

# CALIFORNIA ELECTRONIC INTERCEPTIONS REPORT



Annual Report  
to the  
Legislature  
2013

# CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General



Division of Criminal Law

Appeals, Writs & Trials Section

This annual report is available on the  
California Attorney General's website:  
<http://ag.ca.gov>

# *Table of Contents*

Executive Summary .....	1
<b>Table 1:</b> Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2013.....	2
<b>Table 2:</b> General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2013 .....	3
<b>Table 3:</b> Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges And Court Activity During Calendar Year 2013 .....	4
<b>Table 4:</b> Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2013 .....	5
<b>Table 5:</b> Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report.....	47
<b>Table 6:</b> Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2013 .....	85
<b>Table 7:</b> Jurisdiction Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity During Calendar Year 2013 .....	114
<b>Table 8:</b> Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years.....	115
<b>Table 9:</b> List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Installed or Not Used.. ....	130
Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 “Report by Attorney General” .....	131

## *Executive Summary*

The 2013 Attorney General's Electronic Interceptions Report, issued as required by Penal Code section 629.62, contains electronic intercept information provided by the 58 California counties.

Electronic intercepts are legally sanctioned surveillance of electronic communications for law enforcement purposes. This report contains electronic interception data and describes how electronic intercepts have enhanced the ability of state and federal law enforcement agencies to identify and dismantle drug trafficking organizations, solve serious and violent crimes, and incapacitate criminal street gangs. Though the report is divided into separate tables, the tables should be read in conjunction with one another to evaluate the impact intercepts have had on public safety.

While the majority of the cases arising from these 2013 electronic intercepts will not proceed to trial until a later date, 85 individuals have already been convicted within the reporting period. The number of arrests, convictions and trials are just one method of evaluating the effectiveness of electronic intercepts, however. In many cases, intercepts successfully prevented serious and violent crimes from occurring.

For example, in Riverside County, law enforcement seized six tons of marijuana before it could be distributed and disrupted a drug trafficking organization's structure and ability to operate.

In addition, firearms, ammunition, narcotics, and proceeds from narcotics sales were seized. The intelligence on the structures of criminal street gangs is often used to dismantle such organizations. In Alameda and Kern counties, electronic intercepts played a substantial role in incapacitating the ability of several criminal street gangs to commit crimes ranging from murders and kidnappings to prostitution and drug trafficking.

Tables 1, 2, and 3 reflect the statistics for arrests, convictions, the nature of intercept orders, and post-intercept court activity. Table 4 contains a description of and optional commentary for each intercept. Table 5 represents compliance with the inventory notice requirement given to those who were subjected to intercepts. Table 6 shows the cost of each intercept. Table 7 lists counties with no intercepts to report, while Table 8 provides supplemental information for intercepts approved prior to 2013 but completed later. Finally, Table 9 lists intercept orders which were never used.

The report can be located on the Attorney General's website at <http://oag.ca.gov>. If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact the Criminal Law Division of the Attorney General's Office at (916) 324-5267.

**Table 1**  
**Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>1</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	No of Orders	Number of Persons Arrested		Arrest Offenses						Conviction Offenses						Number of Persons Convicted	
		Total	Avg. per Order	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Total	Avg. per Order
Alameda	19	49	16.3	6	43				49		11				14	14	4.7
Contra Costa	4	18	18.0			18										0	
Fresno	5	12	12.0			12										0	
Imperial	2	0														0	
Kern	10	5	2.5	2	2	3										0	
Kings	15	34	2.3	2	33	16			5							0	
Los Angeles	146	53	2.0			3										0	
Merced	1	10	10.0			10						6				6	6.0
Monterey	11	59	59.0			59						32				32	32.0
Orange	4	5	1.7	4		1										0	
Riverside	329	343	7.0			134									11	11	0.2
Sacramento	8	12	4.0			12										0	
San Bernardino	43	100	4.0	1	1	59			0			1				1	0.0
San Diego	18	20	5.0	3		17						14				14	3.5
San Joaquin	9	4	4.0	4	4											0	
San Luis Obispo	3	13	13.0			13										0	
San Mateo	1	0														0	
Santa Barbara	5	10	5.0			10						2				2	1.0
Santa Clara	14	18	3.0	12	8	6										0	
Shasta	1	0														0	
Stanislaus	13	9	3.0	2		7										0	
Ventura	13	25	4.2		5	14			2			5				5	0.8
<b>Total:</b>	<b>674</b>	<b>799</b>		<b>36</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>85</b>	

<sup>1</sup> The disparity between "Number of Persons Arrested" and "Number of Persons Convicted" is attributed to the fact that a number of those arrested are pending either charges or trial, a process which could take a period of time.

**Table 2**  
**General Description of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013**

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Orders	Targeted Offenses (Offenses Specified in Order)						Targeted Location					Targeted Device				
		Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Residence	Business	Public Area	Portable device	Other	Cell Phone	Land Line	Pager	Computer	Other
Alameda	19	19						1			12		19	1			
Contra Costa	4		3	1									4				
Fresno	5			5							5		5				
Imperial	2			2									2				
Kern	10	7	7	3									10				
Kings	15		15								15		15				
Los Angeles	146	21		125				1	1		146		144			2	2
Merced	1			1									1				
Monterey	11		11	11				11			10		11				
Orange	4	3		1				1			4		4	1			
Riverside	329	2		326			1				329		328				
Sacramento	8	5		3							5		8	1			
San Bernardino	43	4	3	36							9		43				
San Diego	18	4	1	14				1			18		18	1			2
San Joaquin	9	3	5	4					1		7		8	1			
San Luis Obispo	3			3									2	1			
San Mateo	1	1	1										1				
Santa Barbara	5	3	3	5							3		5				3
Santa Clara	14	4	1	10							8		14				
Shasta	1	1												1			
Stanislaus	13	6		7				1	1		2		13				
Ventura	13	4	8	5				1			13		13				

**Table 3**  
**Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges**  
**And Court Activity During Calendar Year 2013<sup>2</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions						Total Duration of Wiretaps			Motions to Suppress			Number of Trials Resulting from 2013 Intercepts
	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Average Length	Requested Days	Actual Days	Avg. per Order	Granted	Denied	Pending	
Alameda	19	19										570	302	16				0
Contra Costa	4	4	1									104	90	23				0
Fresno	5	5										150	94	19				0
Imperial	2	2				6	6				30	240	240	120				0
Kern	10	10										180	188	19				0
Kings	15	15										450	215	14				0
Los Angeles	146	143				59	59				30	6090	5893	40			1	0
Merced	1	1				2	2				15	59	59	59				2
Monterey	11	11				3	3				30	420	286	26			2	0
Orange	4	4										120	69	17				0
Riverside	329	325	6		4	136	133	3		4	30	13920	12569	38				1
Sacramento	8	8				1	1				30	270	144	18				0
San Bernardino	43	43	2		1	40	40			1	30	2490	2256	52				2
San Diego	18	18	3			7	7				30	733	633	35				0
San Joaquin	9	9										270	142	16				0
San Luis Obispo	3	3				3	3				30	180	137	46				1
San Mateo	1	1	1									30	29	29				0
Santa Barbara	5	4		1								150	149	30				8
Santa Clara	14	14	1			3	3				30	480	400	29				0
Shasta	1	1										30	18	18				0
Stanislaus	13	13				2	2				30	450	285	22				3
Ventura	13	13	9			19	19	13			9	570	521	40		1		5

<sup>2</sup> The purpose of obtaining electronic intercept evidence is not always trial-focused and may relate to further investigation at the state or federal level as well as crime prevention.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Alameda	13-01	30	83	0	100	The wiretap was initiated in response to a missing person, who was located alive one day after interception was authorized.
	13-02	176	5,903	16	84	The wiretap targeted a criminal street gang. This investigation resulted in numerous arrests of gang members for offenses ranging from conspiracy to commit murder to unlawful firearm possession and successfully reduced the criminal activity of the gang.
	13-03	249	7,435	12	88	
	13-04	499	12,168	17	83	
	2013-ALA-8	276	3,529	25	75	
	2013-ALA-9	279	3,657	10	90	
	13-08	68	967	13	87	
	13-16	171	4,974	6	94	The wiretap investigation was initiated to solve a murder. The investigation provided evidence regarding the murder, a second murder, and a conspiracy to commit a third murder.
	13-17	966	9,771	6	94	
	13-18	159	2,222	5	95	
	13-19	652	17,993	5	95	
	2013-ALA-10	8	21	0	100	
	2013-ALA-11	178	8,220	11	89	The wiretap targeted a criminal street gang. The investigation resulted in numerous arrests of gang members for offenses ranging from kidnapping and robbery to unlawful possession of a firearm and successfully reduced criminal activity in the area.
	2013-ALA-13	122	4,651	12	88	
	2013-ALA-14	76	1,365	9	91	
	2013-ALA-15	182	1,597	3	97	
	2013-ALA-16	189	3,877	18	82	
	2013-ALA-17	149	386	8	92	

<sup>3</sup> Comments are not mandated and may be edited.



**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-ALA-18	90	561	9	91	
Contra Costa	2013-CC-2	100	12,084	7	93	
	2013-CC-3	100	1,767	8	92	
	2013-CC-4	100	0			
	2013-CC-7	27	415	12	88	
Fresno	2013-FR-1	161	11,434	11	89	A drug trafficking organization (DTO) was interrupted significantly. A large-scale methamphetamine lab capable of producing 200+ pounds of crystal meth per month was removed. Additionally, 135 pounds of methamphetamine (including 12 gallons of methamphetamine in solution), weapons, 5,000 rounds of ammunition and over \$10,000 were seized.
	2013-FR-2	108	4,247	10	90	See EICOS No. 2013-FR-1.
	2013-FR-3	50	799	55	45	See EICOS No. 2013-FR-1.
	2013-FR-4	16	178	40	60	See EICOS No. 2013-FR-1.
	2013-FR-5	4	27	0	0	See EICOS No. 2013-FR-1.
Imperial	2012-IM-75		9,530			Numerous investigative leads and co-conspirators were identified. Interception of these telephones yielded 48 pounds of cocaine and 26 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2012-IM-76		9,530			See EICOS No. 2013-IM-75.
Kern	2013-KE-25	21	37	21	79	Reference data EICOS Nos. 2013-KE-25 and 2013 KE-26. This investigation confirmed that several gang members conspired and carried out a gang-related murder. Also, law enforcement was able to identify numerous co-conspirators in the murder as well as the structure and organization of the gang to include drug trafficking and prostitution as well as an additional shooting. Ultimately, law enforcement was able to successfully apprehend the suspects in the murder. Without the intercept evidence, the murder would have remained unsolved and the shot callers would have been able to continue to organize violent criminal acts. As a result of the information obtained through

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						conversations, this wiretap investigation generated several other investigations into the criminal street gang that are currently in progress.
	2013-KE-26	0	0	0	0	See EICOS No. 2013-KE-25.
	2013-KE-27	124	2,309	15	85	See EICOS No. 2013-KE-25.
	2013-KE-28	124	1,107	12	88	See EICOS No. 2013-KE-25.
	2013-KE-29	124	1,992	5	95	See EICOS No. 2013-KE-25.
	2013-KE-30	124	2,142	1	99	See EICOS No. 2013-KE-25.
	2013-KE-31	124	1,127	5	95	See EICOS No. 2013-KE-25.
	2013-KE-32	4	1,350	32	68	This investigation identified a large quantity of methamphetamine distributors and other suppliers and distributors. EICOS Nos. 2013-KE 32 and 33 resulted in the arrest of three individuals, seizure of 11 pounds of methamphetamine, two guns, and a bullet proof vest; the other wiretaps and investigation are currently ongoing.
	2013-KE-33	4	370	13	87	See EICOS No. 2013-KE-32.
	2013-KE-34	206	3,334	39	61	Multiagency task forces (state and federal) initiated a methamphetamine smuggling/distribution investigation of a DTO with local gang ties believed to be capable of distributing multiple pounds of illicit narcotics, specifically crystal meth and heroin. Throughout the course of this wiretap, criminal investigators identified a supplier capable of providing 45 pounds of methamphetamine at any one time. This investigation is ongoing.
Kings	2013-KI-1	4	1,119			The intercepted communications were used to prevent violent crimes and secure evidence of gang conspiracies. Based on the intercepted communications, validated gang members were arrested and found to be in possession of methamphetamine, assault weapons, firearms, ammunition, and body armor.
	2013-KI-2	3	879			Intercepted communications were used to prevent violent crimes and secure evidence of gang

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						conspiracies. Based on the intercepted communications, validated gang members were arrested and found to be in possession of methamphetamine, assault weapons, firearms, ammunition and body armor.
	2013-KI-3	1	210			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
	2013-KI-4	1	510			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
	2013-KI-5	1	164			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
	2013-KI-6	5	1,018			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
	2013-KI-7	2	712			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
	2013-KI-8	1	66			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
	2013-KI-9	1	132			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
	2013-KI-10	1	41			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
	2013-KI-11	2	99			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
	2013-KI-12	2	51			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
	2013-KI-13	1	62			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
	2013-KI-14	3	129			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
	2013-KI-15	1	88			See EICOS No. 2013-KI-1.
Los Angeles	2012-LA-593	5	308	13	87	Agents intercepted conversations indicating the suspect was going to remit a large amount of narcotic proceeds. Agents and investigators detained the suspect. This wiretap resulted in the seizure of two kilograms of cocaine and \$81,650 of narcotic proceeds.
	2012-LA-595	71	2,425	1	99	Ongoing homicide investigation.
	2012-LA-596	214	5,564	2	98	The subject was involved in a murder.
	2012-LA-597	785	3,271	5	95	Telephone is currently still active and agents are pursuing other leads derived from the telephone.
	2012-LA-598	73	1,045	22	78	During the intercept, agents learned the subject and his associates were planning to sell a large quantity of narcotics. Task force members established surveillance and stopped a car leaving the location. Three kilograms of cocaine was seized from the vehicle. Subsequently, a state search warrant was served at the suspect's

