

~~SECRET~~

R

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b2 07

Precedence: PRIORITY

Date: 02/21/2007

To: General Counsel
Inspection
Charlotte

Attn: NSLB
Attn: IIS
Attn: SAC
ASAC
SSA
CDC

From: Charlotte
Raleigh Resident Agency
Contact: SA

Approved By:

b6
b7C
b2

Drafted By:

(U)

Case ID #: (S) 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO (Pending) 180

(U)

Title: (S) INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT BOARD (IOB) VIOLATION
FBIHQ - CHARLOTTE DIVISION

Synopsis: (U) Report of a potential Intelligence Oversight Board (IOB) matter.

(U) ~~(S)~~ **Derived From:** G-3
Declassify On: 02/21/2032

(U) **Reference:** (S) 278-HQ-C1229736 Serial 2570

b1
b2
b7E

Enclosure(s): (U) Enclosed for NSLB and IIS are the following:
One copy of a series of e-mails (3 pages) to include
an e-mail from to ASC , et al,
FBIHQ, CTD, dated 07/21/2005.

b6
b7C

Details: (S)

b1
b6
b7C
b2
b7E

SC Miller *Jan 4/24/07*

CRS *RAW 04/25/07*

~~SECRET~~

b6
b7C ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

DATE: 05-29-2007
CLASSIFIED BY 65179 DMH/KSR/JW
REASON: 1.4 (C)
DECLASSIFY ON: 05-29-2032

(U) To: General Counsel From: Charlotte
Re: ~~(S)~~ 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO, 02/21/2007

(S) [redacted]
b1 [redacted]

(S) ~~(S)~~ As a result of [redacted] investigation
07/07/2005, [redacted]
[redacted]

b1
b6
b7C

(S) [redacted]
[redacted]

(S) ~~(U)~~ On or about 07/13/2005, SA [redacted] coordinated
with United States Attorney's Office (USAO), Eastern District of
North Carolina (EDNC), Chief of Criminal, [redacted] to obtain
a Grand Jury subpoena [redacted]

(S) [redacted] After receiving the subpoena,
SA [redacted] went to [redacted] and met with Associate General Counsel
[redacted] SA [redacted] served the subpoena and had some records
in hand when he received a call from SSRA [redacted]
SSRA [redacted] had been notified by FBIHQ, ITOS I, CONUS II, Team 6,
that we were not to utilize a Grand Jury subpoena and that we
must obtain a National Security Letter (NSL). Therefore, SA
[redacted] returned the records to [redacted]

b6
b7C
b1

(S) ~~(U)~~ SSRA [redacted] advised FBIHQ that our SAC, [redacted]
[redacted] was TDY out of the Division and therefore we would need
to complete an EC addressed to General Counsel requesting an NSL
be approved at FBIHQ. SSRA [redacted] was then instructed by FBIHQ,
ITOS I, CONUS II, that Charlotte would be required to draft the
NSL, due to time constraints, and then obtain approval from a
neighboring division's SAC. SA [redacted] then drafted an NSL to
obtain the student records [redacted] and forwarded the NSL to
SSRA [redacted] for review. The NSL was then forwarded by SSRA
[redacted] to SAC [redacted] Division, for approval.

b1
b6
b7C

(S) ~~(U)~~ [redacted] Agent
[redacted] served the NSL at [redacted] does not recall

To: General Counsel From: Charlotte
Re: ~~(S)~~ 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO, 02/21/2007

b1 (S) the individual's name to whom the NSL was given. TFA [redacted] was
b6 advised that the NSL was not the appropriate documentation to
b7C (S) receive [redacted] records. [redacted] declined to honor the NSL.

