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Abstract

I got a letter from the government the other day
Opened it and read it
It said they were suckers
Public Enemy, Black Steel and the Hour of Chaos

This report covers a campaign of phishing and malware which we have named “Operation Manul” and which, based on the available evidence, we believe is likely to have been carried out on behalf of the government of Kazakhstan against journalists, dissidents living in Europe, their family members, known associates, and their lawyers. Many of the targets are involved in litigation with the government of Kazakhstan in European and American courts whose substance ranges from attempts by the government of Kazakhstan to unmask the administrators behind an anonymous website that publishes leaks alleging government corruption (Kazaword)\(^2\) to allegations of kidnapping.

Our research suggests links between this campaign and other campaigns that have been attributed to an Indian security company called Appin Security Group. A hired actor is consistent with our findings on the Command and Control servers related to this campaign, which included web-based control panels for multiple RATs, suggesting that several campaigns were being run at once. A hired actor may also explain the generic and uninspired nature of the phishing, which often took the form of an email purporting to contain an invoice or a legal document with an attachment containing a blurry image. An investigation by the Swiss federal police of some of the emails linked to Operation Manul concludes that they were sent from IP addresses in India, which also suggests a link to Appin.

Hundreds of leaked emails published on the Kazaword website also suggest possible links between this campaign and Arcanum Global Intelligence, a private intelligence company with headquarters in Zurich, which was allegedly hired by the government of Kazakhstan to perform a surveillance and data extraction operation against a high-profile dissident. It was Respublika’s reporting on these connections which led the government of Kazakhstan to request an injunction in a New York court to bar the website from publishing the “stolen” emails.

\(^1\) We chose the name Operation Manul because Manul cat is native to the steppes of Kazakhstan, and that this campaign seems to be targeting members of the Kazakhstan diaspora and their associates. We also like cats.

\(^2\) https://kazaword.wordpress.com/
Operation Manul

In 2015, EFF’s clients in the Respublika litigation were the targets of several spearphishing attempts they had received via email (Fig. 1). We analyzed these emails and discovered that they contained malware, which appeared to be coming from a single actor as part of an ongoing targeted hacking campaign which we have named “Operation Manul.” Over the last year Operation Manul has repeatedly targeted our clients in the Respublika case (Irina Petrushova and Alexander Petrushov), their known associates and family members, and other dissidents involved in litigation with the government of Kazakhstan in Europeans courts, as well as their family members, associates, and attorneys.

We were also able to observe links between Operation Manul and a malware campaign targeting the family of Mukhtar Ablyazov, co-founder of the Democratic Choice of Kazakhstan, a party opposed to the authoritarian rule of Kazakhstan’s President Nursultan Nazarbayev. Ablyazov is currently fighting extradition from France, where he lives in exile, to Nazarbayev-allied Russia. In May 2013, Ablyazov’s wife, Alma Shalabaeva, and 6-year-old daughter, Alua Ablyazova, were taken into custody by Italian police and forcibly deported despite having legal British and European residence permits. Within 72 hours, they were on a private jet hired by the Kazakh embassy, and taken to Almaty, Kazakhstan’s capital. Ablyazov and his supporters have characterized this move as a “kidnapping” and “political hostage-taking” ordered by President Nazabayev. Spearphishing emails and malware sent to the family and their associates during this period may have been intended to help track Alma and Alua’s movements in preparation for this incident.

---

3 https://www.eff.org/cases/kazakhstan-v-does
Fig. 1 A spearphishing email sent to Alexander Petrushov. The title of the document “Atabayev Invoice” may refer to Bolat Atabayev, a Kazakh dissident and theater director who was also targeted in this campaign.

In an appeal filed with a Swiss court earlier this year, members of Ablyazov’s family allege that they have been targeted by a campaign of spearphishing emails containing malware going back to 2012. The campaign against Ablyazov’s family, attorneys, and associates used the same malware as we found in Operation Manul, and sometimes used
the exact same emails as the emails sent to EFF’s Respublika clients and their associates, on the same dates. Additionally, analysis by GovCERT of other spearphishing emails sent to Ablyazov’s family and associates in 2015 concludes that the malware uses the kaliex.net domain and covertly installs Bandook. For this reason we believe both groups are targets of Operation Manul.