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						residence, resulting in \$55,000 in narcotics proceeds. The suspect was arrested.
	2013-LA-599	134	12,420	10	90	Interceptions were instrumental in identifying additional U.S. based co-conspirators within this DTO.
	2013-LA-600	20	720	34	66	Agents identified other members of the DTO. This case is ongoing.
	2013-LA-601	61	1,237	16	84	This wiretap helped identify additional members within the organization, including a source of supply.
	2013-LA-602	188	1,139	68	32	Agents identified other members of the DTO. This case is ongoing.
	2013-LA-603	69	2,546	12	88	Numerous calls were intercepted, allowing access into the criminal organization's trafficking abilities and patterns of transporting narcotics from Mexico into the United States. The investigation is still ongoing.
	2013-LA-605	0	0	0	0	The subject discontinued using this phone. This investigation continues.
	2013-LA-606	52	3,820	8	92	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-607	137	2,296	11	89	The subjects were involved in a murder. Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-608	55	7,736	20	80	During the course of this wiretap, 14 kilograms of cocaine was seized and one person arrested. In addition, 20 kilograms of cocaine, 44 pounds of crystal meth, and \$344,250 were seized. Two additional people were arrested during this time period.
	2013-LA-609	403	11,713	5	95	Subjects were involved in a murder.
	2013-LA-610	167	1,079	16	84	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-611	23	317	36	64	The interceptions included conversations about the transportation, quantities, pricing, and destination states for loads of narcotics.
	2013-LA-612	0	0	0	0	No criminal charges resulted from the interceptions obtained pursuant to this wiretap order.
	2013-LA-613	424	6,263	18	82	During this interception period, agents identified associates, source of supply, stash locations, method of transportation and other subjects. Agents seized \$1.2 million in U.S. currency.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-LA-614	856	5,004	20	80	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-615	107	30,023	20	80	Although no arrests took place during this interception period, valuable intelligence was gathered. This led to other investigations and seizures.
	2013-LA-616	3	17	29	71	Due to the short period of interception, no prosecutable conversations were intercepted.
	2013-LA-617	32	3,023	35	65	The interceptions assisted law enforcement in discovering new and additional targets which led to subsequent arrests and seizures.
	2013-LA-618	69	586	37	63	See EICOS No. 2013-LA-613.
	2013-LA-619	22	1,609	9	91	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-620	59	2,889	17	83	Conversations intercepted led to the arrest of an individual transporting 14 kilograms of cocaine.
	2013-LA-621	81	4,188	12	88	Intercepted communications received from these wiretaps were instrumental in identifying further U.S.-based narcotics traffickers located in the Southern California area.
	2013-LA-622	16	2,998	36	64	Agents intercepted telephone conversations regarding a narcotics transaction for multiple units of narcotics. Agents seized \$36,000 of narcotics proceeds, 200 pounds of marijuana, and one firearm, and made several arrests. Investigation is ongoing.
	2013-LA-624	38	1,281	11	89	This wiretap helped identify additional members within the organization and also resulted in a 20 pound methamphetamine seizure.
	2013-LA-625	33	405	21	79	No arrest or significant activity occurred during this wiretap.
	2013-LA-626	67	9,273	6	94	Intercepted communications resulted in the arrest of one suspect, and the seizure of \$427,550 in narcotics proceeds.
	2013-LA-627	495	0			Although no arrest took place during this investigation period, valuable intelligence was gathered. This information led to other investigations and seizures.
	2013-LA-628	0	4	0	100	Valuable intelligence was gathered, which has led to other

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						investigations.
	2013-LA-629	53	1,270	25	85	Numerous calls were intercepted allowing us to identify this DTO's method of operation. Stops were conducted and 250 pounds of marijuana was seized followed by a search warrant resulting in the seizure of a semi-auto handgun. Additionally, \$245,600 was seized. Two subjects were arrested and released pending the conclusion of this case.
	2013-LA-630	37	1,341	11	89	From the interceptions, pertinent intelligence was gathered and subsequently \$23,000 of narcotics proceeds and five packages of heroin weighing 9.2 gross pounds were seized.
	2013-LA-631	59	3,538	25	75	Interceptions provided information about a DTO. In addition, 20 pounds of methamphetamine and an "icing" lab was seized in connection to the wire interception.
	2013-LA-632	52	972	29	71	Numerous conversations between subject and co-conspirators about the trafficking of narcotics and narcotics proceeds were intercepted.
	2013-LA-633	168	44,061	13	87	During this interception period, 50 kilograms of cocaine was seized from the subject. It is anticipated that this subject will be indicted at the end of the investigation.
	2013-LA-634	52	2,999	13	87	Numerous calls were intercepted, allowing us access into this criminal organization's trafficking abilities and patterns of transporting narcotics. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2013-LA-635	203	5,222	6	94	No significant activities or arrests occurred during this interception period.
	2013-LA-636	75	709	26	74	During the interception of this wiretap, agents were able to identify additional DTO members. The investigation continues.
	2013-LA-637	78	2,633	12	88	Numerous conversations between the subject and co-conspirators regarding the trafficking of narcotics and narcotics proceeds were intercepted. Additionally, 50 kilograms of cocaine and \$100,020 were seized. During this time period,

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						two additional people directly related to the subject and this investigation were arrested.
	2013-LA-638	178	5,589	14	86	During this interception period, agents identified associates, source of supply, stash locations, method of transportation and other subjects. Agents seized \$1.2 million in U.S. currency.
	2013-LA-639	10	49	37	63	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-640	27	538	11	89	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-641	0	0	0	0	No calls were intercepted. Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-642	2	10	40	60	Subjects were no longer using this telephone, so monitoring was stopped and interception of the line was disconnected.
	2013-LA-643	163	1,616	6	94	Subject was involved in a conspiracy to commit murder.
	2013-LA-644	5	242	17	83	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-645	234	37,085	17	83	Although no arrests took place during this period, agents were able to gather intelligence which led to a separate investigation.
	2013-LA-646	58	217	14	86	This wiretap helped identify additional members within the organization, including a source of supply.
	2013-LA-647	1	30	40	60	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-648	13	1,829	3	97	As a result of the interceptions, agents seized 12 pounds of heroin and three pounds of methamphetamine.
	2013-LA-649	387	7,020	8	92	During the wiretap, agents learned the subject and associates were planning to sell a large quantity of narcotics. Subsequently, a state search warrant resulted in the seizure of five pounds of methamphetamine. The suspects were arrested.
	2013-LA-650	68	3,767	10	90	Two subjects were arrested, 22 pounds of methamphetamine and \$224,370 in U.S. currency were seized pursuant to a search warrant. A stop was conducted on a vehicle leaving a stash house and \$253,753 in U.S. currency was seized.
	2013-LA-651	123	7,578	15	85	Numerous conversations between subject and co-conspirators about

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						the trafficking of narcotics and narcotics proceeds were intercepted. In addition, 50 kilograms of cocaine and \$100,020 were seized. Two additional people were arrested related to this investigation.
	2013-LA-653		0			Eleven gross pounds of heroin was seized from this DTO.
	2013-LA-654	707	14,066	0	100	Subjects were involved in a murder.
	2013-LA-655	43	1,675	9	91	This intercept led to another investigation into a larger DTO.
	2013-LA-656	28	384	19	81	During this interception period, agents identified associates and methods of transportation related to the subject.
	2013-LA-657	58	2,068	29	71	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-658	192	3,129	3	97	Targets were in communication with a subject suspected of conspiracy to commit murder.
	2013-LA-659	97	4,008	25	75	During this interception period, agents seized \$1.3 million in narcotics proceeds, two kilograms of heroin, and a firearm.
	2013-LA-660	313	4,124	14	86	During this interception period, agents identified associates and the methods of transportation related to the subjects.
	2013-LA-661	35	4,982	45	55	During this interception period, subjects were arrested with two kilograms of heroin, a firearm, and \$500,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2013-LA-662	28	2,123	93	7	See EICOS No. 203-LA-660.
	2013-LA-663	46	1,988	45	55	During this interception period, one subject was arrested with a pound of heroin, a firearm, and \$96,000 in narcotic proceeds.
	2013-LA-664	41	1,552	17	83	The interceptions enabled law enforcement to conduct selective surveillance on suspects which led to the seizure of three pounds of methamphetamine, two semi-auto pistols, ammunition, and four arrests.
	2013-LA-665	314	1,681	12	88	Valuable intelligence was gathered and other investigations were granted.
	2013-LA-666	47	394	10	90	See EICOS NO. 2013-LA-660.
	2013-LA-667	24	1,648	12	88	A suspect was arrested in another state while transporting 50 kilograms



**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						of cocaine belonging to the subject of this wiretap interception.
	2013-LA-668	36	952	1	99	No criminal charges resulted from the interceptions obtained pursuant to this wiretap order.
	2013-LA-669	28	2,691	13	87	This wiretap helped identify additional members within the organization and resulted in the seizure of 10 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2013-LA-670	19	465	20	80	Subject was involved in a murder.
	2013-LA-671	32	143	17	83	This wiretap investigation led to other investigations.
	2013-LA-672	67	711	21	79	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-673	217	6,171	13	87	No significant activity or arrests during this intercept period.
	2013-LA-674	34	330	21	79	During the interception of this wiretap, agents were able to identify additional DTO members.
	2013-LA-675	28	384	19	81	See EICOS No. 2013-LA-660.
	2013-LA-676	28	1,764	20	80	Interceptions provided investigators with associates, customers, and sources operating within the DTO.
	2013-LA-677	285	3,314	3	97	Subject was involved in a murder.
	2013-LA-678	262	20,466	6	94	Due to this wiretap, 20 kilograms of cocaine and \$36,000 in suspected narcotics proceeds were seized. Two people were arrested and released pending charges.
	2013-LA-679	49	7,006	9	91	See EICOS No. 2013-LA-669.
	2013-LA-680	18	147	100	0	No significant activity or arrests during this intercept period.
	2012-LA-681	77	567	8	92	See EICOS No. 2013-LA-674.
	2013-LA-682	112	4,179	17	83	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-683	51	8,777	3	97	The subject discontinued using this phone. This investigation is ongoing.
	2013-LA-684	36	4,566	8	92	Numerous calls were intercepted, allowing access into this criminal organization's trafficking abilities and patterns of transporting narcotics. This investigation is ongoing.
	2013-LA-685	9	299	23	77	This was an emergency wiretap, due to the victim being kidnapped and under threat of murder if the subject did not pay for the cocaine that was seized. Use of this

