

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 26, 2006 10:35 AM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: EC

b6
b7C

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~



Exigent
Circumstances Letter
Sorry, here it is.

(1 document attached)
6/19/06

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 26, 2006 8:57 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: EC

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

b6
b7C
b4
b7D

Two of the attachments are for [redacted]. The one for [redacted] is missing.
Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 26, 2006 8:46 AM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: EC

b6
b7C

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

Here are the revised exigent circumstances letters for [redacted]. Please start using PRONTO [redacted]

b4
b7D
b6
b7C

<< File: Exigent Circumstances Letter for [redacted] revised.wpd >> << File: Exigent Circumstances Letter to [redacted] revised.wpd >>

↑ repeat

(-2 documents attached)
6/19/06

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2006 1:21 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: EC

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

[Redacted]

b6
b7C

Attached is an updated EC for your review.

<< File: NSLguidance051906.wpd >>

Thanks,
[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

b6
b7C

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2006 9:58 AM
To: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI);
Subject: RE: EC

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

Great, just wanted to make sure we had the correct version in the EC.

I have read the EC, and have a few suggestions.

First, as we discussed in prior emails [Redacted]

Second [Redacted]

Third, can you be more explicit [Redacted]

b5
b6
b7C

[Redacted]

Third, can we see the Exigent Letter [Redacted] I am not sure if we have ever seen them or if we have, it was a long time ago.

Lastly - typo - first line of [Redacted] the "it's" should be "its."

Thanks [Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

b6
b7C

From: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2006 9:23 AM
To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI);
Subject: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI)

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

[Redacted]

b6
b7C

Your attachment is what we want to use as an enclosure on the EC on NSL issuance by CAU. We also replaced the old version on our share drive with your new one and advised everyone in CAU of the change.

[Redacted]

NSL VIO-2769

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2006 8:57 AM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC)
Subject: FW: EC

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

b6
b7C

I was forwarded the email exchange before you and our office re an EC on NSL issuance by CAU. I am going to review that EC, but wanted to make sure you had the correct attachments. The form NSL was okay; however, the form toll billing attachment was an earlier version that I had sent you. Per the enclosed email exchange, I had modified the attachment and you said it was okay. So please use the modified attachment. I am resending it in this email. Thanks [redacted]

<< File: tollbillingattachmentcaumay2006.wpd >>

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2006 3:49 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (OGA); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (CON)
Subject: RE: Toll Billing Records

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

b6
b7C

Looks good. I'll make sure everyone in CAU uses the updated attachment.
Regards,
[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2006 12:45 PM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (OGA); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (CON)
Subject: FW: Toll Billing Records

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

b6
b7C

I have revised the attachment just slightly, [redacted]

[redacted]

So take a look and make sure this is okay. Thanks, [redacted]

<< File: tollbillingattachmentcaumay2006.wpd >>

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2006 4:21 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

NSL VIO-2770

b5
b6
b7C

Subject: RE: Toll Billing Records

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

Thanks [redacted]

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2006 4:08 PM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (OGA); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (CON)
Subject: RE: Toll Billing Records

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

I don't think I responded to this. Here is the standard form NSL for toll billing records. So this NSL and the attachment, which you have set forth below, should be the procedure by which we get telephone records from the carriers at HQ. Thanks [redacted]

<< File: tollbillingNSL2006withoutattachment.wpd >>

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2006 11:09 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (OGA); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Toll Billing Records Attachment

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b6
b7C
b4
b7D

[redacted]

Attached is an updated version with [redacted] input.

<< File: telecom.nsl.verbiage.wpd >>

Could I get a copy of the standard NSL toll billing records model? I'd like to show [redacted] how the NSL request will look like when we add the attachment.

b4
b7D
b6
b7C

Thanks,
[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2006 7:42 AM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (OGA)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (CON)
Subject: RE: Toll Billing Records Attachment

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b6
b7C
b5
b2
b7E
b4
b7D

Should we [redacted]

[redacted]

NSL VIO-2771

b5
b2
b7E

And then tell me what else we should put in there [redacted] for instance? [redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2006 7:39 AM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (OGA)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Toll Billing Records Attachment

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

<< File: telcom.nsl.verbiage.wpd >>

[redacted]

Attached is the proposed rider for NSLs. Please review and add any additional bullets we may have overlooked with respect to [redacted]

b4
b7D
b6
b7C
b2

[redacted]

Thanks,

[redacted]

[redacted]

Communication Exploitation Section,
Communications Analysis Unit, Room 4315
(w): 202-324 [redacted]
(c) [redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

~~**DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations**
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~**DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations**
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~**DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations**
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~**DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations**
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1~~

NSL VIO-2772



U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D. C. 20535-0001

March 10, 2005

[Redacted]

Attention: [Redacted]

Re: Special Project / SSA _____

Dear [Redacted]

Due to exigent circumstances, it is requested that records for the attached list of telephone numbers be provided. National Security Letters directing you to provide this information will be processed and served upon [Redacted] as expeditiously as possible.

