

[redacted] (CE) (FBI)

b6  
b7C  
b1

**From:** [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2005 5:23 PM  
**To:** [redacted] (CE) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Facts on [redacted] needed re [redacted] NSL letter ASAP

(S)  
(S)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
~~NON-RECORD~~

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT  
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

Thanks for the rapid response.

DATE: 05-29-2007  
CLASSIFIED BY 65179 DMH/KSR/JW  
REASON: 1.4 (C)  
DECLASSIFY ON: 05-29-2032

b6  
b7C

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [redacted] (CE) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2005 5:17 PM  
**To:** [redacted] (CTD)(FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD)(FBI); [redacted] (CE) (FBI); [redacted] (CID) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Facts on [redacted] needed re [redacted] NSL letter ASAP

b6  
b7C  
b1

(S)

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
~~NON-RECORD~~

b6  
b7C

[redacted]

(S) (S)

We originally were in the process of obtaining a subpoena in the EDNC for the [redacted] records along with a sealed court order to be issued by Judge Boyle, (EDNC). This process was stopped at the direction of FBIHQ, CTD, and I was told that we needed to serve an NSL. We prepared the NSL and it was e-mailed to SAC [redacted] Atlanta Division, signed and returned. Our SAC [redacted] is currently TDY at FBIHQ. The NSL was then served on [redacted] and their legal counsel advised that he would not accept/honor the NSL because the NSL was only for [redacted]

(S)  
(S)

We had our CDC and FBIHQ involved in this throughout the process. FBIHQ, ITOS I, then advised [redacted] Division would be forwarding us a GJ subpoena to serve. We obtained the GJ subpoena [redacted] late Friday afternoon and served it on the attorney [redacted]. He initially declined to honor the subpoena because he stated that we should have presented him with a court order under the Patriot Act and also that he wanted each individual who had records [redacted] to be named in the subpoena. He also made some additional remarks that I will not go into at this time. Bottom line is we told him to consider himself served and provided him with the subpoena. A/SAC [redacted]

b1  
b3 EGU  
b6  
(S) b7C  
b2  
b7E

(S)

[redacted] also contacted [redacted] concerning the matter at this point. About one hour later the [redacted] attorney called our office and advised the documents were ready to be picked up.

This process delayed us approximately one day in obtaining the records. However, it occupied a great deal of my time and more importantly the time of two agents who could have been focusing on the investigation at hand. I would agree with the obvious, that administrative subpoena power in the field involving CT matters may be the single most important tool that the agents could use to improve/expedite their investigations.

If you require any additional info please let me know.

Thanks [redacted]

b6  
b7C

12/19/2006