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						telephone was discontinued, although interception continued for the full period in case information about the kidnapping could be gleaned.
	2013-LA-686	158	1,065	24	76	No significant activity or arrests during this period.
	2013-LA-687	20	2,244	4	96	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-688	7	149	5	95	The subject stopped using this telephone after the interception began. As such, no arrests or seizures were made based on this intercept.
	2013-LA-689	700	2,474	10	90	This wiretap helped identify additional members within the organization.
	2013-LA-690	60	663	8	92	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-691	31	1,271	36	64	See EICOS No. 2013-LA-689.
	2013-LA-692	134	12,648	23	77	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-693	344	14,542	13	87	Agent intercepted telephone conversations indicating the subject was going to receive cocaine from his supplier. Investigators established surveillance and arrested the courier.
	2013-LA-694	25	508	19	81	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-695	5	67	4	96	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-696	66	745	48	52	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-697	2	46	4	96	No arrests or seizures were made from this wiretap investigation.
	2013-LA-699	87	5,021	19	81	Agents intercepted several conversations indicating subject was going to receive an unknown amount of cocaine. A traffic stop was conducted, resulting in the seizure of \$15,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2013-LA-700	42	477	37	63	See EICOS No. 2013-LA-689.
	2013-LA-701	51	8,777	27	73	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-702	60	679	57	43	No significant activity during this period.
	2013-LA-703	79	604	30	70	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-704	4	1,364	0	100	No significant enforcement activity during this period.
	2013-LA-705	53	898	7	93	Ongoing investigation.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-LA-706	95	427	23	77	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-707	26	4,604	7	93	The interceptions assisted investigators with the identification of the DTO's participants and sources. The interceptions were critical in seizing multiple pounds of methamphetamine.
	2013-LA-708	35	1,265	41	59	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-709	0	0	0	0	The phone was deactivated prior to the beginning of the interception.
	2013-LA-710	8	19	47	53	Case is still active.
	2013-LA-712	63	362	55	45	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-713	39	32	31	69	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-714	62	59	51	49	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-715	0	52	0	100	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-716	183	6,240	37	63	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-717	172	23,196	2	98	The subject discontinued using this phone. This investigation is ongoing.
	2013-LA-718	128	3,881	8	92	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-719	15	144	61	39	No significant enforcement activity during this period.
	2013-LA-720	277	7,816	3	97	Ongoing homicide investigation. Arrest to follow.
	2013-LA-721	2	111	0	100	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-722	47	399	30	70	This wiretap provided intercepts which identified co-conspirators involved in the transportation of narcotics proceeds between states. This information was significant in that it also led to the recovery of the narcotic proceeds and the arrest of two suspects. Based on the aforementioned, numerous post arrest conversations were also intercepted, which led to the implication of additional conspirators.
	2013-LA-723	34	137	14	86	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-724	20	259	68	32	No significant enforcement activity during this period.
	2013-LA-726	27	1,258	12	88	The subject discontinued using this phone. This investigation is ongoing.
	2013-LA-727	0	0	0	0	No significant enforcement activity during this period.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-LA-728	21	1,317	5	95	Interception was terminated early because the subject lost possession of the telephone.
	2013-LA-729	83	2,401	10	90	This wiretap provided intercepts which identified co-conspirators involved in the transportation of narcotic proceeds between states. This information was significant in that it also led to the recovery of the narcotic proceeds and the arrest of two suspects.
	2013-LA-730	105	3,380	5	95	This investigation targeted two suspects who committed a murder during the commission of a residential burglary and included multiple wiretaps. These wiretaps allowed detectives to gather direct evidence linking the targeted suspects to the homicide. Both suspects were arrested and murder charges were filed at the conclusion of the wiretap investigation. Criminal proceedings have begun and trials are pending.
	2013-LA-731	213	1,771	8	92	Subject was involved in a murder.
	2013-LA-732	78	2,055	18	82	With the help of the electronic interceptions, investigators were able to compile enough evidence and present the case to the District Attorney's Office.
	2013-LA-734	52	5,343	5	95	The subject discontinued using this phone. This investigation is ongoing.
	2013-LA-735	45	758	7	93	See EICOS No. 2013-LA-732.
	2013-LA-736	231	6,083	17	83	Investigation is ongoing.
	2013-LA-737	191	4,686	19	81	This case is still pending and investigation is ongoing.
	2013-LA-738	84	1,569	47	53	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-739	105	743	4	96	See EICOS No. 2013-LA-730.
	2013-LA-740	105	838	1	99	This investigation targeted two suspects who committed a murder during the commission of a residential burglary and included multiple wiretaps. These wiretaps allowed detectives to gather direct evidence linking the targeted suspects to the homicide.
	2013-LA-741	78	562	11	89	See EICOS No. 2013-LA-732.
	2014-LA-742	36	909	44	56	Ongoing investigation.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-LA-743	62	1,507	31	69	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-744	55	1,835	8	92	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-745	0	13	0	100	Ongoing investigation.
	2013-LA-746	0	39	0	100	Ongoing investigation.
Merced	2013-MER-25	200	13,490	12	88	The intercepts led agents to a methamphetamine lab. Agents were able to take the lab down and seize drugs, money, and guns.
Monterey	2013-MTY-13		12,960			As a result of the intercepts on this wiretap, a major gang-related narcotics operation was dismantled with the arrest of the major distributor and his operatives being arrested.
	2013-MTY-14		3,671			As a result of the intercepts, a major gang-related narcotics operation was dismantled with the major distributor and his operatives being arrested.
	2013-MTY-15		2,242			Intercept resulted in the dismantling of a major gang's drug sales operation
	2013-MTY-16		6,545			The intercepts resulted in the dismantling of a major gang drug operation.
	2013-MTY-17		5,822			See EICOS No. 2013-MTY-16.
	2013-MTY-18		4,756			The intercepts resulted in a major gang's drug ring being dismantled.
	2013-MTY-19		646			The intercepts resulted in the termination of a major gang's drug distribution network.
	2013-MTY-20		417			See EICOS No. 2013-MTY-16.
	2013-MTY-21		331			The intercepts resulted in the termination of the operations of a major gang's drug distribution network.
	2013-MTY-22		0			The intercepts resulted in the termination of a major gang's drug distribution network.
	2013-MTY-23		0			The intercepts resulted in the termination of a major gang's drug distribution ring.
Orange	2013-OR-82	6	225	1	99	An intercepted conversation led to murder charges being filed against the subject and another gang member.
	2013-OR-83	8	458	1	99	See EICOS No. 2013-OR-82.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-OR-84	32	309	83	17	The intercepted conversations confirmed the subject's involvement in narcotics trafficking. As a result of this wiretap, there was a seizure of 22 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2013-OR-85	46	2,845	20	80	Information obtained from intercepted calls assisted in the identification and arrest of two individuals believed to be responsible for a double murder.
Riverside	2012-RIV-574	239	4,091	5	95	
	2012-RIV-575	83	2,506	36	64	This wire tap led to the seizure of narcotics proceeds in the amount of \$12,650 U.S. currency. It also led to additional wiretaps on associated subjects. This case remains ongoing.
	2012-RIV-576	61	2,717	23	77	This wiretap interception order led to the identification of several other subjects and sources of supply.
	2012-RIV-577	15	2,515	26	74	This wiretap led to the seizure of one vehicle, \$670,583 U.S. currency, 10 firearms, 600 pounds of methamphetamine, and 30 pounds of heroin.
	2012-RIV-578	31	1,209	54	46	This wiretap interception order(s) led to the seizure of 48 pounds of methamphetamine and three vehicles.
	2012-RIV-579	63	6,403	6	94	Intercepted communications have assisted with a continuing investigation.
	2012-RIV-580	8,104	12,478	22	78	Agents intercepted telephone conversations indicating the potential receipt of narcotics. Based on intercepted calls and other investigative techniques, agents seized 11 kilograms of cocaine and arrested the DTO associate.
	2012-RIV-581	39	2,469	63	37	
	2012-RIV-582	31	8,067	20	80	
	2012-RIV-584	175	6,627	11	89	As a result of this wiretap interception order, \$197,000 was seized from a runner that travelled to another state to pick up narcotics proceeds.
	2012-RIV-585	5	5,306	18	82	One vehicle, \$670,583 in U.S. currency, 10 firearms, 600 pounds of methamphetamine and 30 pounds of heroin were seized.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2012-RIV-586	16	872	46	44	
	2012-RIV-587	43	871	47	53	
	2012-RIV-588	68	3,556	13	87	
	2012-RIV-590	80	1,461	18	82	
	2012-RIV-591	19	235	30	70	Intercepted communications have assisted with an ongoing investigation.
	2012-RIV-592	29	231	2	98	
	2012-RIV-593	68	7,248	16	84	Interception of these target telephones led to identification of other DTO members. Interception resulted in the arrest of a DTO member and the seizure of cocaine and one firearm.
	2012-RIV-594	123	2,169	17	83	
	2012-RIV-595	37	1,683	13	87	
	2012-RIV-596	179	6,188	5	95	
	2012-RIV-597	96	1,392	20	80	
	2012-RIV-598	161	1,184	9	91	
	2012-RIV-599	186	1,196	39	61	During the interception of this wire, agents seized \$371,920 in narcotics proceeds, 8 kilograms of cocaine, and made 3 arrests.
	2012-RIV-600	0	0	0	100	Interception of this target telephone has been terminated; however, the case is still active.
	2012-RIV-601	280	21,058	7	93	Interceptions were instrumental in identifying additional co-conspirators within the DTO.
	2012-RIV-602		0			
	2012-RIV-603	0	0	0	100	
	2012-RIV-604	76	2,092	35	65	This wiretap interception order led to the seizure of 41 pounds of methamphetamine and the arrest of 2 drivers for the DTO.
	2012-RIV-605	19	290	43	57	
	2012-RIV-606	64	131,035	3	97	
	2012-RIV-607	5	59	29	71	
	2012-RIV-608	42	1,387	27	73	Intercepts led to additional co-conspirators and an additional line to be explored.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2012-RIV-609	282	14,967	10	90	
	2012-RIV-612	21	705	57	43	
	2012-RIV-613	37	2,525	31	69	
	2012-RIV-614	77	4,934	13	87	
	2012-RIV-615	38	1,565	27	73	Intercepted communications have assisted with a continuing investigation.
	2012-RIV-616	178	10,143	2	98	This wiretap order allowed investigators to identify co-conspirators, the structure of the DTO, and its methods of transporting narcotics. Six tons of marijuana was seized by the DEA before it reached a co-conspirator. Investigators also learned of other methods used in the transportation of narcotics. The seizure had a major impact on crime and the community and the investigation will be ongoing.
	2012-RIV-617	13	192	42	58	No seizures. Other subjects, locations, phone numbers, and bank account information were identified.
	2012-RIV-618	26	1,142	35	65	
	2013-RIV-619	159	3,424	19	81	
	2013-RIV-620		0			
	2013-RIV-621	90	772	30	70	No seizures. Numerous other subjects, locations, and phone numbers were identified for ongoing investigation.
	2013-RIV-622	4	477	5	95	
	2013-RIV-623	20	242	66	34	During the wiretap, agents learned the subjects had obtained a new phone; additional DTO members were identified.
	2013-RIV-624	54	1,428	4	96	
	2013-RIV-625	33	855	28	72	
	2013-RIV-626	37	3,187	10	90	
	2013-RIV-627	74	1,409	37	63	Information was shared with law enforcement in another state who conducted a traffic stop that further led to the seizure of 4.4 pounds of methamphetamine and the arrest of 3 persons.
	2013-RIV-628	16	310	22	78	



**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-RIV-629	0	0	0	0	No communications intercepted.
	2013-RIV-630	660	2,149	25	75	As a result of this wiretap, 7 kilograms of cocaine, 3 ounces of methamphetamine, 1 firearm, and \$215,380 in U.S. currency were seized.
	2013-RIV-631	91	51,168	35	65	
	2013-RIV-632	37	1,267	33	67	This wiretap interception order resulted in one arrest, seizure of \$46,000, and 4.58 kilograms of methamphetamine.
	2013-RIV-633	183	3,696	18	82	This wiretap interception order resulted in the seizure of \$1,043,055.
	2013-RIV-634	116	6,347	18	82	Investigators seized 5 pounds of methamphetamine, 2 pounds of heroin, and an indoor marijuana grow with over 100 plants.
	2013-RIV-635	90	6,163	25	75	The interceptions have assisted law enforcement in identifying phone numbers used by foreign and domestic narcotics suppliers, narcotics and proceeds couriers, and proceeds facilitators. This investigation is ongoing.
	2013-RIV-636	83	1,819	45	55	As a result of this intercept order investigators were able to identify additional DTO members.
	2013-RIV-637	126	3,539	11	89	Agents were able to further identify additional subjects, vehicles, and residences.
	2013-RIV-638	4	85	5	95	
	2013-RIV-639	45	544	18	82	About 565 pounds of methamphetamine and 30 pounds of heroin have been seized. There were 32 arrests for the entire investigation.
	2013-RIV-640	18	2,431	0	100	This wiretap interception order led to the seizure of one vehicle, \$1,098,344 in U.S. currency, 10 firearms, and 870 pounds of methamphetamine and 35 pounds of heroin. Case is ongoing.
	2013-RIV-641	38	481	5	95	
	2013-RIV-642	40	321	32	68	No seizures. Other subjects and telephone numbers identified for an ongoing investigation.
	2013-RIV-643	78	2,065	53	47	
	2013-RIV-644	92	1,261	54	46	Subjects are pending arrest and

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						Judicial prosecution.
	2013-RIV-645	40	1,697	48	52	Nothing seized, but numerous additional subjects and telephone numbers were identified.
	2013-RIV-646		0			
	2013-RIV-647	74	1,400	40	60	Intercepted communications have assisted with an ongoing investigation.
	2013-RIV-648	32	1,526	40	60	This wiretap interception order led to the arrest of 4 individuals and the seizure of 29 kilograms of cocaine in another state.
	2013-RIV-649	13	169	1	99	
	2013-RIV-650	58	2,949	17	83	As a result of this wiretap investigation, investigators were able to identify additional DTO members.
	2013-RIV-651	1	33	3	97	
	2013-RIV-652	29	608	15	85	
	2013-RIV-653	2	59	78	22	This wiretap interception order and investigation resulted in the seizure of 727 pounds of methamphetamine, 32 pounds of heroin, and \$824,344 in U.S. currency.
	2013-RIV-654	100	597	20	80	This wiretap interception order allowed investigators to identify co-conspirators and gave additional insight into the DTO. It also provided more information relating to the transportation of narcotics between countries.
	2013-RIV-655	10	751	7	93	
	2013-RIV-656	0	0	0	0	(Cross reference EICOS No. 2013-RIV-639.) 565 pounds of methamphetamine and 30 pounds of heroin have been seized thus far during the course of investigation.
	2013-RIV-657	0	0	0	0	The target phone was associated with a serial murder suspect and the wiretap assisted in identifying potential additional suspects/locations.
	2013-RIV-658	109	866	15	85	See EICOS No. 2013-RIV-657.
	2013-RIV-659	0	2	0	0	
	2013-RIV-660	1,005	2,524	20	80	