For the following U.S. numbers:

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 07-12-2007 BY 65179 DMH/TAM/KSR/JB

Sincerely,

[Redacted]
Unit Chief

Communications Analysis Unit

b6
b7C

By:

Supervisory Special Agent

NSL VIO-2773




U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation


Washington, D. C. 20535-0001

August 10, 2005





Re: Special Project / SSA CS 

Dear 

Due to exigent circumstances, it is requested that records for the attached list of telephone numbers be provided. Please provide any and all call detail records related to the foregoing telephone number. 

b4
b7D
b6
b7C
b2
b7E



National Security Letters directing you to provide this information will be processed and served upon  as expeditiously as possible.

For the following numbers: 



Sincerely,

b4
b7D
b6
b7C



Unit Chief
Communications Analysis Unit

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 08-10-2007 BY 65209 DMH/KSR/JS

By:

NSL VIO-2774

Agent

Supervisory Special



U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D. C. 20535-0001

March 10, 2005

[Redacted]

b4
b7D
b6
b7C

[Redacted]

Re: Special Project / SSA _____

Dear [Redacted]

Due to exigent circumstances, it is requested that records for the attached telephone number, as well as any and all call detail records associated with this number or any variants of this number, be provided. National Security Letters directing you to provide this information will be processed and served upon [Redacted] as expeditiously as possible.

b4
b7D

For the following telephone number:

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 07-12-2007 BY 65179 DMH/TAH/KSR/JS

Sincerely,

[Redacted]

b6
b7C

Unit Chief
Communications Analysis Unit

By:

Supervisory Special Agent

NSL VIO-2776

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, June 15, 2006 2:21 PM
To: THOMAS, JULIE F. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted]
Subject: FW: EC

b6
b7C

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

I drafted three exigent circumstances letters, one for each carrier, but all are the same otherwise. Here is an example. The letter they had been using referred only to a GJS and not an NSL. I think we still need to deal with [redacted]

[redacted]

b5
b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 26, 2006 10:35 AM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: EC

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~



Exigent
circumstances Letter I

Sorry. [redacted] here it is.

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 26, 2006 8:57 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: EC

b6
b7C

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

DECLASSIFIED BY: 65179 DMH/TAN/KSR/JE
ON: 07-13-2007

[redacted]

Two of the attachments are for [redacted]. The one for [redacted] is missing.
Thanks

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 26, 2006 8:46 AM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: EC

b4
b7D
b6
b7C

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

NSL VIO-2777

Here are the revised exigent circumstances letters for [redacted] Please start using PRONTO [redacted]

<< File: Exigent Circumstances Letter for [redacted] revised.wpd >> << File: Exigent Circumstances Letter to [redacted] revised.wpd >> << File: Exigent Circumstances Letter for [redacted] revised.wpd >>

b4
b7D
b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2006 1:21 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: EC

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

[redacted]

Attached is an updated EC for your review.

<< File: NSLguidance051906.wpd >>

Thanks,

[redacted]

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2006 9:58 AM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: EC

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

Great, just wanted to make sure we had the correct version in the EC.

I have read the EC, and have a few suggestions.

First, as we discussed in prior emails, [redacted]

[redacted]

Second, [redacted]

[redacted]

Third, can you be more explicit [redacted]

[redacted]

Third, can we see the Exigent Letter [redacted] I am not sure if we have ever seen them, or if we have, it was a long time ago. [redacted]

Lastly - typo - first line of [redacted] the "it's" should be "its."

Thanks [redacted]

b5
b6
b7C

NSL VIO-2778

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2006 9:23 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: EC

~~SECRET
RECORD 315~~

[redacted]

Your attachment is what we want to use as an enclosure on the EC on NSL issuance by CAU. We also replaced the old version on our share drive with your new one and advised everyone in CAU of the change.

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 19, 2006 8:57 AM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC)
Subject: FW: EC

b6
b7C

~~SECRET
RECORD 315~~

I was forwarded the email exchange before you and our office re an EC on NSL issuance by CAU. I am going to review that EC, but wanted to make sure you had the correct attachments. The form NSL was okay; however, the form toll billing attachment was an earlier version that I had sent you. Per the enclosed email exchange, I had modified the attachment and you said it was okay. So please use the modified attachment. I am resending it in this email. Thanks. [redacted]

<< File: tollbillingattachmentcaumay2006.wpd >>

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2006 2:49 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (OGA); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (CON)
Subject: RE: Toll Billing Records

~~SECRET
RECORD 315~~

Looks good. I'll make sure everyone in CAU uses the updated attachment.
Regards,

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2006 12:45 PM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (OGA); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (CON)
Subject: FW: Toll Billing Records

b6
b7C

NSL VIO-2779

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

I have revised the attachment just slightly [redacted]

[redacted]

b5
b6
b7C

So take a look and make sure this is okay. Thanks. [redacted]

<< File: tollbillingattachmentcaumay2006.wpd >>

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2006 4:21 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Toll Billing Records

b6
b7C

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

Thanks Patrice.