(S) ~~(S)~~ SSRA [redacted] then contacted CDC [redacted]
Charlotte Division, for guidance concerning the matter and the
b1 questioned legality of the NSL. [redacted] CDC [redacted]
b6 (S) then conferred with legal counsel [redacted] and FBIHQ, OGC [redacted]
b7C concerning the matter. SSRA [redacted] also contacted ASAC [redacted]
[redacted] Charlotte Division, who in turn was also in contact
with FBIHQ.

b1 (S) ~~(S)~~ Subsequently, SSRA [redacted] was advised by FBIHQ that
b2 a Grand Jury subpoena would be obtained [redacted]
b7E [redacted] which was the office of origin on the
b6 (S) [redacted] matter, and the Grand Jury subpoena would be forwarded to
b7C the Raleigh RA for service on [redacted]

(S) ~~(S)~~ On 07/15/2005, the Raleigh RA received a copy of
the Grand Jury subpoena [redacted]
[redacted] SA [redacted] and SA
[redacted] served [redacted] with the Grand Jury subpoena [redacted]
[redacted] After [redacted] resistance
b1 to comply, SA [redacted] advised [redacted] that he had been served.
b6 SSRA [redacted] was in contact with [redacted] advising of [redacted]
b7C reluctance to honor the Grand Jury subpoena and at this time [redacted]
b2 [redacted] contacted [redacted] concerning the matter.
b7E (S) Approximately one hour later [redacted] contacted the Raleigh RA and
b3 FGJ advised that the records were waiting for our retrieval.

(U) To: General Counsel From: Charlotte
Re: ~~(S)~~ 278-HQ-C1229736-VIO, 02/21/2007

LEAD(s):

Set Lead 1: (Discretionary)

GENERAL COUNSEL

AT WASHINGTON, DC

(U) NSLB; For information and action deemed appropriate.

Set Lead 2: (Discretionary)

INSPECTION

AT WASHINGTON, DC

(U) IIS; For information and action deemed appropriate.

◆◆

[redacted] (CE) (FBI)

b6
b7C
b1

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 5:23 PM
To: [redacted] (CE) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Facts on [redacted] needed re [redacted] NSL letter ASAP

(S)
(S)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

Thanks for the rapid response.

DATE: 05-29-2007
CLASSIFIED BY 65179 DMH/KSR/JW
REASON: 1.4 (C)
DECLASSIFY ON: 05-29-2032

b6
b7C

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CE) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 5:17 PM
To: [redacted] (CTD)(FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD)(FBI); [redacted] (CE) (FBI); [redacted] (CID) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Facts on [redacted] needed re [redacted] NSL letter ASAP

b6
b7C
b1

(S)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

b6
b7C

[redacted]

(S) (S)

We originally were in the process of obtaining a subpoena in the EDNC for the [redacted] records along with a sealed court order to be issued by Judge Boyle, (EDNC). This process was stopped at the direction of FBIHQ, CTD, and I was told that we needed to serve an NSL. We prepared the NSL and it was e-mailed to SAC [redacted] Atlanta Division, signed and returned. Our SAC [redacted] is currently TDY at FBIHQ. The NSL was then served on [redacted] and their legal counsel advised that he would not accept/honor the NSL because the NSL was only for [redacted]

(S)
(S)

We had our CDC and FBIHQ involved in this throughout the process. FBIHQ, ITOS I, then advised [redacted] Division would be forwarding us a GJ subpoena to serve. We obtained the GJ subpoena [redacted] late Friday afternoon and served it on the attorney [redacted]. He initially declined to honor the subpoena because he stated that we should have presented him with a court order under the Patriot Act and also that he wanted each individual who had records [redacted] to be named in the subpoena. He also made some additional remarks that I will not go into at this time. Bottom line is we told him to consider himself served and provided him with the subpoena. A/SAC [redacted]

b1
b3 EGU
b6
(S) b7C
b2
b7E

(S)

[redacted] also contacted [redacted] concerning the matter at this point. About one hour later the [redacted] attorney called our office and advised the documents were ready to be picked up.

This process delayed us approximately one day in obtaining the records. However, it occupied a great deal of my time and more importantly the time of two agents who could have been focusing on the investigation at hand. I would agree with the obvious, that administrative subpoena power in the field involving CT matters may be the single most important tool that the agents could use to improve/expedite their investigations.