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-RIV-661	31	2,812	35	65	This wiretap interception order assisted the investigation which led to the arrest of one individual and the seizure of 7.8 kilograms methamphetamine.
	2013-RIV-662	25	2,458	20	80	This wiretap interception order led to the seizure of 17 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2013-RIV-663	0	0	0	0	Subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2013-RIV-664	0	0	0	0	
	2013-RIV-665	586	314,805	18	82	As a result of this wiretap, 18 kilograms of cocaine, 28 kilograms of methamphetamine, and 23 kilograms of heroin were seized.
	2013-RIV-666	19	211	55	45	This wiretap interception order assisted an investigation which led to the arrest of 4 individuals and the seizure of 29 kilograms of cocaine.
	2013-RIV-667	149	13,304	6	94	Subjects pending arrest and prosecution.
	2013-RIV-668	12	100	21	79	As a result of this wiretap interception order, one person was arrested, and \$52,000 in U.S. currency and 25 kilograms of cocaine were seized.
	2013-RIV-669	81	860	13	87	As a result of this wiretap interception order, investigators were able to find a clandestine laboratory where 13 pounds of methamphetamine were seized. A follow-up investigation led investigators to another address where 40 pounds of methamphetamine, 4 pounds of heroin, and 11 guns were seized.
	2013-RIV-670	58	351	20	80	As a result of this wiretap interception order, \$1,043,055 was seized.
	2013-RIV-671	64	10,479	15	85	
	2013-RIV-672		0			
	2013-RIV-673	11	851	28	72	This wiretap interception order resulted in information on additional co-conspirators and couriers involved in the DTO.
	2013-RIV-674	81	4,551	5	95	
	2013-RIV-675	4	951	1	99	This wiretap resulted in the arrest of one person and the seizure of 25 kilograms of cocaine and \$52,000 in U.S. Currency.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-RIV-676	111	8,507	10	90	
	2013-RIV-677	43	5,621	20	80	This wiretap interception order assisted the investigation which led to the arrest of one individual and the seizure of 7.8 kilograms of methamphetamine.
	2013-RIV-678	4	2,581	100	0	One vehicle, \$1,159,244, 834 pounds of methamphetamine, and 32 pounds of heroin were seized.
	2013-RIV-679	172	12,767	3	97	During the interception, agents were able to identify additional members of the DTO. Case pending further investigation.
	2013-RIV-680	15	335	22	78	
	2013-RIV-681	181	9,570	22	78	
	2013-RIV-682	15	725	20	80	
	2013-RIV-683	59	2,037	11	89	
	2013-RIV-684	54	905	39	61	Multiple kilograms of cocaine were seized in various cities and \$250,000 was seized in narcotic proceeds.
	2013-RIV-685	88	3,716	8	92	
	2013-RIV-686	13	6,753	13	87	
	2013-RIV-687	84	1,519	7	93	
	2013-RIV-688	420	612	15	85	
	2013-RIV-689	5	243	33	67	
	2013-RIV-690	21	515	9	91	Intercepted communications have assisted with a continuing investigation.
	2013-RIV-691	13	2,106	18	82	This wiretap interception order resulted in the seizure of 473 grams of heroin and two arrestees are pending prosecution.
	2013-RIV-692		0			
	2013-RIV-693	55	1,511	36	64	
	2013-RIV-694	6	156	55	45	This wiretap interception order led to the arrest of four individuals and the seizure of 29 kilograms of cocaine in another state.
	2013-RIV-695	37	11,803	12	88	
	2013-RIV-696	8	7,506	12	88	Five pounds of crystal meth, \$78,000 in U.S. currency, and one vehicle were seized.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-RIV-697	6	76	15	85	
	2013-RIV-698	41	318	54	46	This wiretap interception order allowed investigators to learn the method of operation and identify co-conspirators of the DTO.
	2013-RIV-699	23	434	25	75	As a result of this wiretap, methamphetamine was seized.
	2013-RIV-700	40	2,327	18	82	This wiretap interception order resulted discovery of other phone lines and co-conspirators and led to seizures and arrests.
	2013-RIV-701	7	191	20	80	
	2013-RIV-702	30	1,568	5	95	Interceptions identified the significance of other associates and co-conspirators of the DTO.
	2013-RIV-703	0	0	0	0	The subject discontinued using this phone.
	2013-RIV-704	4	37	0	100	Intercepted communications have assisted with an ongoing investigation.
	2013-RIV-705	98	4,013	17	83	During the investigation/traffic violation stop, investigators found \$61,000 from narcotics sales.
	2013-RIV-706	46	519	24	76	Over \$275,000 was seized as a result of this wiretap interception order.
	2013-RIV-707	68	1,230	22	78	Based on intercepted communications from this wiretap, \$63,240 was seized.
	2013-RIV-708	7	11,105	14	86	One vehicle, \$1,159,244, in U.S. currency, 834 pounds of methamphetamine, and 32 pounds of heroin were seized.
	2013-RIV-709	6	298	40	60	Interceptions continue to identify the significance of other associates and co-conspirators.
	2013-RIV-710	59	3,355	3	97	Over 140 pounds of methamphetamine was seized and three persons arrested.
	2013-RIV-712	60	2,026	12	88	Interception of this subject has led to further investigation into the DTO.
	2013-RIV-713	17	2,062	22	78	Interception of this subject has led to further investigation into the DTO.
	2013-RIV-714	35	3,616	2	98	
	2013-RIV-715	37	774	49	51	
	2013-RIV-716	77	3,013	21	79	
	2013-RIV-717	23	574	56	44	Several new targets were identified during the interception.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-RIV-718	2	683	68	32	One vehicle, \$1,159,244, and 834.1 pounds of methamphetamine were seized.
	2013-RIV-719	24	2,688	19	81	Interception of this subject has led to further investigation into the DTO.
	2013-RIV-720	139	7,031	16	84	Four people were arrested, 62 pounds of suspected methamphetamine and two guns (one stolen) were seized.
	2013-RIV-721	33	3,403	6	94	
	2013-RIV-722	18	1,105	6	94	Intercepted communications have assisted with an ongoing investigation.
	2013-RIV-723	0	0	0	0	Telephone number was suspended by service provider - no significant events.
	2013-RIV-724	164	3,154	22	78	
	2013-RIV-725	18	240	8	92	Based on an intercepted call, investigators learned that user was no longer going to use the telephone and had purchased a new overseas number to communicate with co-conspirators about their narcotics related activities.
	2013-RIV-726	223	13,019	21	79	This wiretap interception order assisted in the prosecution of major narcotics violators in this area and the dismantling of a large narcotics DTO responsible for the illegal importation of multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine and methamphetamine.
	2013-RIV-727	0	0	0	0	The subject discontinued using this phone.
	2013-RIV-728	3	25	24	76	These phone lines were rarely used, which caused early termination of the interceptions.
	2013-RIV-729	46	1,332	66	34	Several new targets were identified during the interception, as well as new interception subjects.
	2013-RIV-730	197	5,748	21	79	Multiple seizures of methamphetamine, heroin, and U.S. currency resulted from this wiretap.
	2013-RIV-731	38	2,285	1	99	Intercepted communications have led to continuing investigation of subjects and into the DTO.
	2013-RIV-732	7	13,074	14	86	Interceptions have led to multiple drug seizures, arrests and seizures of drug proceeds, and the identification of co-conspirators of the DTO.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-RIV-733	52	2,863	5	95	See EICOS 2013-RIV-726.
	2013-RIV-734	5	794	5	95	
	2013-RIV-735	10	3,049	22	78	
	2013-RIV-736	20	6,639	16	84	
	2013-RIV-737	94	2,792	11	89	Wiretap interceptions resulted in a total seizure of 5.5 pounds of methamphetamine and \$75,000 in U.S. currency.
	2013-RIV-738	10	255	10	90	Interception of this subject has led to further investigation into the DTO.
	2013-RIV-739	47	3,617	4	96	Interception of the target telephone has led to further investigation into the DTO.
	2013-RIV-740	68	3,149	27	73	The agency was able to develop new information as to the structure of the DTO, including location and additional members.
	2013-RIV-741	4	18	33	67	
	2013-RIV-742	78	7,192	10	90	
	2013-RIV-743	0	0	0	0	The subject discontinued using the phone. This matter is pending further investigation.
	2013-RIV-744	24	119	25	75	
	2013-RIV-745	1	3	33	67	
	2013-RIV-746	1,049	9,182	12	88	
	2013-RIV-747	0	0	0	0	
	2013-RIV-748	1	2	50	50	Intercepted communications have assisted with ongoing investigation and identification of DTO members.
	2013-RIV-749	0	0	0	0	Installed but not used since the push-talk analog cell network was taken offline for maintenance and is to be replaced with a digital system.
	2013-RIV-750	24	500	27	73	
	2013-RIV-751	42	3,260	28	72	During this wiretap investigation investigators seized \$200,000 in narcotics proceeds, 5 weapons (1 stolen rifle), and a ballistic vest.
	2013-RIV-753	51	4,479	8	92	
	2013-RIV-754	89	4,776	9	91	Forty-five kilograms of cocaine and 16 pounds of methamphetamine were seized and 7 people were arrested.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-RIV-755	68	1,735	7	93	As a result of this wiretap interception order, 10 pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
	2013-RIV-756	37	1,003	13	87	This wiretap interception order has assisted with the ongoing investigation and further identification of DTO members.
	2013-RIV-757	42	9,029	2	98	As a result of this wiretap interception order, two people were arrested and 2 pounds of heroin was seized.
	2013-RIV-759	60	1,027	10	90	Agents were able to develop and gather information as to the structure of the DTO along with identification of additional members of the DTO.
	2013-RIV-760	109	688	57	43	This wire intercept led to the arrest of five subjects in another state, along with the seizure of 10 pounds of methamphetamine and several vehicles. Search warrants were also served in California which resulted in the seizure of 5 pounds of methamphetamine and a vehicle.
	2013-RIV-761	11	594	13	87	This investigation is pending, and the following was seized as a result of the wiretap interception order: \$14,800 U.S. currency; 23 pounds of methamphetamine; and 5 pounds of heroin.
	2013-RIV-762	167	3,456	15	85	This wiretap interception order has assisted in identifying additional co-conspirators the subject has had contact with as well as new contacts.
	2013-RIV-763	112	2,564	22	78	
	2013-RIV-764	59	4,313	27	73	
	2013-RIV-765	22	1,355	23	77	
	2013-RIV-766	31	344	1	99	
	2013-RIV-767	8	34,403	23	77	This wiretap interception order resulted in the seizure of 20.89 kilograms of cocaine; 64.54 pounds of methamphetamine; 26 grams of heroin; 78 pounds of marijuana; and 28,927 Oxycodone pills.
	2013-RIV-768	51	1,023	50	50	Investigators were able to obtain several other target telephone numbers from intercepted calls.
	2013-RIV-769	18	2,322	7	93	This wiretap interception order resulted in the discovery and seizure



**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						of 22 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2013-RIV-770	51	10,388	5	95	Search warrants were served, three arrests were made, 7 kilograms of cocaine, and 7 pounds of methamphetamine were recovered.
	2013-RIV-771	41	6,525	10	90	
	2013-RIV-772	13	200	70	30	
	2013-RIV-773	354	12,743	14	86	This wiretap investigation targeted high level narcotics distributors operating in Mexico. Narcotics seizures have significantly impacted the ability of this DTO to operate in Southern California.
	2013-RIV-774	7	30	100	0	
	2013-RIV-775	57	564	34	66	
	2013-RIV-776	105	1,951	20	80	As a result of this wiretap interception, investigators were able to identify several telephone numbers involved in delivery of narcotics proceeds. The following was ultimately seized: 2 kilograms of cocaine, \$8,520 in narcotics proceeds; \$149,780 in narcotics proceeds, 2 kilograms of cocaine; and \$17,800 in narcotics proceeds.
	2013-RIV-777	2	17,578	15	85	See 2013-RIV-767.
	2013-RIV-778	23	643	5	95	
	2013-RIV-779	7	219	24	76	
	2013-RIV-780	12	1,449	4	96	A search warrant executed at the residence resulted in two arrests and the seizure of 20 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2013-RIV-781	8	184	56	44	
	2013-RIV-782	88	2,980	6	94	
	2013-RIV-783	25	2,235	25	75	
	2013-RIV-784	76	1,026	14	86	
	2013-RIV-785	72	4,264	13	87	As a result of this wiretap interception order methamphetamine was seized.
	2013-RIV-786	162	5,162	3	97	
	2013-RIV-787	27	285	57	43	
	2013-RIV-788	7	9,412	38	62	Multiple seizures of methamphetamine, cocaine, heroin,

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						marijuana, and Oxycodone resulted.
	2013-RIV-789	25	1,066	24	76	
	2013-RIV-790	61	3,855	14	86	Investigators were able to obtain several other telephone numbers from intercepted calls and were able to identify several subjects into money laundering for other DEA investigators.
	2013-RIV-791	5	14,189	10	90	
	2013-RIV-792	24	9,229	5	95	Task force investigators executed a search warrant which resulted in the arrest of one person and the seizure of 4,200 pounds of marijuana.
	2013-RIV-794	2	548	1	99	
	2013-RIV-795	120	7,175	7	93	
	2013-RIV-796	67	2,470	6	94	Intercepted phone calls led to a location that transports large quantities of methamphetamine to multiple states.
	2013-RIV-797	56	1,723	32	68	This wiretap interception order allowed law enforcement agents to gather further information and investigate the structure, members, and locations of the DTO.
	2013-RIV-798	49	3,384	51	49	
	2013-RIV-799	104	1,769	18	82	
	2013-RIV-800	0	0	0	0	Installed but not used. A search warrant was executed at a location where a new stash house was identified. 4,200 pounds of marijuana was seized and one person arrested at the location.
	2013-RIV-801	95	7,856	7	93	As a result of the wiretap interception, .25 pounds of methamphetamine was seized and the source of supply was identified.
	2013-RIV-802	88	574	33	67	As a result of this wiretap interception order, agents were able to identify several narcotics traffickers that are pending prosecution.
	2013-RIV-803	22	6,125	31	69	
	2013-RIV-804	12	98	91	9	
	2013-RIV-805	53	2,292	33	67	
	2013-RIV-806	60	6,309	9	91	As a result of the wiretap interception, \$14,240 in U.S.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						currency, firearms, and five vehicles were seized.
	2013-RIV-807	144	5,058	4	96	Intelligence gathered on suspect.
	2013-RIV-808	61	750	21	79	The wiretap investigation led to the identification of several other narcotic sources of supply and customers. This is an ongoing investigation.
	2013-RIV-809	33	665	16	84	
	2013-RIV-810	15	3,922	2	98	\$300,000 in narcotics proceeds, 11 kilograms of cocaine, and 13 pounds of heroin were seized.
	2013-RIV-811	9	40,965	20	80	As a result of this wiretap interception order the following was seized: \$619,660 in U.S. currency; 93.54 pounds of methamphetamine; 20.89 kilograms of cocaine; 26 grams of heroin; 78 pounds of marijuana; and 28,927 Oxycodone pills.
	2013-RIV-812	222	53,001	10	90	These wiretap interception orders targeted multi-kilogram cocaine distributors in Mexico and their corresponding counterparts in Southern California.
	2013-RIV-813	35	9,566	41	59	
	2013-RIV-814	65	1,625	7	93	
	2013-RIV-815	113	3,028	14	86	
	2013-RIV-816	49	2,043	8	92	
	2013-RIV-817	29	1,061	12	88	
	2013-RIV-818	22	1,344	18	82	
	2013-RIV-819	258	10,371	5	95	\$193,600 was seized.
	2013-RIV-820	4	75	76	24	
	2013-RIV-821	123	4,563	14	86	
	2013-RIV-822	51	632	9	91	Multiple narcotics and U.S. currency were seized as a result of this wiretap investigation.
	2013-RIV-823	27	490	33	67	Agents were able to develop new information as to the structure, organization, members, and locations of this DTO.
	2013-RIV-824	125	1,986	57	43	This wiretap interception order assisted with the seizure of 2.2 pounds of methamphetamine.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-RIV-825	5	82	16	84	3 pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
	2013-RIV-826	6	340	11	89	
	2013-RIV-827	17	1,291	22	78	
	2013-RIV-828	10	99	40	60	
	2013-RIV-829	127	7,006	65	35	Investigators were able to identify additional DTO members, stash house locations, and methods of operations.
	2013-RIV-830	15	136	5	95	
	2013-RIV-831	24	1,821	22	78	During this wiretap, investigators seized 5 pounds of methamphetamine and \$5,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2013-RIV-832	75	3,885	5	95	As a result of this wiretap interception order, 3 pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
	2013-RIV-833	75	3,885	5	95	
	2013-RIV-834	73	518	22	78	
	2013-RIV-835	35	644	22	78	This wiretap interception order resulted in the seizure of \$10,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2013-RIV-836	121	4,543	13	87	
	2013-RIV-837	42	3,080	15	85	
	2013-RIV-838	84	1,373	41	59	As a result of the wiretap interception, agents were able to identify several narcotics traffickers in a couple of counties.
	2013-RIV-839	14	140	33	67	
	2013-RIV-840	23	735	1	99	
	2013-RIV-841	43	575	59	41	
	2013-RIV-842	167	2,871	8	92	
	2013-RIV-843	160	1,740	13	87	As a result of this interception order, narcotics proceeds were seized along with marijuana and a methamphetamine lab.
	2013-RIV-844	46	5,370	27	63	
	2013-RIV-845	28	3,773	7	93	As a result of this wiretap interception order, agents seized 20 kilograms of cocaine.
	2013-RIV-846	58	1,910	41	59	This wiretap interception order assisted agents in the seizure of 27 pounds of methamphetamine.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-RIV-847	142	3,689	100	0	
	2013-RIV-849	50	1,245	8	92	
	2013-RIV-850	181	1,653	12	88	
	2013-RIV-851	48	9,720	42	58	
	2013-RIV-852	3	4	0	100	
	2013-RIV-853	118	890	30	70	Investigators identified and stopped a runner and located and seized \$300,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2013-RIV-854	21	692	15	85	
	2013-RIV-855	27	292	19	81	
	2013-RIV-856	5	1,000	0	100	
	2013-RIV-857	13	1,480	8	92	
	2013-RIV-858	48	3,737	3	97	
	2013-RIV-859	29	511	42	58	
	2013-RIV-860	109	1,779	11	89	
	2013-RIV-861	65	659	75	25	
	2013-RIV-862	12	737	4	96	Agents seized \$155,000 in U.S. currency/narcotics proceeds.
	2013-RIV-863	10	2,045	9	91	
	2013-RIV-864	15	4,822	15	85	
	2013-RIV-865		0			
	2013-RIV-866		0			
	2013-RIV-867	80	1,294	5	95	
	2013-RIV-868	3	12,552	13	87	834 pounds of methamphetamine have been seized as a result of this wiretap investigation.
	2013-RIV-869	10	93	10	90	
	2013-RIV-870	160	4,220	4	96	
	2013-RIV-871	143	813	29	71	
	2013-RIV-872	64	523	36	64	Investigators seized one pound of methamphetamine and \$20,000 in U.S. currency at one location. At another location, they arrested one person and seized 37 pounds of methamphetamine and 2.1 pounds of heroin.
	2013-RIV-873	0	0	0	100	