![Fig 2. The PDF document from the spearphishing email entices the victim to download a fake update to acrobat.](image)

Operation Manul appears to primarily use two different commercially available malware families: JRat and Bandook.

**Victims of Operation Manul**

Some victims of Operation Manul have expressed a desire to preserve their anonymity, which we respect. The victims we are at liberty to identify include Alexander Petrushov and Irina Petroshova, publishers of the independent Kazakh newspaper, Respublika, Peter Sahlas, a human rights attorney, several members of Mukhtar Ablyazov’s family, Astolfo
Di Amato, an Italian attorney who spearheaded anti-corruption litigation involving allegations of corruption by Kazakhstan, and dissident theater director Bolat Atabayev. Several victims allege that they have been physically followed, had their homes broken into, and been tracked using GPS devices. Mr. Di Amato alleges that his law firm’s website has been the victim of several DDoS attacks, which he believes are linked to his litigation involving the government of Kazakhstan.

**JRat Malware Family**

One of the common malware samples used over the course of Operation Manul is known as JRat or Jacksbot. JRat is a commercially available remote access tool (RAT), written in Java. JRat is currently available for purchase at jrat[.]io for the price of $40 USD. JRat has been continuously developed for the last four years, seemingly by a single developer who goes by the name “redp0ison”. While JRat itself is closed source, many modules and helpful utilities are open source and are available on github.

**JRat Functionality**

![JRat Controller on Windows](image)

*Fig 3. JRat Controller on Windows*

---

4 Payable only in bitcoin.
5 https://github.com/java-rat
JRat is a cross platform RAT, able to target hosts running Windows, OSX, Linux, BSD, and even Solaris. The RAT is highly modular—it even has an open API so that the attacker may write custom modules to fit their needs. JRat modules include the following functionality: keylogging, reverse proxy, password recovery, turning on the host webcam, disabling webcam indicator light, listing host processes, opening a shell on the host, editing the host registry, and even chatting with the remote host. JRat also provides a controller application, which is written in Java. This controller application allows the attacker to manage all of their JRat instances and view uptime, operating system, and other information about all infected hosts. JRat also provides a web version of the controller, which is open source.6

---

6 https://github.com/java-rat/web
Anti-Analysis

JRat contains a number of interesting features to thwart analysis by a malware researcher.

![JRat code snippet](image)

**Fig. 6** An example of the ZKM obfuscated JRat code.

The code itself is obfuscated using Zendix Klass Master (ZKM), a commercially-available Java obfuscator. ZKM obfuscates the code by giving it generic class, method, and variable names.

---

names, it also encodes the strings by xoring them with a series of random bytes, and includes extraneous code-paths. All of this is done to make the java bytecode harder to decompile and analyze for the reverse engineer.

The JRat JAR file contains an encrypted config file named `config.dat`. The JRat config file is encrypted using AES in CBC mode. The encryption key and IV are cleverly hidden in the “extra” field for the zipped config.dat file. As illustrated in the example below (Fig. 7), the extra field begins at offset 0x30 of the file header for a given file in the compressed JAR. Within the 32 byte extra field, the first 16 bytes are the AES decryption key, and the last 16 bytes store the IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0x0000</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Flags</th>
<th>Compression</th>
<th>Modtime</th>
<th>Mode date</th>
<th>CRC-32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0x0010</td>
<td>Compressed size</td>
<td>Uncompressed size</td>
<td>File name len</td>
<td>Extra field len</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x0020</td>
<td>File name (variable size)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x0030</td>
<td>Extra field (variable size)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 7 An example file header in a compressed Zip or JAR file.**

Once it is decrypted, we are able to extract the plaintext configuration information including the domain of the command and control server and port number (Fig 8). JRat also employs anti-virtualization features to detect and shut down if it is being run in VirtualBox, VMware, or other virtualization software.