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2006 4:08 PM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (OGA); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (CON)
Subject: RE: Toll Billing records

b6
b7C

~~SECRET~~
~~RECORD 315~~

I don't think I responded to this. Here is the standard form NSL for toll billing records. So this NSL and the attachment, which you have set forth below, should be the procedure by which we get telephone records from the carriers at HQ. Thanks. [redacted]

b6
b7C

<< File: tollbillingNSL2006withoutattachment.wpd >>

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2006 11:09 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (OGA); [redacted] (CTD) (CON); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Toll Billing Records Attachment

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]

Attached is an updated version with [redacted] input.

b6
b7C
b4
b7D

<< File: telecom.nsl.verbiage.wpd >>

Could I get a copy of the standard NSL toll billing records model? I'd like to show [redacted] how the NSL request will look like when we add the attachment.

Thanks,
NSL VIO-2780

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2006 7:42 AM
To: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [Redacted] (CTD) (CON); [Redacted] (CTD) (OGA)
Cc: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [Redacted] (CTD) (CON)
Subject: RE: Toll Billing Records Attachment

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Should we [Redacted]

[Redacted]

b5
b4
b7D
b2
b7E

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2006 7:39 AM
To: [Redacted] (CTD) (CON); [Redacted] (CTD) (OGA)
Cc: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Toll Billing Records Attachment [Redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

<< File: telecom.nsl.verbiage.wpd >>

[Redacted]

Attached is the proposed rider for NSLs. Please review and add any additional bullets we may have overlooked with respect to [Redacted]

b6
b7C
b5
b4
b7D
b2
b7E

Thanks

[Redacted]

Communication Exploitation Section,
Communications Analysis Unit, Room 4315
(w) 202-324-[Redacted]

b6
b7C
b2

[Redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

NSL VIO-2781

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1~~

NSL VIO-2782

[Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

DATE: 07-26-2007
CLASSIFIED BY 65179 DMH/KSR/JB

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2005 2:42 PM

REASON: 1.4 (c, d)

To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

DECLASSIFY ON: 07-26-2032

Subject: RE:

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

But I first will send this up to Julie and [Redacted]

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2005 2:41 PM

To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Cc: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: RE:

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Agreed [Redacted]

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2005 2:37 PM

To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Cc: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: RE:

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Didn't we just decide [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Are we now revisiting the issue? If so,

we need to bring Julie and Spike back in on this.

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2005 2:34 PM

To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Cc: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: RE:

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted]

NSL VIO-2784

(S) [redacted]

b5
b6
b7C
b1

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2005 2:27 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Yes, it does relate to [redacted] request [redacted]

b5
b6
b7C
b2

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2005 2:25 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Did this specific question arise from [redacted] NSL request? If so, [redacted]

b5
b1
b6
b7C

(S) think [redacted] can confirm.

I would not go to [redacted] because his e-mail is not [redacted] and he does not look at it regularly. I would ask [redacted] for his opinion. He is the policy guru for these matters and I have gone to him with similar questions. I would not forward him the whole e-mail chain but would summarize the question in a few sentences for him.

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2005 2:18 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

sure - you want me to forward these emails to Julie? The reason that I sent this to Spike was being it involved information [redacted] [redacted] So it wasn't totally off base that he would be involved. How about if I also contact [redacted] since he was involved in the drafting?

b5
b6
b7C

NSL VIO-2785

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2005 2:16 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Don't you think [redacted]
[redacted] or is that too optimistic?

b5

One other thing. I know we all value Spike's input tremendously. However, I am concerned as of late that we are all asking him too many questions. Lets try and save questions for issues involving classification or things in the DI sphere. For thinks like this, if we have reasonable disagreements on interpretation, we really do need to elevate to Julie. I fear we will exhaust Spike and/or annoy Julie should this come to her attention.