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-RIV-874	27	1,149	13	87	
	2013-RIV-875	87	1,709	22	78	
	2013-RIV-876	223	2,669	7	93	
	2013-RIV-877	34	267	4	96	
	2013-RIV-878	0	0	0	0	This was installed but not used - no calls were intercepted.
	2013-RIV-881	127	1,218	18	82	
	2013-RIV-882	1	1	0	100	
	2013-RIV-884	29	1,006	60	40	
	2013-RIV-885	4	202	8	92	Based on an intercepted call, law enforcement agents were able to seize 50 pounds of methamphetamine and learn the location and individuals responsible for the drugs. The monetary value of the drugs is estimated to be at least \$750,000.
	2013-RIV-886	60	2,361	5	95	
	2013-RIV-887	60	2,361	5	95	
	2013-RIV-888	3	934	5	95	The subjects discontinued use of their telephones.
	2013-RIV-889	20	36	0	100	
	2013-RIV-890	35	372	11	78	Subject was in possession of and agents seized 5 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2013-RIV-891		0			Installed but not used.
	2013-RIV-892	2	36	0	100	
	2013-RIV-893	1	3	0	0	
	2013-RIV-894	15	1,573	23	77	
	2013-RIV-895	13	456	18	82	41 kilograms of cocaine was seized.
	2013-RIV-896	182	567	17	83	
	2013-RIV-897	39	2,567	23	77	
	2013-RIV-898	28	614	30	70	
	2013-RIV-900	174	3,852	28	72	
	2013-RIV-901	118	782	8	92	
	2013-RIV-903	25	2,141	2	98	This wiretap resulted in three arrests and agents seizing \$76,000 in U.S. currency.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-RIV-907	8	561	15	85	
	2013-RIV-908	136	2,504	11	89	
	2013-RIV-909	67	3,407	17	83	
	2013-RIV-910	58	4,100	6	94	No significant events to report.
	2013-RIV-912	23	341	10	90	
	2013-RIV-913	25	1,580	5	95	
	2013-RIV-914	119	4,113	9	91	
	2013-RIV-917	27	1,128	5	95	
	2013-RIV-919	213	8,197	36	64	
	2013-RIV-920	51	2,282	13	87	
	2013-RIV-921	44	3,072	13	87	Fifty pounds of methamphetamine were seized from this DTO, along with further identification of the DTO's structure and its members.
	2013-RIV-923	172	379	52	48	
	2013-RIV-924	16	487	36	64	As a result of this wiretap interception order, \$414,000 in narcotics proceeds was seized.
Sacramento	2013-SAC-46	8	8	0	100	
	2013-SAC-47	128	2,114	0	100	
	2013-SAC-48	32	157	0	100	
	2013-SAC-49	78	426	0	100	
	2013-SAC-50	38	3,531	38	62	This operation ultimately concluded in another county and is being prosecuted there. All costs and arrests, information regarding intercepted communication totals, as well as the inventory report data in this report include statistics for EICOS Nos. 2013-SAC-50, 51, and 52.
	2013-SAC-51	38	3,531	38	62	See EICOS No. 2013-SAC-50.
	2013-SAC-52	38	3,531	38	62	See EICOS No. 2013-SAC-50.
	2013-SAC-53	14	640	15	85	Wire intercepts EICOS Nos. 2013-SAC-46, 47, and 48 were done in succession and used some of the same target phone numbers. A new cell phone number was added or dropped on each new wire.
San Bernardino	2012-SBD-459	240	5,798	43	57	This wiretap assisted in the investigation of a DTO and resulted

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						in the arrest of suspects and the seizure of narcotics.
	2013-SBD-495	441	11,361	34	66	This wiretap investigation led to the arrest of five suspects pending prosecution, the seizure of \$86,000, 7 pounds of Mexican Brown powder heroin, and 1.5 pounds of Black Tar heroin.
	2013-SBD-496	121	2,559	40	60	As a result of intercepted communications, officers seized 20 kilograms of heroin, 6 pounds of methamphetamine, and \$260,000 in narcotics proceeds. Officers arrested drug cells in Southern California and another state.
	2013-SBD-497	117	13,748	4	96	Communications intercepted under this order resulted in the identification of additional members and structure of the DTO.
	2013-SBD-498	525	9,223	10	90	Investigators took over a murder investigation. Various agencies assisted by writing, funding, and managing a state wire intercept. The content of the intercepted communications assisted investigators in confirming the identity of 10 suspects in the case and locating key physical evidence relating to the murder investigation. More arrests are anticipated.
	2013-SBD-499	62	3,808	8	92	Three people were arrested and 8 pounds of methamphetamine and \$5,000 U.S. currency were seized.
	2013-SBD-500	1,431	11,312	2	98	Three people arrested and 25 pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
	2013-SBD-501	52	781	14	86	One person was arrested and 37 pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
	2013-SBD-502	161	2,205	19	81	A grand jury from another state voted to indict 20 individuals connected to our drug trafficking investigation. Several search warrants and knock and talks were conducted throughout Southern California and elsewhere. Twenty-two individuals were arrested for drug related charges. In addition, quantities of cocaine, marijuana, and methamphetamine were seized, along with 12 firearms and \$1 million in illicit proceeds.
	2013-SBD-503	52	1,142	21	79	



**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-SBD-504	42	1,254	51	49	Communications intercepted during the course of this order led to intelligence regarding the structure of this DTO, as well as assisted in the identification of additional members.
	2013-SBD-505	0	0	0	0	County funded.
	2013-SBD-506	44	1,019	21	79	This case is still active. Three people have been arrested and 50 pounds of methamphetamine seized.
	2013-SBD-507	49	5,156	15	85	Based on intercepted communications, 9 kilograms of heroin was seized.
	2013-SBD-508	42	9,252	22	78	Interceptions assisted law enforcement in discovering new and additional targets which led to subsequent arrests and seizures.
	2013-SBD-509	134	1,426	45	55	Wiretaps were used to seize large quantities of illicit drugs and their proceeds as well as identify several higher level co-conspirators which led to additional seizures, arrests, and wiretaps. Approximate seizures related to this order: 12 kilograms heroin; 7 kilograms cocaine; and 5 pounds methamphetamine.
	2013-SBD-510	19	1,218	4	96	Two persons arrested, and the seizure of 23 pounds of methamphetamines and \$96,000 were seized.
	2013-SBD-511	93	2,004			
	2013-SBD-512	8	735	33	67	Communications intercepted resulted in the seizure of 8 kilograms of heroin.
	2013-SBD-513	60	9,000	6	94	Wiretaps were used to seize large quantities of illicit drugs and their proceeds as well as identify several higher level co-conspirators which led to additional seizures, arrests, and wiretaps.
	2013-SBD-514	212	3,400	14	86	
	2013-SBD-515	120	1,000	16	84	Evidence gained during the investigation would not have been possible without the use of an intercept order.
	2013-SBD-516	49	941	47	53	Intercepted communications from this order resulted in the arrest of three people and the seizure of 2 kilograms of powder heroin, 16 pounds of methamphetamine, 3.5

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						pounds of cocaine ,and \$20,000 U.S. currency.
	2013-SBD-517	212	3,400	14	86	
	2013-SBD-518	50	1,422	1	99	During this period of interception, members of an organization, as well as multiple stash locations used by members of the organization were identified by law enforcement.
	2013-SBD-519	86	3,472	5	95	
	2013-SBD-520	50	600	33	67	Without the use of a wire intercept investigators could not have gathered sufficient evidence to arrest the suspect.
	2013-SBD-521	77	2,092	28	72	Intercepted calls during this order resulted in the seizure of: 6 kilograms of heroin; 5 pounds of crystal methamphetamine; and \$20,000 in U.S. currency.
	2013-SBD-522	36	806	18	82	Intercepted communications have assisted with a continuing investigation. Case is still active.
	2013-SBD-523	114	1,769	19	81	Over the course of the investigation, multiple kilograms of cocaine were seized, as well as a large quantity of illicit proceeds. Six individual were arrested. The case is pending review by the District Attorney's Office.
	2013-SBD-525	4	24	50	50	
	2013-SBD-526	225	2,658	14	86	The investigation involved a source-of-supply organization based in Mexico and a DTO based in Southern California with reach throughout the Southern California region and elsewhere. Eight individuals have been charged and the following evidence seized 46 kilograms of cocaine; 4 firearms; 1 sub-machine gun; and \$1.5 million in illicit proceeds. The case has been filed.
	2013-SBD-527	76	211	9	91	The target telephone involved a subject of a DTO based in this region. The investigation has concluded.
	2013-SBD-528	46	9,367	11	89	The interception of the target telephone number has led to no significant seizures in this county or surrounding areas, but valuable intelligence was attained and disseminated to our counterparts.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-SBD-529	4	8	100	0	The subject was in Mexico for most of the 30 days of this intercept order; therefore, investigators were unable to intercept communications during that time. Heroin seized and arrests regarding this phone are reported under EICOS No. 2013-SBD-534.
	2013-SBD-530	72	234	3	97	The investigation involves a DTO. At the conclusion of a 30-day period, monitoring was discontinued because the subject began using another telephone. The investigation is ongoing.
	2013-SBD-531	4	986	1	99	
	2013-SBD-532	162	1,294	49	51	During a period of this intercept order, law enforcement learned of conspirators who were responsible for drug trafficking in this area.
	2013-SBD-533	95	5,004	3	97	
	2013-SBD-534	19	1,305	25	75	Electronic surveillance also led to the seizure of 5 pounds of methamphetamine, 2 pounds of heroin, and an arrest.
	2013-SBD-535	25	130	30	70	This wiretap was necessary in the investigation of a DTO based out of Mexico that transported and distributed cocaine to this region. The wiretap resulted in the dismantling of a transportation and distribution cell. Three arrests were made along with the seizure of an undetermined amount of U.S. currency. Extension 1 was signed but never activated due to the above arrests.
	2013-SBD-536	174	764	26	74	See EICOS No. 2013-SBD-532.
	2013-SBD-537		0			No communications were intercepted as the phone had been discarded by user prior to interception.
San Diego	2013-SD-162		1,332	11	89	Investigators were able to confirm that threats were made, but unable to confirm the existence of a conspiracy for the purposes of prosecution.
	2013-SD-163	10	6,608	6	94	This investigation disrupted the main distribution cell of a major Mexican methamphetamine DTO. The individual arrested and prosecuted was the main distributor in this area.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-SD-164	21	1,553	11	89	This case targeted a large scale multi-national methamphetamine transportation DTO. During the course of the wire interception agents identified multiple co-conspirators, vehicles, and locations associated with the subjects. Agents seized 24 pounds of methamphetamine. In addition, agents were able to provide information to other offices allowing them to initiate their own investigations and spin-off on additional wire interceptions.
	2013-SD-165	28	471	15	85	See EICOS No. 2013-SD-164.
	2013-SD-166	250	37,310	12	88	
	2013-SD-167	25	681	66	34	
	2013-SD-168	25	634	45	55	The wire interceptions led directly to the dismantling of a DTO.
	2013-SD-169	31	702	6	94	This investigation involved an unsolved 18-year-old murder. Interceptions provided evidence related to adoptive admissions and consciousness of guilt.
	2013-SD-170	25	2,727	13	87	This is an ongoing DEA investigation involving a multi-national DTO believed to be responsible for the distribution of multi-pound quantities of cocaine and methamphetamine. Additional wiretap orders are anticipated in 2014 involving the same organization.
	2013-SD-171	4	6,965	1.5	98	The intercepts led to the arrest and prosecution of three suspects for the premeditated murder of several victims. The importance and impact of this intercept order to the community is immeasurable.
	2013-SD-172	4	2,407	39	61	
	2013-SD-173	10	6,689	8	92	
	2013-SD-174	6	15,592	3	97	
	2013-SD-175	8	33,163	4	96	
	2013-SD-176	4	1,668	16	84	Agents from the DEA, with the assistance of numerous federal, state and local agencies, have targeted numerous drug transportation networks in Mexico, California and beyond. These

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						transportation networks are responsible for smuggling hundreds of pounds of controlled substances, including methamphetamine, cocaine and heroin from Mexico to the United States for distribution in numerous states every month. The arrests and seizures from this investigation have had a significant impact, reducing the availability of dangerous drugs, and also the reduction of crimes that go along with drug distribution to include gang violence. See EICOS Nos. 2010-SD-50, 2011-SD-105 and 2012-SD-159 for complete information.
	2013-SD-177	58	2,063	7	93	This wire intercept order involved the investigation of a multi-national DTO responsible for the importation and distribution of multiple pound quantities of cocaine throughout the Southern California region. The intercepts led to the seizure of \$114,400 in U.S. currency of the DTO's drug proceeds.
	2013-SD-178	58	1,299	2	98	This wiretap investigation targeted communications between an outstanding murder suspect and another subject. The intercepts showed that the other subject was an accessory after the fact in covering up the murder.
	2013-SD-179	30	6,889	3	97	This ongoing wire investigation targeted a large DTO that is moving multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine transnationally. Investigators anticipate seeking additional wiretap orders in 2014.
San Joaquin	2012-SJ-18	15	5,756	8	92	The intercepts were used to identify the principals in multiple unsolved murders and robberies.
	2013-SJ-2	83	6,077	9	91	Electronic surveillance was necessary to identify and investigate criminal street gang members involved in narcotics and firearms conspiracies.
	2013-SJ-27	64	1,834	13	87	
	2013-SJ-28	79	2,405	15	85	
	2013-SJ-29	10	79	6	94	
	2013-SJ-31	13	9,648	1	99	Electronic surveillance was necessary to identify the assailant in a homicide.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-SJ-32		0			
	2013-SJ-33		0			
	2013-SJ-35		0			
San Luis Obispo	2013-SLO-5	373	45,050	1.9	98.1	This wiretap order was part of a long investigation into the largest heroin distribution network in this area.
	2013-SLO-7	50	6,553	0.76	99.24	See EICOS No. 2013-SLO-5.
	2013-SLO-8	2	9	0	100	See EICOS No. 2013-SLO-5.
San Mateo	2013-SM-9	588	19,477	3	97	The subjects intercepted by this wiretap investigation are members of a very violent street gang. It is believed that these subjects are responsible for several homicides in pursuit of their gang activities. The ability to prosecute these homicides would make a tremendous impact on this area.
Santa Barbara	2013-SBA-41	52	21,577	10	90	This wiretap resulted in subjects being identified as principals and accomplices in murder, attempted murder, sales and possession of narcotics, and other criminal activity related to a criminal street gang. The phones have been used in the commission and cover up of the above offenses.
	2013-SBA-42	52	21,577	10	90	See EICOS No. 2013-SBA-41.
	2013-SBA-43	52	21,577	10	90	See EICOS No. 2013-SBA-41.
	2013-SBA-44	17	2,472	13	87	The subject of this investigation was a high level dealer of narcotics. The investigation, wiretap, and subsequent takedown of the subject and his codefendants put a dent in the ongoing narcotics trade.
	2013-SBA-45	17	2,472	13	87	See EICOS No. 2013-SBA-44
Santa Clara	2013-SCL-56	3	72	4	96	The subjects of this investigation were identified as significant methamphetamine and cocaine traffickers operating in this area. The interception assisted investigators with the identification of the subjects' network, operation, vehicles, locations and co-conspirators. Throughout the course of this investigation, investigators seized \$175,000 in proceeds from the sales of narcotics and a vehicle. Statistics

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
						are also reflected in EICOS Nos. 2012-SCL-52 and 53; 2013-SCL-58 and 59.
	2013-SCL-58	5	1,165	5	95	See EICOS No. 2013-SCL-56
	2013-SCL-59	9	4,053	8	92	See EICOS No. 2013-SCL-56
	2013-SCL-60	134	11,316	12	88	Interceptions during this wire revealed the subject's source of supply and provided calls involving conversations about narcotics. This investigation is currently ongoing. See also EICOS Nos. 2012-SCL-50, 51, and 54; 2013-SCL-60, 61, 62 and 64.
	2013-SCL-61	84	3,270	17	83	See EICOS No. 2013-SCL-60.
	2013-SCL-62	45	1,625			See EICOS No. 2013-SCL-60.
	2013-SCL-63	28	6,121	2	98	This investigation involved a murder during a robbery by a local street gang. The wiretap was instrumental in solving the crime and arresting the shooter and those responsible for covering up the murder. See also EICOS Nos. 2013-SCL-66 and 67.
	2013-SCL-64	36	2,745	23	76	See EICOS No. 2013-SCL-60.
	2013-SCL-65	14	369	40	60	See EICOS No. 2013-SCL-60.
	2013-SCL-66	18	3,609	1	99	See EICOS No. 2013-SCL-63.
	2013-SCL-67	14	2,523	1	99	See EICOS No. 2013-SCL-63.
	2013-SCL-68	24	1,785	14	86	Five ounces of cocaine and \$24,000 in U.S. currency were seized. This case is associated with a statewide cocaine trafficking organization. The investigation is ongoing.
	2013-SCL-69	3	37	5	95	See EICOS No. 2013-SCL-68
	2013-SCL-70	3	1,139	1	99	The wiretap was terminated ten days into interception.
Shasta	2013-SHA-2	18	227	8	92	A few incriminating calls were intercepted. Arrest is pending DNA analysis.
Stanislaus	2013-STa-49	130	1,036	10	90	The intercept allowed for the identification of a source of narcotics supply which led to arrests.
	2013-STa-50	65	2,673	21	79	Identified the source and/or the supply and distribution network from various states.
	2013-STa-51	74	900	18	82	Wiretap interception resulted in the suppression of drug transportation and crime.