JRat is low cost, versatile, extensible, and feature rich. Given these facts and the diversity of systems that JRAT can infect it is perhaps not surprising that the attackers chose this particular RAT.
delayms=-1
addresses=axroot.com:5006,
hiddenfile=false
icon=-1
mutex=false
error=true
title=
runnextboot=false
timeout=false
droppath=2
title=jRAT
melt=false
toms=-1
reconsec=10
mport=-1
perms=-1
id=Name5006
per=false
os=win mac linux
pass=7110eda4d09e062aa5e4a390b0a572ac0d2c0220
debugmsg=true
message=
delay=false
ti=true
vm=false
timsgfail=Disconnected from controller
name=japs
timsg=Connected to control controller
window=false

Fig. 8 A decrypted JRat config file
Bandook Malware Family

The other malware family used in this campaign is the commercially available RAT known as “Bandook.” Bandook has been available since roughly 2007. This sample seems to have been continuously developed and improved over the course of the last couple of years. Unlike JRat, Bandook is only able to target Windows computers.

Core Functionality

All Bandook executables are similar in size. Generally, they are masqueraded with fake Flash Player, Office document, or PDF document icons. None of the Bandook samples we have found in this campaign have been configured so as to execute an actual decoy document.

Normally, Bandook is distributed with an initial stub. This executable would contain another PE32 binary as an embedded PE resource. Bandook makes use of a common technique referred to as "process hollowing." It instantiates several suspended browser processes and then replaces the loaded executable memory with the code contained in the embedded resource previously mentioned.

As an example for analysis, we take the original binary with hash b002e8b6406fbd3de9bfc3b493e61c8a44b5315e8fed9daa351c49f234 and, more importantly, the embedded resource named "09897DDD" with hash c447fd4d6e1deb79acde683bb2176b5ec353c6e1b2acdfced27c413711f6f0.

Interestingly, this binary was uploaded on malwr.com in early June 2016 with the file name "Form13.exe" (which might suggest a development version). Currently, the same binary doesn't seem to be available in any other malware repository to which we have access. In this case, the malware did not execute after having successfully identified a virtualized environment, which might suggest the upload was potentially done by the authors as an attempt to verify the evasion technique.

It is also worth noting that while normally the embedded resource is obfuscated in binaries distributed in the wild, this specific case is the only one we identified with the resource embedded in the clear.
Network Indicators and Modularity

After some initialization, Bandook performs an initial beacon with the general information and configuration details it previously collected. Then it expects a command in response from the Command & Control server. If idle, the C&C will reply with "@0000", and the malware will keep beaconing back the title bar of the currently active window, until it is instructed to do something else.

Interestingly, the basic payload isn't provided with the code to perform any significant action. If instructed to do so, Bandook will download additional DLL files which provide the specifically desired functionality. This is probably meant to limit the exposure of the core modules to analysts, and to vet the infection before performing a full deployment.

In this case the available DLLs can have the names:

cap.dll
extra.dll
pws.dll
tv.dll
Ammyy.dll

We were able to obtain the first three DLLs, which were located at the URL hxxp://axroot.com/plg10/.

The following is a list of overall features available in this version of Bandook:

- Screen capture
- Webcam recording
- Audio recording
- File search, creation, deletion and exfiltration
- Spawn a shell
- Get list of available Wireless networks
- Get list of MTP devices
- Monitor USB devices
Attribution

Observed Links to the Government of Kazakhstan

Given the common thread tying together the targets we find it likely that this campaign was carried out by—or on the behalf of—the government of Kazakhstan, or forces allied with the government. The majority of the targets of the malware campaign are currently embroiled in legal disputes with the government of Kazakhstan in European courts or are the family members or associates of people involved in these disputes. The titles of spearphishing emails often indicate that the targets are being singled out specifically for their interest in matters pertaining to Kazakhstan, such as “Information KZ,” “Press document KZ,” and “Kazakh NEWS of importance - Vladimir.”

