

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342
2 DAVID L. ANDERSON #149604
JACOB R. SORENSEN #209134
3 MARC H. AXELBAUM #209855
DANIEL J. RICHERT #232208
4 50 Fremont Street
Post Office Box 7880
5 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880
Telephone: (415) 983-1000
6 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

7 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
8 DAVID W. CARPENTER (admitted *pro hac vice*)
BRADFORD A. BERENSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)
9 EDWARD R. MCNICHOLAS (admitted *pro hac vice*)
DAVID LEE LAWSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)
10 ERIC A. SHUMSKY #206124
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
11 Telephone: (202) 736-8010
12 Facsimile: (202) 736-8711

13 Attorneys for the AT&T Defendants

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 In re:
18 NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY
19 TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS
20 LITIGATION

MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER STAYING ALL CASES
(EXCEPT *HEPTING*) AGAINST AT&T
DEFENDANTS**

21 This Document Relates To:

[Civil L.R. 6-2, 7-1(5), 7-12]

22 06-0672 06-5269 06-6222 06-6924
23 06-3467 06-5340 06-6224 06-7934
24 06-3596 06-5343 06-6294 07-1243
06-5065 06-5452 06-6385
25 06-5067 06-5485 06-6387
06-5268 06-5576 06-6570

Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor
Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker

26 *Mayer v. Verizon Communications, Inc., et al.*,
27 No. 06-3650 (S.D.N.Y.) (N.D. Cal. case no. not
yet assigned)

28

RECITALS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

A. On November 8, 2006, the United States filed a motion to stay all proceedings in this MDL pending the resolution of the appeal of this Court’s July 20, 2006 order in *Hepting v. AT&T Corp.*, 439 F. Supp. 2d 974 (N.D. Cal. 2006) (“*Hepting Order*”). Dkt. 67. On December 22, 2006, Defendant AT&T Corp. filed a joinder in the United States’ motion to stay. Dkt. 100. On January 17, 2007, Plaintiffs filed their opposition to the motion to stay. Dkt. 128.

B. Oral argument on the motion to stay was held on February 9, 2007. Dkt. 161. On February 20, 2007, this Court entered an order granting in part, and denying in part, the motion to stay (“*Stay Order*”). Dkt. 172.

C. The Stay Order provides: “With respect to *Hepting v. AT&T*, 06-672, the court imposes a limited stay. If plaintiffs propound a limited and targeted set of interrogatories . . . , the court will entertain plaintiffs’ motion to lift the stay for the purpose of requiring a response. Any such motion shall describe why the discovery will not moot the issues on interlocutory appeal to the Ninth Circuit. After consideration of such motion, the court will determine whether to call for opposition to be filed by defendants.” Dkt. 172 at 1.

D. The Stay Order further provides: “With respect to all other cases in MDL 1791, the court will enter an order staying proceedings pending resolution of the interlocutory appeal in *Hepting* if the parties stipulate to a stay.” Dkt. 172 at 2.

E. In furtherance of judicial economy, Plaintiffs, the AT&T Defendants¹ and the United States agree to stay all cases, except for *Hepting*, against the AT&T Defendants.

STIPULATION

Plaintiffs, the AT&T Defendants and the United States hereby stipulate as follows:

¹ “AT&T Defendants” refers to all of the AT&T defendants in this MDL, as defined in footnote 3 of the previously filed Joint Case Management Statement. Dkt. 61 at 2.

1 1. All cases pending against the AT&T Defendants, except for *Hepting v.*
2 *AT&T Corp.*, No. 06-0672, shall be stayed against the AT&T Defendants until 30 days after
3 entry of the Ninth Circuit's judgment in *Hepting* (Ninth Cir. App. Case Nos. 06-80109 &
4 06-80110 ("*Hepting Appeals*")). Plaintiffs shall promptly notify the AT&T Defendants and
5 the United States whether they intend to file a consolidated complaint against the AT&T
6 Defendants or will stand on the existing individual complaints against them. If the
7 Plaintiffs elect to file a consolidated complaint, the AT&T Defendants shall have 30 days
8 after it is filed to respond to it. If the Plaintiffs elect to stand on the existing individual
9 complaints, then the AT&T Defendants shall have 45 days after the Plaintiffs notify them
10 of that decision to respond to the complaints. This stay may be modified by the Court on
11 good cause shown by any party to the actions affected.

