

RICHARD D. EMERY  
ANDREW G. CELLI  
MATTHEW D. BRINCKERHOFF  
JONATHAN S. ABADY  
ILANN M. MAAZEL  
ERIC HECKER  
MARIANN MEIER WANG  
SARAH NETBURN  
KATHERINE ROSENFELD  
O. ANDREW F. WILSON  
ELIZABETH S. SAYLOR  
KENNISHA A. AUSTIN  
DEBRA L. GREENBERGER  
ELORA MUKHERJEE

## EMERY CELLI BRINCKERHOFF &amp; ABADY LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
75 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019

TELEPHONE  
(212) 763-5000  
FACSIMILE  
(212) 763-5001  
WEB ADDRESS  
www.ecbalaw.com

May 6, 2009

By *ECF*

The Hon. Vaughn R. Walker  
United States District Chief Judge  
United States District Court  
for the Northern District of California  
450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor, Room 1111  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: *MDL No. 06-1791 (VRW), Shubert v. Bush*

Dear Judge Walker:

We represent plaintiffs in *Shubert v. Bush* (Case No. 07-00693). The government moved to dismiss the entire case on state secrets grounds in May 25, 2007 and oral argument on the motion took place on August 30, 2007.

We write to alert the Court to recent, pertinent 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit authority, *Mohamed v. Jeppesen Dataplan, Inc.*, No. 08-15693, 2009 WL 1119516 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. April 28, 2009), which rejected precisely the sort of blanket assertion of the state secrets privilege the government makes here. For the reasons set forth in Your Honor's decision in *In re National Security Agency Telecommunications Records Litigation*, 564 F.Supp.2d 1109, 1115 (N.D.Cal. 2008), FISA preempts the state secrets privilege and the government's motion should be denied. In addition, even if FISA did not preempt the state secrets privilege, the government's motion should be denied for the reasons set forth in *Mohamed v. Jeppesen*.

Respectfully submitted,



Ilann M. Maazel