Observed Links to Arcanum Global Intelligence

Leaked emails published by Kazaword\(^8\) allege that the government of Kazakhstan had previously hired a private intelligence company known as Arcanum to perform a surveillance and data extraction mission (codenamed “Raptor”) targeting Mr. Ablyazov and his family. Among the services offered by Arcanum are “Full Spectrum Cyber Operations” which they describe using the following language:

When the need exists, we overlay Full Spectrum Cyber Operations on these core capabilities, our principals’ experience, and special technical activities. We do this in order to offer a potent resource to support cyber and information operations planning and execution virtually anywhere in the world.

When our government clients come under threat, Arcanum Global’s embedded specialists and capabilities support them with a full suite of response options, including (in consonance with applicable laws and regulations) an array of countermeasures as well as both in-kind and asymmetric responses.

We invite you to schedule a comprehensive and completely confidential discussion of your cyber concerns and objectives with our specialists. After

\(^{8}\) The emails themselves were hosted on Megaupload and have since been taken down as a result of litigation by the government of Kazakhstan, but they have been reported on extensively. You can find an extended discussion of their contents here: [http://www.viktor-khrapunov.com/en/publications-en/mediapart/](http://www.viktor-khrapunov.com/en/publications-en/mediapart/).
analyzing closely your requirements and the physical and cyber environments in which you must operate, Arcanum Global's holistic team of technical, operational and management specialists will recommend specific (and potentially sensitive) solutions – and then stand beside you to implement them and assure you realize your goals and achieve mission success.

Emails published by Kazaword and analyzed by Mediapart allege that Arcanum employed Bernard Squarcini, head of France’s domestic intelligence agency, the Direction centrale du renseignement intérieur (DCRI) from 2007 to 2012, to inform the Kazakh authorities of the progress of the legal proceedings against Ablyazov and to lobby certain figures in France. Squarcini confirmed to Mediapart that the government of Kazakhstan is a client, but Arcanum spokeswoman Yael Hartmann denied that the company was responsible for the spearphishing attempts, insisting that the company has complied with Swiss law.

There is certainly some strong evidence consistent with there being a link between Operation Manul and the government of Kazakhstan and between the government of Kazakhstan and Arcanum. However, we observe no direct links between Operation Manul and Arcanum. The technical evidence discussed below, we believe points instead to an Indian company: Appin.

**Observed Links To Appin**

We examined the behavior of the command and control domains used by Operation Manul as they moved from IP to IP. Using Passive Total, we observed that the C2 domains from Operational Manul used a total of 76 IPs from 2008-07-20 to 2016-05-11. We must consider that these domains could have been used by other actors over this time period.

While considering attribution of the actors behind Operation Manul, we investigated the possibility of infrastructure overlap with known actors. Gathering data from existing APT reports we automated gathering of historical data from known APT domains from the Passive Total API and comparison with the historical data from Operational Manul domains.

From this we were able to observe overlaps between Operation Manul and an actor known as Appin. Appin is an Indian company that allegedly provides offensive

---

9 [https://github.com/kbandla/APTnotes](https://github.com/kbandla/APTnotes)
cyber-capability on a contract basis. A 2013 report by the cybersecurity firm Norman Shark, titled “Operation Hangover: Unveiling an Indian Cyberattack Infrastructure”\textsuperscript{10}, describes multiple campaigns linked to this actor. The campaigns included attacks on Punjabi separatists, Norwegian telecom Telenor, and multiple other companies.

Appin is an exceptionally noisy actor, which might be expected given the contract nature of their work. Prior research\textsuperscript{11} revealed 607 domains related to Appin which we were able to link via historical passive DNS to 1345 IPs. Of these, there were direct overlaps for two of the Operational Manul domains. There were indirect overlaps (same IP, at different times) with 110 of the Operation Hangover domains and all but two of the domains associated with Operation Manul.

