| | (CTD) (FBI) |

From:	b6		(CTD) (FBI)
Sent:		Friday, October 13, 2006 12:20 PM
To:	b7C		(CTD) (FBI)
Subject:		Revised NSL IG Data

SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD 315

b6
b7C

(S) Based on the results of our ACS search, the total number of NSLs issued by EOPS for the period of 01/01/2003 through
(S) 09/14/2006 [ ] We searched ACS for all Ecs containing the terms ECPA and EOPS for the period 01/01/2003
(S) through 09/14/2006. [ ] We
b1 ien manually reviewed each EC in ACS to determine whether the documents that were hits under the search were
actually Ecs issuing NSLs at EOPS' request. We then printed out each EC that was actually supporting an EOPS NSL
(S) request. The [ ] approximation in the earlier memo was due only to my haste to put an explanatory memo on the
documents submitted and not taking the time to count the actual number of documents submitted.

(S)
b1
b6
b7C

~~DERIVED FROM: Multiple Sources~~
~~DECLASSIFY ON: 20311013~~
SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

DATE: 06-28-2007
CLASSIFIED BY 65179 DMH/KSR/cb
REASON: 1.4 (c)
DECLASSIFY ON: 06-28-2032

(CTD) (FBI)   SECRET

From: _____ (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: b6   Friday, October 20, 2006 6:23 AM
To: _____ (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: b7C   _____ (CTD) (FBI); _____ (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Revised NSL IG Data

SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD 315

NSLB issued are those that were brought up with a requested EC from EOPS requesting NSLB to prepare the outgoing EC and NSL per the details in the requested EC.

_____

Paralegal Specialist
b6   National Security Law Branch
b7C   Office of the General Counsel
b2   Room 7975/ext. _____

-----Original Message-----
From: _____ (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 4:19 PM
To: _____ (OGC) (FBI)
b6   **Cc:** _____ (CTD) (FBI); _____ (OGC) (FBI)
b7C   **Subject:** RE: Revised NSL IG Data

SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD 315

Outstanding. How does that differ from the situations that you mention in which NSLB issued?

With these questions answered, I can address the OIG.

Thanks.

b6 _____
b7C

-----Original Message-----
From: _____ (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 2:48 PM
To: _____ (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: _____ (CTD) (FBI); _____ (OGC) (FBI)
b6   **Subject:** RE: Revised NSL IG Data
b7C   SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD 315

EOPS issued means, EOPS prepared the EC and NSL, an NSLB attorney reviewed it and DGC Thomas signed it.

_____

b6   Paralegal Specialist
b7C   National Security Law Branch
Office of the General Counsel

1   NSLVTQE4276
SECRET

b2   Room 7975/ext. [redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 2:30 PM
b6   **To:** [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
      Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
b7C   **Subject:** RE: Revised NSL IG Data

SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD 315

[redacted]

If you do not mind, please clarify what you mean when you say "EOPS issued". I am not aware of EOPS issuing NSL's.
b6   We have changed the protocol for submitting NSL request on several occasions while trying to identify the
b7C   best way to proceed.

Thanks,

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 12:40 PM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Revised NSL IG Data

SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD 315
b6
b7C   [redacted]

Predicated upon your list, below is what was obtained from NSLB's database. Records retrieved were searched by year and file number not serials submitted by you. File numbers that I have not included from your list means NSLB has no record of either NSLB nor EOPS issuing NSLs. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

(S) 1
     2

b1   [redacted]

     3
     4

b6   [redacted]
b7C Paralegal Specialist
     National Security Law Branch

2   NSL VIO-4277

b2

Office of the General Counsel
Room 7975/ext. [   ]

-----Original Message-----
From: [   ] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 10:54 AM
To: [   ] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [   ] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Revised NSL IG Data

b6
b7C

SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD 315

[   ]

b6
b7C
b1   (S)

[   ] conducted an extensive review of the EOPS files and prepared a breakdown of the NSL's issued by year. This breakdown is noted below.

To avoid pulling [   ] NSL's (dating back to the 2003 timeframe), I suggested randomly pulling samples of two EC's for every 10 EC's that were drafted since 08/11/05 (when I became UC) to present. This should demonstrate to the OIG that the NSLB NSL database does contain the NSL's that are issued pursuant to EOPS' requests.

OIG has advised me that they need the EOPS response back to them by tomorrow; so, I am trying to complile everything today. Under a tight timeframe.

Thanks,

b6
b7C

[   ]

----

b6
b7C

[   ] here is the sample (we pulled two EC's per group of 10 for all NSL Ecs issued by EOPS since 8/11/2005 to present):

(S)

2005

1.

b1
b2
b7E
b6
b7C

2.

3.

(S)

2006

b1
b2
b7E

3   NSL VIO-4278

b1
b2
b7E

6.

7.

(S)

-----Original Message-----

b6
b7C

From: _____(CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, October 13, 2006 12:20 PM
To: _____(CTD) (FBI)
Subject: Revised NSL IG Data

SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD 315

b6
b7C

(S) Based on the results of our ACS search, the total number of NSLs issued by EOPS for the period of 01/01/2003 through 09/14/2006 _____ We searched ACS for all Ecs containing the terms ECPA

(S) and EOPS for the period 01/01/2003 through 09/14/2006.

(S) _____ We then manually reviewed each EC in ACS to determine whether the documents that were hits under the search were actually Ecs issuing NSLs at EOPS' request. We then printed out each EC that was actually supporting an EOPS NSL request.

b1 (S) The _____ approximation in the earlier memo was due only to my haste to put an explanatory memo on the documents submitted and not taking the time to count the actual number of documents submitted.

(S)

b6
b7C

O

NSL VIO-4279

SECRET #1073946