12 2. This stay shall encompass any proceedings against AT&T Defendants
13 (except *Hepting*) in any cases currently pending in this MDL, No. 06-1791-VRW. The
14 following cases that include AT&T Defendants have been transferred to this Court:
15 *Hepting*, 06-0672; *Roe*, 06-3467; *Campbell*, 06-3596; *Mahoney*, 06-5065; *Souder*, 06-5067;
16 *Trevino*, 06-5268; *Dolberg*, 06-5269; *Terkel*, 06-5340; *Herron*, 06-5343; *Harrington*, 06-
17 5452; *Joll*, 06-5485; *Conner*, 06-5576; *Cross*, 06-6222; *Cross*, 06-6224; *Waxman*, 06-6294;
18 *Fortnash*, 06-6385; *Dubois*, 06-6387; *Chulsky*, 06-6570; *Hardy*, 06-6924; *Mink*, 06-7934;
19 *Roche*, 07-1243; and *Mayer v. Verizon Communications, Inc., et al.*, No. 06-3650
20 (S.D.N.Y.) (N.D. Cal. case no. not yet assigned). This stay does not affect any claims in
21 any of the above-referenced cases against non-AT&T Defendants, nor any cases in which
22 the United States is a plaintiff, nor *Clayton v. AT&T Communications of the Southwest,*
23 *Inc.*, 07-1187.

24 3. In the event Plaintiffs are allowed discovery in *Hepting* through the
25 mechanism set forth in the Stay Order, Plaintiffs may direct such discovery toward any of
26 the AT&T Defendants, regardless of whether they have been named as defendants in
27 *Hepting*, and such AT&T Defendants shall respond and/or object to such discovery to the
28

1 extent required by law and further order of this Court, and such responses shall be deemed
2 applicable and useable in any of the cases against such AT&T Defendant.

3 4. By entering into this Stipulation, the AT&T Defendants and the United
4 States do not waive any procedural or substantive defenses, rights or objections with respect
5 to the discovery that plaintiffs may seek in *Hepting*, or with respect to any non-*Hepting*
6 case against an AT&T defendant, including, but not limited to, the right to challenge
7 personal jurisdiction over any particular AT&T defendant and the right to appeal any order
8 of this Court granting any discovery to Plaintiffs. AT&T Defendants do, however, waive
9 any objection to such discovery on the basis that any particular defendant was not named as
10 a defendant in *Hepting*.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation, and good cause appearing, the Court orders the following:

1. All cases pending against the AT&T Defendants, except for *Hepting v. AT&T Corp.*, No. 06-0672, shall be stayed against the AT&T Defendants until 30 days after entry of the Ninth Circuit's judgment in *Hepting* (Ninth Cir. App. Case Nos. 06-80109 & 06-80110 ("*Hepting Appeals*")). Plaintiffs shall promptly notify the AT&T Defendants and the United States whether they intend to file a consolidated complaint against the AT&T Defendants or will stand on the existing individual complaints against them. If the Plaintiffs elect to file a consolidated complaint, the AT&T Defendants shall have 30 days after it is filed to respond to it. If the Plaintiffs elect to stand on the existing individual complaints, then the AT&T Defendants shall have 45 days after the Plaintiffs notify them of that decision to respond to the complaints. This stay may be modified by the Court on good cause shown by any party to the actions affected.

2. This stay shall encompass any proceedings against AT&T Defendants (except *Hepting*) in any cases currently pending in this MDL, No. 06-1791-VRW. The following cases that include AT&T Defendants have been transferred to this Court: *Hepting*, 06-0672; *Roe*, 06-3467; *Campbell*, 06-3596; *Mahoney*, 06-5065; *Souder*, 06-5067; *Trevino*, 06-5268; *Dolberg*, 06-5269; *Terkel*, 06-5340; *Herron*, 06-5343; *Harrington*, 06-5452; *Joll*, 06-5485; *Conner*, 06-5576; *Cross*, 06-6222; *Cross*, 06-6224; *Waxman*, 06-6294; *Fortnash*, 06-6385; *Dubois*, 06-6387; *Chulsky*, 06-6570; *Hardy*, 06-6924; *Mink*, 06-7934; *Roche*, 07-1243; and *Mayer v. Verizon Communications, Inc., et al.*, No. 06-3650 (S.D.N.Y.) (N.D. Cal. case no. not yet assigned). This stay does not affect any claims in any of the above-referenced cases against non-AT&T Defendants, nor any cases in which the United States is a plaintiff, nor *Clayton v. AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc.*, 07-1187.