The domains researchwork.org and dropboxonline.com were both on 64.202.189.170 on 2011-01-14. Additionally, the domains adobeair.net and bikefanclub.info both resided on 50.63.202.94 from 2014-04-24 to 2014-04-25. The researchwork.org and bikefanclub.org domains were attributed to Appin in the Operation Hangover report, while adobeair.net and dropboxonline.com were observed during the investigation of Operation Manul. Additionally there was a near overlap between adobeair[.]net (one of the Operation Manul domains) and appinsecurity[.]com (attributed to Appin in the Operation Hangover report) both hosted at 174.120.120.151 just five days apart in August of 2010.

What’s more, according to an appeal filed in a Swiss court on behalf of the Ablyazov family, several of the malware samples sent to Mr. Ablyazov’s son-in-law and his attorney and linked with this campaign were variants of the HackBack Trojan. This Trojan is in the same malware family as the Trojan found on an Angolan activist’s computer at the Oslo Freedom Forum in 2013—which was also linked to Appin by researchers at ESET and Norman Security\textsuperscript{12}. We were unable to obtain the samples mentioned in the legal documents at the time of this writing.

A report written by the Swiss federal police, which investigated the origin of several of the spearphishing emails sent to Ablyazov’s family and associates, concluded that the emails were sent from IP addresses in India.

\textsuperscript{10}http://enterprise-manage.norman.c.bitbit.net/resources/files/Unveiling_an_Indian_Cyberattack_Infrastructure.pdf
\textsuperscript{11}http://ver007.com/tools/APTnotes/2013/Unveiling\%20an\%20Indian\%20Cyberattack\%20Infrastructure\%20\%20appendixes.pdf
\textsuperscript{12}http://www.welivesecurity.com/2013/06/05/operation-hangover-more-links-to-the-oslo-freedom-forum-incident/
While there are links to Appin, it’s not conclusive that Operation Manul was carried out by this actor. Both 50.63.202.94 and 64.202.189.170 are very busy domains. Passive Total tells us that 50.63.202.94 has hosted 4535 unique domains since 2012, while 64.202.189.10 has hosted 4213 unique domains since 2009. Additionally, while the overlap with Appin exists, the fact that domains used the same IP at the same time is insufficient for concrete attribution. The evidence is consistent with links to Appin, but remains inconclusive. Certainly, the sort of targeting we have seen in Operation Manul appears to be consistent with other efforts targeting activists that have been associated with the same actor.
Fig. 9. An illustration of the shared network infrastructure between Operation Manual and Operation Hangover. Domains highlighted in red shared servers with Operation Manual domains at the same time.
Other Possible Targets

Index of /ram/users/pws

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last modified</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent Directory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrator4390.enc</td>
<td>2015-12-12 20:04</td>
<td>3.0K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrator5705.enc</td>
<td>2015-12-12 20:04</td>
<td>1.8K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrator6186.enc</td>
<td>2015-12-12 20:04</td>
<td>1.8K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LES08893.enc</td>
<td>2015-12-12 20:04</td>
<td>2.2K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS9971.enc</td>
<td>2015-12-12 20:04</td>
<td>2.4K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turing3854.enc</td>
<td>2015-12-12 20:04</td>
<td>1.8K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRT5656.enc</td>
<td>2015-12-12 20:04</td>
<td>1.8K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yap7644.enc</td>
<td>2015-12-12 20:04</td>
<td>2.3K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>merssasa574.enc</td>
<td>2015-12-12 20:04</td>
<td>1.8K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>omar5921.enc</td>
<td>2015-12-12 20:04</td>
<td>2.0K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workshop4720.enc</td>
<td>2015-12-12 20:04</td>
<td>2.1K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apache/2.4.17 (Win32) OpenSSL/1.0.2d PHP/5.6.15 Server at axroot.com Port 80

Fig 10. Uploaded password files from other victims

While investigating the C2 servers associated with Operation Manul, we discovered several open directories which contained files presumably related to other operations being run by this same actor (Fig 10). Additionally, we discovered web control panels for several different commodity RATs located under directories that appeared to be code-names for different operations (Fig 11). We also discovered several files which were presumably uploaded from other victims’ computers (Fig 12). Lastly, we discovered encrypted data dumps from yet more campaigns, which we were unable at the time of this report.