**Table 4**  
**Description of Communications Obtained and**  
**Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-STa-53	21	660	33.6	66	The wiretap was very important to identify both suspects in a homicide investigation. The suspects are currently awaiting trial.
	2013-STa-54	14	68	49	51	Wire was dismantled. Arrests were made.
	2013-STa-55	32	724	36	64	Wiretap interception resulted in the suppression of drug transportation and crime.
	2013-STa-56	21	87	55	45	See EICOS No. 2013-STa-55
	2013-STa-57	0	0	0	100	This intercept was discontinued after two days.
	2013-STa-58	26	476	20	80	Wire intercepts EICOS Nos. 2013-STa-58, 59, and 60 were done in succession and used some of the same target phone numbers. A new cell phone number was added or dropped on each new wire. This interception lead to the identification of suspects and yielded evidence. Arrests/case pending further investigation.
	2013-STa-59	16	551	23	77	See EICOS No. 2013-STa-58.
	2013-STa-60	14	640	15	85	See EICOS No. 2013-STa-58.
	2013-STa-61	130	8,453	8	92	This intercept was used to capture communications related to a homicide or the covering up of a homicide.
	2013-STa-62	6	160	1	99	See EICOS No. 2013-STa-61.
Ventura	2012-VE-60	150	44,457	13	87	This wire led to the dismantling of a DTO which significantly impacted the supply of heroin in this area.
	2013-VE-71	224	1,297	16	84	
	2013-VE-72	84	5,772	5	95	Cases are still pending.
	2013-VE-73	85	41,374	18	82	
	2013-VE-75	488	16,896	9	91	
	2013-VE-76	669	15,998	6	94	
	2013-VE-77	585	18,398	9	91	
	2013-VE-78	304	7,514	7	93	
	2013-VE-79		40,927	4	96	
	2013-VE-80	63	5,086	5	95	This wire was used to monitor persons involved in a possible death threat to a law enforcement officer by a local drug dealer.



**Table 4**  
***Description of Communications Obtained and  
 Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions  
 During Calendar Year 2013<sup>3</sup>***

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
	2013-VE-81	113	2,342	4	96	
	2013-VE-82	131	23,689	4	96	
	2013-VE-83	131	5,965	6	94	

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Alameda	13-01	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	1/6/2013	4/4/2013	5/29/2013	30
	13-02	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/20/2014	11/21/2013		
	13-03	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/20/2014	11/2/2013		
	13-04	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/20/2014	11/21/2013		
	2013-ALA-8	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/20/2014	11/2/2013		
	2013-ALA-9	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/20/2014	11/21/2013		
	13-08	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/20/2014	11/21/2013		
	13-16	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	3/7/2014	12/2/2013		
	13-17	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	3/7/2014	12/2/2013		
	13-18	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	3/7/2014	12/2/2013		
	13-19	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	3/7/2014	12/2/2013		
	2013-ALA-10	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	3/7/2014	12/2/2013		
	2013-ALA-11	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	1/21/2014	10/17/2013		
	2013-ALA-13	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/2/2014	10/17/2013		
	2013-ALA-14	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	1/27/2014	10/25/2013		
	2013-ALA-15	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/11/2014	10/17/2013		
	2013-ALA-16	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/24/2014	10/17/2013		
	2013-ALA-17	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/19/2014	10/17/2013		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Alameda (cont'd)	2013-ALA-18	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/24/2014	10/17/2013		
Contra Costa	2013-CC-2	Whitney, Lieutenant	Hon. Laettner	1/4/2014	12/23/2014	1/3/2014	
	2013-CC-3	Balzano, A/SAC	Hon. Laettner	1/4/2014	12/23/2013	1/2/2014	
	2013-CC-4	Balzano, A/SAC	Hon. Laettner	1/4/2014	12/23/2013	1/2/2014	
	2013-CC-7	Peterson, DA	Hon. Laettner	(Inventory due) 1/17/2014			
Fresno	2013-FR-1	Egan, DA	Hon. Conklin	(Inventory due) 11/20/2013		10/15/2013	67
	2013-FR-2	Egan, DA	Hon. Conklin	(Inventory due) 12/2/2013		10/15/2013	53
	2013-FR-3	Egan, DA	Hon. Conklin	(Inventory due) 12/17/2013		10/15/2013	10
	2013-FR-4	Egan, DA	Hon. Conklin	(Inventory due) 12/17/2013		10/15/2013	7
	2013-FR-5	Egan, DA	Hon. Conklin	(Inventory due) 12/25/2013			0
Imperial	2012-IM-75	Brandenberg, DDA	Hon. Lehman	(Inventory due) 4/5/2013			
	2012-IM-76	Brandenberg, DDA	Hon. Lehman	(Inventory due) 4/5/2013			
Kern	2013-KE-25	Green, DA	Hon. Etcheverry	12/26/2013		12/27/2013	124
	2013-KE-26	Green, DA	Hon. Etcheverry	12/26/2013		12/27/2013	124
	2013-KE-27	Green, DA	Hon. Etcheverry	12/26/2013		12/26/2013	124
	2013-KE-28	Green, DA	Hon. Etcheverry	12/26/2013		12/27/2013	124
	2013-KE-29	Green, DA	Hon. Etcheverry	12/26/2013		12/27/2013	124
	2013-KE-30	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	12/26/2013		12/27/2013	124

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Kern (cont'd)	2013-KE-31	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	12/26/2013		12/27/2013	124
	2013-KE-32	Green, DA	Hon. Brownlee	1/22/2014			
	2013-KE-33	Green, DA	Hon. Twisselman	1/22/2014	1/22/2014		
	2013-KE-34	Green, DA	Hon. Twisselman	4/30/2014			
Kings	2013-KI-1	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
	2013-KI-2	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
	2013-KI-3	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
	2013-KI-4	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
	2013-KI-5	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
	2013-KI-6	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014			
	2013-KI-7	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
	2013-KI-8	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
	2013-KI-9	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
	2013-KI-10	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
	2013-KI-11	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
	2013-KI-12	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
	2013-KI-13	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
	2013-KI-14	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Kings (cont'd)	2013-KI-15	Strickland, DA	Hon. Tarter	2/18/2014		2/6/2014	68
Los Angeles	2012-LA-593	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/11/2013		4/18/2013	7
	2012-LA-595	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/9/2013			
	2012-LA-596	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/15/2013			
	2012-LA-597	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/10/2013			
	2012-LA-598	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/11/2013		4/17/2013	11
	2013-LA-599	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/23/2013			
	2013-LA-600	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/10/2013		4/12/2013	8
	2013-LA-601	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 5/25/2013			
	2013-LA-602	Matsumoto, CDDA	Hon. Fidler	5/28/2013		6/3/2013	35
	2013-LA-603	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/3/2013		5/7/2013	89
	2013-LA-605	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 5/14/2013			
	2013-LA-606	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/5/2013		11/12/2013	50
	2013-LA-607	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 4/2/2013			
	2013-LA-608	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/13/2013			
	2013-LA-609	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 4/18/2013			
	2013-LA-610	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/1/2013			
	2013-LA-611	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 4/2/2013			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-612	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 4/16/2013			
	2013-LA-613	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/29/2013		9/4/2013	75
	2013-LA-614	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/22/2013			
	2013-LA-615	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 5/24/2013			
	2013-LA-616	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 4/24/2013			
	2013-LA-617	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 5/27/2013			
	2013-LA-618	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/29/2013		9/4/2013	75
	2013-LA-619	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/4/2013			
	2013-LA-620	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 5/23/2013			
	2013-LA-621	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/22/2013		7/23/2013	46
	2013-LA-622	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/7/2013		6/12/2013	9
	2013-LA-624	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/8/2013			
	2013-LA-625	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/14/2013		6/17/2013	16
	2013-LA-626	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/22/2013		7/23/2013	46
	2013-LA-627	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/11/2013			
	2013-LA-628	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/19/2013			
	2013-LA-629	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/20/2013			
	2013-LA-630	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/18/2013		6/20/2013	18

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-631	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/2/2013			
	2013-LA-632	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/19/2013			
	2013-LA-633	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/27/2013			
	2013-LA-634	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/10/2013			
	2013-LA-635	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/25/2013		10/30/2013	4
	2013-LA-636	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/5/2013			
	2013-LA-637	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/6/2013			
	2013-LA-638	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/29/2013		9/4/2013	31
	2013-LA-639	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/6/2013			
	2013-LA-640	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/27/2013			
	2013-LA-641	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/12/2013			
	2013-LA-642	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/10/2013			
	2013-LA-643	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/16/2013		10/28/2013	220
	2013-LA-644	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/18/2013			
	2013-LA-645	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/28/2013			
	2013-LA-646	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/24/2013			
	2013-LA-647	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/23/2013			
	2013-LA-648	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/27/2013			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-649	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/24/2013			
	2013-LA-650	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/5/2013			
	2013-LA-651	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/29/2013			
	2013-LA-653	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/19/2013		6/21/2013	27
	2013-LA-654	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/4/2013		9/10/2013	490
	2013-LA-655	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/27/2013			
	2013-LA-656	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/22/2013		10/28/2013	11
	2013-LA-657	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/14/2013			
	2013-LA-658	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/16/2013		10/28/2013	220
	2013-LA-659	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/29/2013		9/4/2013	75
	2013-LA-660	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/29/2013		9/4/2013	75
	2013-LA-661	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/29/2013		9/4/2013	15
	2013-LA-662	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/27/2013		8/30/2013	22
	2013-LA-663	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/17/2013			
	2013-LA-664	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/27/2013		10/1/2013	17
	2013-LA-665	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/21/2013			
	2013-LA-666	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/27/2013		9/3/2013	2
	2013-LA-667	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/23/2013			



**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-668	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/10/2013			
	2013-LA-669	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/30/2013			
	2013-LA-670	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/4/2013		9/10/2013	490
	2013-LA-671	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/3/2013			
	2013-LA-672	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/5/2013			
	2013-LA-673	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/4/2013			
	2013-LA-674	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/20/2013		9/25/2013	2
	2013-LA-675	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/22/2013		10/28/2013	11
	2013-LA-676	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/26/2013			
	2013-LA-677	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/4/2013		9/10/2013	490
	2013-LA-678	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 10/25/2013	10/10/2013		
	2013-LA-679	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/15/2013	11/12/2013		
	2013-LA-680	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/13/2013	11/5/2013		
	2012-LA-681	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/20/2013		9/25/2013	2
	2013-LA-682	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/20/2013			
	2013-LA-683	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/23/2013	9/23/2013		
	2013-LA-684	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/16/2013		9/16/2013	
	2013-LA-685	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/22/2013			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-686	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 10/3/2013			
	2013-LA-687	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 10/8/2013			
	2013-LA-688	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 10/14/2013			
	2013-LA-689	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 10/11/2013			
	2013-LA-690	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 10/23/2013			
	2013-LA-691	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 11/5/2013			
	2013-LA-692	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 1/18/2014			
	2013-LA-693	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 1/18/2014			
	2013-LA-694	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 11/29/2013			
	2013-LA-695	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 10/21/2013			
	2013-LA-696	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 10/30/2013			
	2013-LA-697	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 11/22/2013			
	2013-LA-699	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 11/8/2013			
	2013-LA-700	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 11/20/2013			
	2013-LA-701	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/23/2013	9/23/2013		
	2013-LA-702	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/25/2014			
	2013-LA-703	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/4/2013			
	2013-LA-704	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/13/2013			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-705	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/17/2013			
	2013-LA-706	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/17/2013			
	2013-LA-707	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 12/28/2013			
	2013-LA-708	Lacey, DA	Hon. 13-123	1/8/2014			
	2013-LA-709	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/17/2013			
	2013-LA-710	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/15/2014			
	2013-LA-712	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/10/2013			
	2013-LA-713	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/9/2013			
	2013-LA-714	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 11/30/2013			
	2013-LA-715	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 1/4/2014			
	2013-LA-716	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 1/7/2014			
	2013-LA-717	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 2/8/2014			
	2013-LA-718	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 1/16/2014			
	2013-LA-719	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 1/18/2014			
	2013-LA-720	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 1/25/2014			
	2013-LA-721	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 1/24/2014			
	2013-LA-722	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 1/20/2014			
	2013-LA-723	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/29/2014			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-724	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/29/2014			
	2013-LA-726	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/15/2014			
	2013-LA-727	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 2/3/2014			
	2013-LA-728	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/7/2014			
	2013-LA-729	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/3/2014			
	2013-LA-730	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/18/2013		11/21/2013	
	2013-LA-731	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 5/23/2013			
	2013-LA-732	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 12/25/2013			
	2013-LA-734	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 1/25/2014			
	2013-LA-735	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/25/2014			
	2013-LA-736	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 3/15/2014			
	2013-LA-737	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/23/2014			
	2013-LA-738	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 12/2/2013			
	2013-LA-739	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/18/2013		11/21/2013	95
	2013-LA-740	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/18/2013		11/21/2013	95
	2013-LA-741	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/16/2014	12/16/2013		
	2014-LA-742	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/22/2013			
	2013-LA-743	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/22/2014			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-744	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/3/2014			
	2013-LA-745	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/18/2014			
	2013-LA-746	Lacey, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/27/2014			
Merced	2013-MER-25	Robinson, Agent	Hon. Kiriara	9/17/2013		9/17/2013	200
Monterey	2013-MTY-13	Flippo, DA	Hon. Burlison	8/22/2013		7/22/2013	235
	2013-MTY-14	Flippo, DA	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 7/28/2013			
	2013-MTY-15	Flippo, DA	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 7/4/2013			
	2013-MTY-16	Flippo, DA	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/7/2013			
	2013-MTY-17	Flippo, DA	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/21/2013			
	2013-MTY-18	Flippo, DA	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/13/2013			
	2013-MTY-19	Flippo, DA	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/21/2013			
	2013-MTY-20	Flippo, DA	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/21/2013			
	2013-MTY-21	Flippo, DA	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/21/2013			
	2013-MTY-22	Flippo, DA	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/21/2013			
	2013-MTY-23	Flippo, DA	Hon. Burlison	(Inventory due) 8/21/2013			
Orange	2013-OR-82	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	1/13/2014		1/14/2014	5
	2013-OR-83	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	1/13/2014		1/14/2014	8
	2013-OR-84	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	6/11/2013	1/13/2014		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Orange (cont'd)	2013-OR-85	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	1/13/2014		1/14/2014	29
Riverside	2012-RIV-574	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/3/2013			
	2012-RIV-575	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/25/2013		8/27/2013	3
	2012-RIV-576	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/26/2013		5/21/2013	2
	2012-RIV-577	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/17/2013			
	2012-RIV-578	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/28/2013		5/21/2013	3
	2012-RIV-579	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/1/2013			
	2012-RIV-580	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/17/2013			
	2012-RIV-581	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/22/2013			
	2012-RIV-582	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/5/2013			
	2012-RIV-584	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/5/2013		8/27/2013	5
	2012-RIV-585	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/5/2013		4/15/2013	2
	2012-RIV-586	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/16/2013	3/28/2013		
	2012-RIV-587	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/19/2013			
	2012-RIV-588	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/18/2013		8/27/2013	35
	2012-RIV-590	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/20/2013			
	2012-RIV-591	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/2/2013			
	2012-RIV-592	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/25/2013		4/24/2013	3

