

1 BRENDAN V. SULLIVAN, JR.
 JOHN G. KESTER
 2 GILBERT O. GREENMAN
 WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
 3 725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
 Washington, D.C. 20005
 4 Tel.: (202) 434-5000
 Fax: (202) 434-5029
 5 jkester@wc.com
 ggreenman@wc.com
 6
 Attorneys for Sprint Nextel Corp.,
 7 Sprint Communications Co. L.P.,
 Sprint Spectrum L.P. and
 8 Nextel West Corp.

9
 10 IN THE
 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 -- San Francisco Division --

14)	
15	<u>In re:</u>)	MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW
16	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
17	TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS)	TO STAY CASES AGAINST SPRINT
	LITIGATION)	
18	This document relates to:)	[Civil L.R. 6-2, 7-1(a)(5), 7-12]
19	Nos. C-06-6222-VRW;)	Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor
	C-06-6224-VRW;)	Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker
20	C-06-6254-VRW;)	
	C-06-6295-VRW;)	
21	C-07-0464-VRW)	
22)	

1 STIPULATION

2 In furtherance of judicial economy and to avoid potentially
3 unnecessary expense, the undersigned counsel for and on behalf of the
4 entities named below wish to stay all proceedings against the Sprint
5 entities pending determination of appellate review in Hepting v. AT&T
6 Corp., No. C-06-672-VRW, currently pending on appeal to the United
7 States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

8 Interim class counsel for the Sprint Subscriber Class
9 plaintiffs and counsel for the Sprint defendants^{*/} hereby stipulate as
10 follows:

11 1. All proceedings against the Sprint defendants shall be
12 stayed until the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
13 issues its ruling on review of the July 20, 2006, order of this Court
14 in Hepting v. AT&T Corp., No. C-06-672-VRW.

15 2. This stay shall encompass any proceedings pending
16 herein against the Sprint defendants, or transferred by the Judicial
17 Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to this Court and consolidated with
18 this MDL, No. 06-1791-VRW, subsequent to the entry of this
19 Stipulation. The following cases filed against the Sprint defendants
20 have been transferred to this Court:

21 C-06-6222-VRW;

22 C-06-6224-VRW;

23 C-06-6254-VRW;

24 C-06-6295-VRW;

25 C-07-0464-VRW.

26
27 ^{*/} The Sprint defendants are Sprint Nextel Corp., Sprint
28 Communications Co. L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P. and Nextel West
Corp. and as used herein include any entities related to them.

1 3. This stay shall not apply to any MDL proceedings
2 against entities other than the Sprint defendants.

3 4. This stay shall not otherwise alter the substantive
4 and other procedural rights of the Sprint defendants or of the
5 subscribers who have filed complaints against the Sprint defendants.

6 5. The Sprint defendants are not required to file any
7 response to any complaint against them until sixty (60) days after
8 the expiration of this stay.

9 GARY E. MASON
10 NICHOLAS A. MIGLIACCIO

11 THE MASON LAW FIRM, PC
12 1225 19th Street, N.W., Ste. 500
13 Washington, D.C. 20036
14 Tel.: (202) 429-2290
15 Fax: (202) 429-2294

16 By /s/ Gary E. Mason per G.O. 45

17 JOHN C. WHITFIELD

18 WHITFIELD & COX, PSC
19 29 East Center Street
20 Madisonville, KY 42431
21 Tel.: (270) 821-0656
22 Fax: (270) 825-1163

23 By /s/ John C. Whitfield per G.O. 45

24 Interim Class Counsel for Sprint
25 Subscriber Class

26 BRENDAN V. SULLIVAN, JR.
27 JOHN G. KESTER
28 GILBERT O. GREENMAN

 WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
 725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
 Washington, D.C. 20005
 Tel.: (202) 434-5000
 Fax: (202) 434-5029

 By /s/ John G. Kester

Attorneys for Sprint Nextel Corp.,
 Sprint Communications Co. L.P.,
 Sprint Spectrum L.P. and Nextel
 West Corp.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Vaughn R. Walker
United States District Chief Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28