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2005 2:12 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); BOWMAN, MARION E. (OI) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

I see your point. [redacted]

[redacted]

b5
b2
b7E
b1

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2005 2:06 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); BOWMAN, MARION E. (OI) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

I don't agree. [redacted]

[redacted]

NSL VIO-2786

b1
b2
b7E
b5

b1
b2
b7E
b5



-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC)
(FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2005 1:50 PM

To: BOWMAN, MARION E. (OI) (FBI)

Cc: [redacted] (OGC)
(FBI) [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Subject:

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

~~SECRET~~



b1
b2
b7E
b5

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

NSL VIO-2787

~~SECRET~~

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

[Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

b6
b7C
b5

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, December 23, 2005 12:09 PM
To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: [Redacted]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

Dear [Redacted]

[Large Redacted Block]

b6
b7C
b5

Any thoughts?

[Redacted]

DATE: 07-12-2007
CLASSIFIED BY: 65179 DMH/TAM/KSR/JE
REASON: 1.4 (c)
DECLASSIFY ON: 07-12-2032

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, December 23, 2005 11:57 AM
To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: [Redacted]

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

b6
b7C
b5

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

What was Spike going to do? [Redacted]

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, December 23, 2005 11:52 AM
To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: [Redacted]

b6
b7C
b5

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

Thanks for responding--I'll handle this from my end.

NSL VIO-2789

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, December 23, 2005 11:49 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: [redacted]

b6
b7C
b5

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

[redacted] first, I don't know [redacted]

b6
b7C
b5

[redacted]
Secondly [redacted]
[redacted] I gather Spike gave
[redacted] permission
[redacted] So I don't really understand what the issue is now.

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, December 21, 2005 10:02 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: [redacted]
Importance: High

b6
b7C
b5

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

[redacted]

I am actually working on (or would be if other emergencies hadn't interrupted me) [redacted]

b6
b7C
b5

[redacted] But what do think about this? Please give me a call
at your convenience and we will talk. I am here all week and all next week except
Friday.

Thanks,
[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, December 21, 2005 9:53 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: [redacted]
Importance: High

b6
b7C
b5

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

Good morning [redacted]

b6
b7C
b5

[redacted]

NSL VIO 2790

~~SECRET~~

(S)

[Redacted]

b1
b5
b2
b7E

As you might recall, NSLB gave us the okay [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Please advise. Happy holidays.

Thanks,

b6
b7C

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2005 1:38 PM
To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: [Redacted]

b6
b7C
b5

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

Hello [Redacted]

NSLB gave [Redacted] a green light [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

b6
b7C
b5
b2

What is prompting the question is [Redacted] and want to handle the matter properly.

Your thoughts.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

b6
b7C

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201
SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201
SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201
SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201
SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201
SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201
SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201
SECRET//NOFORN~~

[Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Friday, December 23, 2005 11:57 AM

To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: RE: [Redacted]

b6
b7C
b5

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

What was Spike going to do? [Redacted]

b5

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Friday, December 23, 2005 11:52 AM

To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: RE: [Redacted]

b6
b7C
b5

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

Thanks for responding--I'll handle this from my end.

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Friday, December 23, 2005 11:49 AM

To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: RE: [Redacted]

b6
b7C
b5

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

DATE: 07-12-2007
CLASSIFIED BY: 65179 DME/TAM/KSR/JE
REASON: 1.4 (c)
DECLASSIFY ON: 07-12-2032

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

[Redacted] first I don't know what [Redacted]

[Redacted]
Secondly [Redacted] rather Spike gave permission [Redacted]
[Redacted] So I don't really understand
what the issue is now.

b6
b7C
b5

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, December 21, 2005 10:02 AM

To: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: FW: [Redacted]

Importance: High

b6
b7C
b5

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

Patrice,

NSL VIO-2793

~~SECRET~~

b5
b6
b7C

I am actually working on (or would be if other emergencies hadn't interrupted me) [redacted]
[redacted] We don't want to wait for SPIke [redacted]
[redacted] But what do think about this? Please give me a call at your
convenience and we will talk. I am here all week and all next week except Friday.

Thanks,
[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

b6
b7C
b5

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, December 21, 2005 9:53 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: [redacted]
Importance: High

SECRET//NOFORN
RECORD 66f

Good morning [redacted]

(S)

b6
b7C
b5
b1
b2
b7E

[Large redacted block]

As you might recall, NSLB gave us the okay [redacted]

[Large redacted block]

Please advise. Happy holidays.

Thanks,
[redacted]

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

b6
b7C
b5

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2005 1:38 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: [redacted]

SECRET//NOFORN

~~SENSITIVE-2794~~

RECORD 66f

Hello [redacted]

NSLB gave [redacted] a green light [redacted]

[Large redacted block]

b6
b7C
b5
b2

What is prompting the question is [redacted] and
want to handle the matter properly.

Your thoughts.

Thanks,

[redacted]

b6
b7C

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign
Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201
SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign
Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201
SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign
Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201
SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence
Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201
SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence
Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201~~

NSL VIO-2795

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/07, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFY ON: 20301201
SECRET//NOFORN

NSL VIO-2796

~~SECRET~~

