenors,

v.

AT&T CORPORATION,

Defendant-Appellant,

and

AT&T, INC.,

Defendant,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant-Intervenor.

No. 06-17132

D.C. No.

CV-06-00672-VRW

11354

HEPTING v. AT&T CORPORATION

TASH HEPTING, on Behalf of
Himself and All Others Similarly
Situating; GREGORY HICKS, ERIK
KNUTZEN, on Behalf of Themselves
and All Others Similarly Situated;
CAROLYN JEWEL, on Behalf of
Herself and All Others Similarly
Situating; BENSON B. ROE, Plaintiff
in related case no. C-06-03467
MMC,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

AT&T CORPORATION; AT&T, INC.,
Defendants,

and

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant-Intervenor-Appellant.

No. 06-17137
D.C. No.
CV-06-00672-VRW
Northern District of
California,
San Francisco
ORDER

Filed August 21, 2008

Before: Harry Pregerson, Michael Daly Hawkins, and
M. Margaret McKeown, Circuit Judges.

ORDER

In light of the FISA Amendments Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-261, we remand this case to the district court. We retain jurisdiction over any further appeals.

PRINTED FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE—U.S. COURTS
BY THOMSON REUTERS/WEST—SAN FRANCISCO

The summary, which does not constitute a part of the opinion of the court, is copyrighted
© 2008 Thomson Reuters/West.