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-593	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/6/2013		4/2/2013	43
	2012-RIV-594	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/7/2013			
	2012-RIV-595	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/13/2013		4/2/2013	2
	2012-RIV-596	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/3/2013		8/27/2013	175
	2012-RIV-597	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/11/2013			
	2012-RIV-598	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/3/2013		8/27/2013	36
	2012-RIV-599	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/11/2013			
	2012-RIV-600	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/10/2013			
	2012-RIV-601	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/13/2013			
	2012-RIV-602	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/11/2013			
	2012-RIV-603	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/8/2013	3/13/2013		
	2012-RIV-604	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/14/2013		8/27/2013	2
	2012-RIV-605	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/4/2013			
	2012-RIV-606	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/28/2013		10/10/2013	2
	2012-RIV-607	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/30/2013	3/28/2013		
	2012-RIV-608	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/18/2013			
	2012-RIV-609	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/16/2013			
	2012-RIV-612	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/9/2013			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-613	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/11/2013			
	2012-RIV-614	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/9/2013			
	2012-RIV-615	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/9/2013			
	2012-RIV-616	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/3/2013			
	2012-RIV-617	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/4/2013		5/21/2013	1
	2012-RIV-618	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/4/2013			
	2013-RIV-619	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/26/2013			
	2013-RIV-620	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/1/2013		4/24/2013	4
	2013-RIV-621	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/5/2013		5/21/2013	5
	2013-RIV-622	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/5/2013		3/5/2013	1
	2013-RIV-623	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/9/2013			
	2013-RIV-624	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/19/2013			
	2013-RIV-625	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	4/21/2013			
	2013-RIV-626	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/9/2013			
	2013-RIV-627	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/16/2013	3/13/2013		
	2013-RIV-628	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/16/2013	6/20/2013	11/20/2013	
	2013-RIV-629	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/1/2013			
	2013-RIV-630	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/21/2013			



**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-631	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/18/2013			
	2013-RIV-632	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/20/2013		4/24/2013	2
	2013-RIV-633	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/14/2013			
	2013-RIV-634	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-635	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/22/2013		8/27/2013	3
	2013-RIV-636	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/24/2013			
	2013-RIV-637	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/27/2013			
	2013-RIV-638	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/24/2013		6/25/2013	7
	2013-RIV-639	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/28/2013	6/6/2013		
	2013-RIV-640	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/8/2013		8/27/2013	1
	2013-RIV-641	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/27/2013		1/8/2014	16
	2013-RIV-642	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/1/2013		5/21/2013	2
	2013-RIV-643	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/27/2013			
	2013-RIV-644	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/1/2013		1/8/2014	1
	2013-RIV-645	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/30/2013		5/21/2013	4
	2013-RIV-646	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/1/2013		4/24/2013	3
	2013-RIV-647	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/28/2013		11/20/2013	3
	2013-RIV-648	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/29/2013		4/24/2013	3

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-649	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/14/2013			
	2013-RIV-650	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/6/2013			
	2013-RIV-651	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/27/2013			
	2013-RIV-652	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/8/2013			
	2013-RIV-653	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/9/2013			
	2013-RIV-654	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/8/2013			
	2013-RIV-655	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/13/2013		1/27/2014	2
	2013-RIV-656	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/28/2013			
	2013-RIV-657	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Webster	5/12/2013			
	2013-RIV-658	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/12/2013		5/22/2013	12
	2013-RIV-659	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/16/2013		11/20/2013	1
	2013-RIV-660	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/15/2013			
	2013-RIV-661	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/18/2013			
	2013-RIV-662	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/15/2013			
	2013-RIV-663	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/1/2013			
	2013-RIV-664	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/12/2013			
	2013-RIV-665	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/20/2013		8/27/2013	5
	2013-RIV-666	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/22/2013		4/24/2013	2

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-667	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/21/2013			
	2013-RIV-668	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/24/2013		11/20/2013	5
	2013-RIV-669	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/24/2013			
	2013-RIV-670	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	5/23/2013			
	2013-RIV-671	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/15/2013			
	2013-RIV-672	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/9/2013			
	2013-RIV-673	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/30/2013	6/27/2013		
	2013-RIV-674	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/27/2013		11/20/2013	3
	2013-RIV-675	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/2/2013		11/20/2013	5
	2013-RIV-676	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/8/2013			
	2013-RIV-677	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/24/2013			
	2013-RIV-678	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/2/2013			
	2013-RIV-679	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/10/2013			
	2013-RIV-680	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/10/2013			
	2013-RIV-681	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/14/2013			
	2013-RIV-682	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/13/2013			
	2013-RIV-683	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/12/2013			
	2013-RIV-684	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/1/2013		5/22/2013	10

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-685	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/1/2013			
	2013-RIV-686	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/8/2013		11/20/2013	2
	2013-RIV-687	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/4/2013		1/28/2014	101
	2013-RIV-688	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/3/2013			
	2013-RIV-689	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/5/2013			
	2013-RIV-690	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/2/2013		11/20/2013	2
	2013-RIV-691	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/6/2013			
	2013-RIV-692	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/6/2013			
	2013-RIV-693	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/14/2013			
	2013-RIV-694	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/29/2013		4/24/2013	3
	2013-RIV-695	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/18/2013			
	2013-RIV-696	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-697	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/9/2013		11/20/2013	4
	2013-RIV-698	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/18/2013			
	2013-RIV-699	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-700	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/20/2013			
	2013-RIV-701	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/20/2013		11/20/2013	5
	2013-RIV-702	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/20/2013	6/27/2013		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-703	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/25/2013		11/20/2013	2
	2013-RIV-704	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	6/28/2013		11/20/2013	2
	2013-RIV-705	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/27/2013			
	2013-RIV-706	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/27/2013			
	2013-RIV-707	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/29/2013			
	2013-RIV-708	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/25/2013			
	2013-RIV-709	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/3/2013	9/27/2013		
	2013-RIV-710	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/14/2013			
	2013-RIV-712	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/6/2013			
	2013-RIV-713	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/6/2013			
	2013-RIV-714	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/8/2013			
	2013-RIV-715	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/8/2013			
	2013-RIV-716	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/29/2013			
	2013-RIV-717	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/24/2013			
	2013-RIV-718	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/16/2013		1/8/2014	1
	2013-RIV-719	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/31/2013			
	2013-RIV-720	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/23/2013		1/8/2014	50
	2013-RIV-721	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/1/2013			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-722	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/10/2013		11/20/2013	5
	2013-RIV-723	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/14/2013			
	2013-RIV-724	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/14/2013			
	2013-RIV-725	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/22/2013		11/20/2013	4
	2013-RIV-726	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-727	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/17/2013		11/20/2013	8
	2013-RIV-728	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/26/2013			
	2013-RIV-729	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/21/2013			
	2013-RIV-730	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/6/2013			
	2013-RIV-731	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/6/2013		11/20/2013	1
	2013-RIV-732	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/8/2013			
	2013-RIV-733	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/30/2013			
	2013-RIV-734	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/17/2013			
	2013-RIV-735	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/31/2013		8/27/2013	4
	2013-RIV-736	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/3/2013			
	2013-RIV-737	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/30/2013			
	2013-RIV-738	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/6/2013		1/8/2014	
	2013-RIV-739	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/6/2013		1/8/2014	3

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-740	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/25/2013			
	2013-RIV-741	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/22/2013			
	2013-RIV-742	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/2/2013			
	2013-RIV-743	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/1/2013			
	2013-RIV-744	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/15/2013			
	2013-RIV-745	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/31/2013	9/27/2013		
	2013-RIV-746	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/15/2013			
	2013-RIV-747	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-748	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-749	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-750	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/14/2013			
	2013-RIV-751	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/8/2013			
	2013-RIV-753	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/13/2013			
	2013-RIV-754	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/23/2013			
	2013-RIV-755	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/22/2013		1/8/2014	2
	2013-RIV-756	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/22/2013		1/8/2014	4
	2013-RIV-757	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/20/2013		1/28/2014	6
	2013-RIV-759	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/28/2013			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-760	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/27/2013			
	2013-RIV-761	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/27/2013	9/27/2013		
	2013-RIV-762	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/30/2013			
	2013-RIV-763	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/30/2013			
	2013-RIV-764	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/3/2013			
	2013-RIV-765	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/6/2013			
	2013-RIV-766	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/4/2013			
	2013-RIV-767	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/6/2013			
	2013-RIV-768	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/28/2013			
	2013-RIV-769	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/4/2013	9/27/2013		
	2013-RIV-770	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/6/2013		1/8/2014	6
	2013-RIV-771	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/29/2013			
	2013-RIV-772	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/6/2013			
	2013-RIV-773	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/13/2013			
	2013-RIV-774	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/11/2013			
	2013-RIV-775	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/12/2013	9/27/2013		
	2013-RIV-776	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	9/24/2013			
	2013-RIV-777	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/12/2013			



**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-778	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/13/2013			
	2013-RIV-779	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/13/2013			
	2013-RIV-780	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/14/2013			
	2013-RIV-781	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/14/2013			
	2013-RIV-782	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/13/2013			
	2013-RIV-783	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-784	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/20/2013			
	2013-RIV-785	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/19/2013			
	2013-RIV-786	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/17/2013			
	2013-RIV-787	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/20/2013			
	2013-RIV-788	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/3/2013			
	2013-RIV-789	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/7/2013			
	2013-RIV-790	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/30/2013			
	2013-RIV-791	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/28/2013			
	2013-RIV-792	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/28/2013			
	2013-RIV-794	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/29/2013			
	2013-RIV-795	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/23/2013			
	2013-RIV-796	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/1/2013			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-797	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/9/2013			
	2013-RIV-798	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/2/2013			
	2013-RIV-799	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/2/2013			
	2013-RIV-800	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	(Inventory due) 10/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-801	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/10/2013			
	2013-RIV-802	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/9/2013			
	2013-RIV-803	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/14/2013			
	2013-RIV-804	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/26/2013			
	2013-RIV-805	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/16/2013	9/27/2013		
	2013-RIV-806	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/1/2013			
	2013-RIV-807	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-808	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-809	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/17/2013		1/28/2014	2
	2013-RIV-810	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/29/2013		1/28/2014	5
	2013-RIV-811	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/18/2013			
	2013-RIV-812	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/18/2013			
	2013-RIV-813	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/8/2014			
	2013-RIV-814	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/24/2013			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-815	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/24/2013			
	2013-RIV-816	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/29/2013			
	2013-RIV-817	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/23/2013			
	2013-RIV-818	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/23/2013		1/28/2014	9
	2013-RIV-819	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/25/2014		1/28/2014	9
	2013-RIV-820	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/11/2013			
	2013-RIV-821	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/29/2013	10/4/2013		
	2013-RIV-822	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/30/2013			
	2013-RIV-823	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/30/2013			
	2013-RIV-824	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/4/2013			
	2013-RIV-825	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	11/29/2013		1/28/2014	3
	2013-RIV-826	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/4/2013			
	2013-RIV-827	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/8/2013		1/28/2014	3
	2013-RIV-828	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/8/2013		1/28/2014	1
	2013-RIV-829	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/7/2013			
	2013-RIV-830	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/15/2013		1/28/2014	4
	2013-RIV-831	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/15/2013			
	2013-RIV-832	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/15/2013			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-833	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/15/2013		1/28/2014	4
	2013-RIV-834	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-835	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/14/2013			
	2013-RIV-836	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/15/2013			
	2013-RIV-837	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/19/2013			
	2013-RIV-838	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/19/2013	12/18/2013		
	2013-RIV-839	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/18/2013			
	2013-RIV-840	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/1/2013			
	2013-RIV-841	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/16/2013			
	2013-RIV-842	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/16/2013		1/28/2014	1
	2013-RIV-843	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/19/2013			
	2013-RIV-844	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/26/2013	1/14/2014		
	2013-RIV-845	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/27/2013			
	2013-RIV-846	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/19/2014		1/28/2014	3
	2013-RIV-847	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/25/2014			
	2013-RIV-849	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/26/2013			
	2013-RIV-850	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/4/2014			
	2013-RIV-851	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/4/2014			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-852	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/6/2014			
	2013-RIV-853	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/6/2014			
	2013-RIV-854	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/9/2014		1/28/2014	4
	2013-RIV-855	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/19/2013			
	2013-RIV-856	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/11/2014		1/28/2014	4
	2013-RIV-857	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/11/2014			
	2013-RIV-858	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/13/2014			
	2013-RIV-859	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/27/2014			
	2013-RIV-860	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	10/2/2013			
	2013-RIV-861	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/16/2014	12/18/2013		
	2013-RIV-862	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/17/2014			
	2013-RIV-863	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/17/2014		1/28/2014	1
	2013-RIV-864	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/18/2014			
	2013-RIV-865	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/24/2014			
	2013-RIV-866	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/24/2014			
	2013-RIV-867	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/22/2014			
	2013-RIV-868	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/1/2014		1/28/2014	1
	2013-RIV-869	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/25/2014			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-870	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/24/2014			
	2013-RIV-871	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/27/2014			
	2013-RIV-872	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/7/2014			
	2013-RIV-873	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/10/2014			
	2013-RIV-874	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/14/2014			
	2013-RIV-875	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/10/2014	1/14/2014		
	2013-RIV-876	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/16/2014			
	2013-RIV-877	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/16/2014		1/28/2014	6
	2013-RIV-878	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/16/2014			
	2013-RIV-881	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/23/2014			
	2013-RIV-882	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/24/2013			
	2013-RIV-884	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/20/2014			
	2013-RIV-885	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/6/2014			
	2013-RIV-886	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/28/2014			
	2013-RIV-887	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/1/2014			
	2013-RIV-888	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/28/2014		1/28/2014	3
	2013-RIV-889	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/1/2014			
	2013-RIV-890	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/28/2014			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-891	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/28/2014			
	2013-RIV-892	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/1/2014			
	2013-RIV-893	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/28/2014			
	2013-RIV-894	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/1/2014			
	2013-RIV-895	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/9/2014			
	2013-RIV-896	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/1/2014			
	2013-RIV-897	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/10/2014	1/14/2014		
	2013-RIV-898	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/1/2014			
	2013-RIV-900	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/1/2014			
	2013-RIV-901	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/6/2014			
	2013-RIV-903	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/12/2014			
	2013-RIV-907	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/12/2014			
	2013-RIV-908	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/15/2013			
	2013-RIV-909	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/20/2013			
	2013-RIV-910	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/15/2014			
	2013-RIV-912	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/18/2014			
	2013-RIV-913	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/20/2014			
	2013-RIV-914	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/20/2014			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-917	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/20/2014			
	2013-RIV-919	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/26/2014			
	2013-RIV-920	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/21/2014			
	2013-RIV-921	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/21/2014			
	2013-RIV-923	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/20/2014			
	2013-RIV-924	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/21/2013			
Sacramento	2013-SAC-46	Grippi, ACDDA	Hon. White	4/13/2013		3/20/2013	8
	2013-SAC-47	Grippi, ACDDA	Hon. White	4/23/2013			128
	2013-SAC-48	Grippi, ACDDA	Hon. White	4/22/2013			32
	2013-SAC-49	Grippi, ACDDA	Hon. White	4/23/2013			78
	2013-SAC-50	Grippi, ACDDA	Hon. White	12/11/2013		12/11/2013	33
	2013-SAC-51	Grippi, ACDDA	Hon. White	12/11/2013		12/11/2013	33
	2013-SAC-52	Grippi, ACDDA	Hon. White	12/11/2013		12/11/2013	33
	2013-SAC-53	Ruskamp, Detective	Hon. McFadden	7/18/2013		7/18/2013	14
San Bernardino	2012-SBD-459	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/10/2013	2/22/2013	4/23/2013	111
	2013-SBD-495	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	4/15/2013	1/22/2013		
	2013-SBD-496	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/3/2013	6/18/2013		
	2013-SBD-497	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/24/2013			