If you require any additional info please let me know.

Thanks [redacted]

b6
b7C

12/19/2006

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD)(FBI)
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 3:55 PM

b6
b7C
b1

To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CE)
[redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD)(FBI); [redacted]

Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD)(FBI); [redacted] (CE) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Facts on [redacted] needed re [redacted] NSL letter ASAP
Importance: High

(S)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

[redacted] - Is the below true? Did we go NSL first and then GJS? Pls respond by e-mail.

[redacted] Anyone with full knowledge to get this back to [redacted] by e-mail?

b6
b7C
b2

[redacted]

International Terrorism Ops Section 1
CTD/FBIHQ

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 3:10 PM

b6
b7C
b1

To: [redacted] (CTD)(FBI); [redacted] (CTD)(FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)

Subject: Facts on [redacted] needed re [redacted] NSL letter ASAP
Importance: High

(S)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

(S) I just got a call from [redacted] of OCA. The Director has requested a write up on the circumstances surrounding the NSL letter issued to [redacted]

(S) It is the Director's understanding that an NSL letter was issued to [redacted] in connection with the London bombing. [redacted] would not honor the NSL letter and because of this the FBI had to issue them a Grand Jury subpoena.

b6
b7C
b1

(S) The Director would like to use this as an example tomorrow as to why we need administrative subpoenas's to fight the war on terror. In particular, he would like to know how much extra time was spent having to get the Grand Jury subpoena.

Please provide me with an e-mail regarding the particular facts of this incident. Just one paragraph in e-mail form will be sufficient.

From: [redacted] (INSD) (FBI)
 Sent: Thursday, January 04, 2007 2:42 PM
 To: [redacted] (CE) (FBI); [redacted] (INSD) (FBI)
 Cc: [redacted] (CE) (FBI)
 Subject: RE: Charlotte NSL Issue

b6
b7C

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

[redacted]

b6
b7C
b1 Yes [redacted] can call [redacted]. We have no problem with that at all. The OIG can call anyone directly, just keep us in the loop as to what transpires. Would it be helpful to send you a copy of what we sent the OIG?

b2
b7E (S) Just to give you a little information, we sent the following:

- (S) 1) E-mail dated 12/19/06 to [redacted] from [redacted] re: FW: Facts on [redacted] needed re [redacted] NSL letter ASAP.
- (S) 2) 7/15/05 EC from Charlotte to CTD [redacted] et. Al re: [redacted]
- (S) 3) 7/14/05 EC from Charlotte to CTD and OGC re: [redacted]
- (S) 4) 7/14/05 letter from [redacted] to [redacted] re: [redacted]

If you would like a copy, please let me know and I can send one ASAP to your office.

(S)

Thanks.

b6
b7C
b2 [redacted]
(202) 324 [redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CE) (FBI)
 Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2007 12:34 PM
 To: [redacted] (INSD) (FBI); [redacted] (INSD) (FBI)
 Cc: [redacted] (CE) (FBI)
 Subject: Charlotte NSL Issue

b6
b7C

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

(S) [redacted] Regarding the NSL issue involving our Division and [redacted] one of our Agents [redacted] received a call from [redacted] of the IGs office, inquiring about the matter. I contacted [redacted] and she advised that your office had forwarded documents and e-mails regarding the matter to OIG. I'm assuming by that, that you have studied the matter and we are responding to the inquiry. Should I have SA [redacted] call [redacted] and detail his recollections of the matter? He's glad to do it, but I wanted to check with you first to make sure that was what you wanted us to do.

b6
b7C
b1

Also, would you please let me know what documents and information was furnished to OIG? I would appreciate it.

Thanks.

b6
b7C
b2 [redacted]
CDC, Charlotte
704 [redacted]

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

DATE: 05-29-2007
CLASSIFIED BY 65179 DMH/KSR/JW
REASON: 1.4 (C)
DECLASSIFY ON: 05-29-2032