We found many related samples of the Bandook Trojan while we were doing our research. For example, 65af112ce229ad888bf4bbba1e3dba701e0e68c9caf81543bb395a8b8192ba8e contains references to Al Qaeda/ISIS material and the forged document is from an Arabic language pack. This sample however is associated with the same C2 servers used by Operation Manul.
We also found several uploaded log files which indicate the presence of an Android RAT. Unfortunately we were to find samples of this RAT at the time of this report.

The discoveries that we made while investigating the command and control infrastructure associated with this campaign suggest that these attackers are “hired guns” and have multiple operations against different targets going on at the same time.

---

**Fig 11.** Web based RAT control panels found on Operation Manul C2 Servers

**Fig 12.** Uploaded documents from the victim of another campaign found on Operation Manul C2 servers.
Conclusion

Operation Manul is not particularly sophisticated, but it is well-understood that attacks don’t need to be sophisticated in order to be effective. Not a single sample that we have found in this campaign has employed a 0-day vulnerability. Unlike the lawful interception software that companies such as FinFisher and Hacking Team sell to governments and law enforcement, the RATs employed in this campaign are not only commercially-available to anyone, they’re cheap.

The fact that these attacks are not sophisticated should not discourage other researchers from doing similar work. For activists and journalists who are being surveilled by authoritarian governments, surveillance is often just the first step in a campaign of intimidation, threats, and even direct violence. This kind of security research has the potential to have a real impact on vulnerable people. We suspect that the use of malware by governments to spy on political dissidents, especially exiles who live outside of their government’s direct sphere of influence is increasingly common, which presents many opportunities for further research.

The possible connections between the government of Kazakhstan and companies that provide “hackers for hire” suggest that the problem of governments using malware to spy on political exiles and independent journalists goes beyond the sale of lawful interception software. We hope that further research will help to shed light on this practice and the companies that make these services available.
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Appendix A: Indicators of Compromise

C2 Servers

The samples from the Operation Manul campaign described in this paper use the following command and control (c2) domains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>axroot.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kaliex.net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adobeair.net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mangoco.net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jaysonj.no-ip.biz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>orange2015.net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accountslogin.services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adobeinstall.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adobe-flashviewer.accountslogin.services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dropboxonline.com</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hashes

The following are hashes of malware samples discovered during our research which are associated with Operation Manul.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a0491f4e55158d745fd1653950c89fcc937d3c1102680bd3ce67616a36bb2592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06529ac1d3388732ebca75b8ee0adf0bc7f45d4c448ec98223dd7a258a0f1f33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1192b5111f7c75417215a1285a20147f5ab085368fa95d74e7603d26736057ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1192b5111f7c75417215a1285a20147f5ab085368fa95d74e7603d26736057ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1e3966e77ad1cbf3e3ef76803f9f92300b2b88af39650a1208520e00c05645b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2431ff8ba00923a9c115a57e541d9d20e0a686cb1b48b87e7798764cf07dfab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345773dc4215c8c189d21536755614ca7b89082b96563239e363dd72c0cd8c68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373231f5be17e09e4ce9f76b35e5be57c961d6c8a9286b2e20e20353b3c9dd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39802d53ae4a29c528626b0870872040dc5c994fb3b6b9e4a3b982144ad56e6c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40d30bc2db27e2a8a12cdbeb5aae19f04064e5a1775bd3e6cf61a7070b79d3b3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40e9c694901ae2b7993a8cd81f872076ee430e151f64af06993eb79442103ef8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B: Further Reading

http://enterprise-manage.norman.c.bitbit.net/resources/files/Unveiling_an_Indian_CyberAttack_Infrastructure.pdf
http://www.welivesecurity.com/2013/05/16/targeted-threat-pakistan-india/