**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2013-SBD-498	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/10/2013		3/5/2013	23
	2013-SBD-499	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/24/2013		8/8/2013	4
	2013-SBD-500	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/30/2013		4/3/2013	5
	2013-SBD-501	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	5/30/2013		8/8/2013	2
	2013-SBD-502	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	6/28/2013			
	2013-SBD-503	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	6/21/2013		4/23/2013	33
	2013-SBD-504	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	6/7/2013	6/18/2013		
	2013-SBD-505	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	(Inventory due) 6/10/2013			
	2013-SBD-506	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	6/28/2013		8/6/2013	12
	2013-SBD-507	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	7/12/2013	6/18/2013		
	2013-SBD-508	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	6/25/2013			
	2013-SBD-509	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	6/28/3012	6/18/2013		
	2013-SBD-510	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	7/8/2013		8/6/2013	5
	2013-SBD-511	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	(Inventory due) 8/23/2013			
	2013-SBD-512	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	8/16/2013	10/17/2013		
	2013-SBD-513	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	10/31/2013	10/17/2013		
	2013-SBD-514	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	9/11/2013	10/1/2013	10/3/2013	16
	2013-SBD-515	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Harrison	9/26/2013			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2013-SBD-516	Ramos, DA	Hon. Harrison	10/3/2013	10/17/2013		
	2013-SBD-517	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	10/21/2013		12/3/2013	16
	2013-SBD-518	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	11/6/2013	11/14/2013		
	2013-SBD-519	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	10/31/2013	11/5/2013		
	2013-SBD-520	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	11/5/2013	11/22/2013		
	2013-SBD-521	Roth, ADA	Hon. Pace	12/27/2013			
	2013-SBD-522	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	12/5/2013			
	2013-SBD-523	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	2/5/2014		1/15/2014	49
	2013-SBD-525	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	12/18/2013		12/18/2013	
	2013-SBD-526	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	3/14/2014			
	2013-SBD-527	Ramos, DA	Hon. Harrison	1/8/2014			
	2013-SBD-528	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	3/14/2014			
	2013-SBD-529	Ramos, DA	Hon. Harrison	1/13/2014		1/7/2014	13
	2013-SBD-530	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	2/5/2014		1/15/2014	11
	2013-SBD-531	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	2/15/2014			
	2013-SBD-532	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	3/22/2014			
	2013-SBD-533	Roth, ADA	Hon. Pace	3/6/2014			
	2013-SBD-534	Roth, ADA	Hon. Pace	3/5/2014			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2013-SBD-535	Roth, ADA	Hon. Pace	4/4/2014			
	2013-SBD-536	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	3/20/2014			
	2013-SBD-537	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	4/2/2014			
San Diego	2013-SD-162	Prendergast, Undersheriff	Hon. Daniels	4/3/2013			33
	2013-SD-163	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	7/15/2013			11
	2013-SD-164	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 9/2/2013	8/22/2013	11/14/2013	31
	2013-SD-165	Hill, Acting Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 10/10/2013	8/22/2013	11/14/2013	40
	2013-SD-166	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	7/15/2013		7/15/2013	290
	2013-SD-167	Torres, Acting Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	7/15/2013		7/15/2013	290
	2013-SD-168	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	7/15/2013		7/15/2013	290
	2013-SD-169	Carter, Chief of Police	Hon. Daniels	2/28/2014			
	2013-SD-170	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	2/28/2014			
	2013-SD-171	Gore, San Diego County Sheriff	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 12/17/2013		12/13/2013	189
	2013-SD-172	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 5/9/2013	10/28/2013		
	2013-SD-173	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 5/27/2013	10/28/2013		
	2013-SD-174	Torres, Acting Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 9/3/2013	8/22/2013		
	2013-SD-175	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 10/15/2013	10/3/2013		
	2013-SD-176	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 12/3/2013	10/28/2013		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Diego (cont'd)	2013-SD-177	Sherman, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Daniels	2/14/2014			
	2013-SD-178	Rodriguez, Chief of Police	Hon. Daniels	2/21/2014			
	2013-SD-179	Benner, Homeland Security Investigations	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 1/14/2014	1/10/2014		
San Joaquin	2012-SJ-18	Busuttil, ADA	Hon. Guiliani	5/21/2013		5/8/2013	11
	2013-SJ-2	Busuttil, ADA	Hon. Vlavianos	2/24/2014			
	2013-SJ-27	Busuttil, ADA	Hon. Vlavianos	3/7/2014			
	2013-SJ-28	Busuttil, ADA	Hon. Vlavianos	3/11/2014			
	2013-SJ-29	Busuttil, ADA	Hon. Vlavianos	3/11/2014			
	2013-SJ-31	Busuttil, ADA	Hon. Guiliani	3/19/2014			
	2013-SJ-32	Busuttil, ADA	Hon. Guiliani	3/16/2014			
	2013-SJ-33	Busuttil, ADA	Hon. Guiliani	(Inventory due) 3/19/2014	3/19/2014		
	2013-SJ-35	Busuttil, ADA	Hon. Guiliani	3/19/2014			
San Luis Obispo	2013-SLO-5	Shea, DA	Hon. Trice	3/4/2014			
	2013-SLO-7	Shea, DA	Hon. Trice	3/4/2014			
	2013-SLO-8	Shea, DA	Hon. Trice	3/4/2014			
San Mateo	2013-SM-9	Wagstaffe, DA	Hon. Grandsaert	12/25/2013			
Santa Barbara	2013-SBA-41	Carrozzo, DDA	Hon. Anderson	(Inventory due) 10/4/2013	1/3/2014		52
	2013-SBA-42	Carrozzo, DDA	Hon. Anderson	(Inventory due) 10/31/2013	1/3/2014		52

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Santa Barbara (cont'd)	2013-SBA-43	Carrozzo, DDA	Hon. Anderson	(Inventory due) 11/30/2013	1/3/2014		52
	2013-SBA-44	Dudley, DA	Hon. Garcia	8/22/2013		8/9/2013	17
	2013-SBA-45	Dudley, DA	Hon. Kelly	8/22/2013		8/9/2013	17
Santa Clara	2013-SCL-56	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	4/2/2014	1/2/2014		
	2013-SCL-58	Rosen, Vanier	Hon. Barrett	4/2/2014	1/2/2014		
	2013-SCL-59	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	4/2/2014	1/2/2014		
	2013-SCL-60	Rosen, DA	Hon. Bonini	4/2/2014	1/2/2014		
	2013-SCL-61	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barret	4/2/2014	1/2/2014		
	2013-SCL-62	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	4/2/2014	1/2/2014		
	2013-SCL-63	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	2/28/2014			
	2013-SCL-64	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	4/2/2014	1/2/2014		
	2013-SCL-65	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	4/2/2014	1/2/2014		
	2013-SCL-66	Rosen, DA	Hon. Bonini	2/28/2014			
	2013-SCL-67	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	2/28/2014			
	2013-SCL-68	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	4/2/2014	1/2/2014		
	2013-SCL-69	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	4/2/2014	1/2/2014		
	2013-SCL-70	Rosen, DA	Hon. Barrett	(Inventory due) 6/20/2013		6/1/2014	3
Shasta	2013-SHA-2	Carlton, DA	Hon. Gaul	(Inventory due) 11/7/2013	11/8/2013		

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Stanislaus	2013-STA-49	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	6/13/2013		9/30/2013	12
	2013-STA-50	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	7/12/2013			
	2013-STA-51	Pham, Special Agent	Hon. Ashley	11/26/2013	11/26/2013	12/11/2013	5
	2013-STA-53	Jacobson, Criminal Investigator	Hon. Ashley	11/20/2013		11/19/2013	21
	2013-STA-54	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	11/20/2013		11/18/2013	9
	2013-STA-55	Pham, Special Agent	Hon. Cordova	12/19/2013		12/11/2013	9
	2013-STA-56	Pham, Special Agent	Hon. Cordova	2/5/2014	12/11/2013	12/11/2013	7
	2013-STA-57	Pham, Special Agent	Hon. McFadden	2/5/2014	12/11/2013	12/11/2013	7
	2013-STA-58	Ruskamp, Detective	Hon. McFadden	7/18/2013		7/18/2013	26
	2013-STA-59	Ruskamp, Detective	Hon. McFadden	7/18/2013		7/18/2013	16
	2013-STA-60	Ruskamp, Detective	Hon. McFadden	7/18/2013		7/18/2013	14
	2013-STA-61	Brown, Detective	Hon. Rigby	(Inventory due) 3/1/2014		2/6/2013	130
	2013-STA-62	Brown, Detective	Hon. Rigby	1/17/2014		2/6/2014	6
Ventura	2012-VE-60	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	(Inventory due) 8/31/2013	7/11/2013		
	2013-VE-71	Totten, DA	Hon. Young	(Inventory due) 5/22/2013	2/22/2013		
	2013-VE-72	Totten, DA	Hon. DeNoce	2/24/2014			
	2013-VE-73	Totten, DA	Hon. DeNoce	2/26/2014			
	2013-VE-75	Totten, DA	Hon. Murphy	(Inventory due) 1/1/2014			

**Table 5**  
**Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura (cont'd)	2013-VE-76	Totten, DA	Hon. Ayers	(Inventory due) 9/5/2013			
	2013-VE-77	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	(Inventory due) 10/6/2013			
	2013-VE-78	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	(Inventory due) 11/5/2013			
	2013-VE-79	Totten, DA	Hon. Cloninger	(Inventory due) 7/31/2013			
	2013-VE-80	Totten, DA	Hon. White	12/25/2013			
	2013-VE-81	Totten, DA	Hon. O'Neill	(Inventory due) 4/17/2013	10/28/2013		
	2013-VE-82	Totten, DA	Hon. Murphy	(Inventory due) 7/14/2013	10/28/2013		
	2013-VE-83	Totten, DA	Hon. Wright	(Inventory due) 7/16/2013		7/17/2013	65

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Alameda	13-01	2 monitors; 1 wire room manager	2,480	3,200	5,680
	13-02	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	27,280	1,600	28,880
	13-03	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	37,200	1,600	38,800
	13-04	4 monitors; 1 wire room manager	102,920	6,400	109,320
	2013-ALA-8	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	22,320	1,600	23,920
	2013-ALA-9	2 monitors; 1 wire room manager	23,560	3,200	26,760
	13-08	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	3,720	1,600	5,320
	13-16	2 monitors; 1 wire room manager	40,920	3,200	44,120
	13-17	4 monitors; 1 wire room manager	55,800	6,400	62,200
	13-18	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	23,560	1,600	25,160
	13-19	5 monitors; 1 wire room manager	95,480	8,000	103,480
	2013-ALA-10	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	6,200	1,600	7,800
	2013-ALA-11	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	37,200	1,600	38,800
	2013-ALA-13	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	28,520	1,600	30,120
	2013-ALA-14	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	35,960	1,600	37,560
	2013-ALA-15	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	34,720	1,600	36,320
	2013-ALA-16	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	35,960	1,600	37,560
	2013-ALA-17	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	6,200	1,600	7,800
	2013-ALA-18	1 monitor; 1 wire room manager	21,080	1,600	22,680
<b>Alameda Total</b>			<b>641,080</b>	<b>51,200</b>	<b>692,280</b>
Contra Costa	2013-CC-2	monitors, wire installation/activation	50,000	36,264	86,264

<sup>4</sup> Totals per electronic wiretap and per county do not delineate between sources of funds (county, state, federal).



**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
	2013-CC-3	monitors and wire installation/activation	50,000	36,264	86,264
Contra Costa (cont'd)	2013-CC-4		50,000	36,264	86,264
	2013-CC-7	6 monitors; 2 agents	120,000	0	120,000
<b>Contra Costa Total</b>			<b>270,000</b>	<b>108,792</b>	<b>378,792</b>
Fresno	2013-FR-1	17 investigators; 2 conduit linguists; 2 criminal intelligence analysts; 10 wire monitors; 1 wire technician; 2 wire room supervisors	154,526	9,700	164,226
	2013-FR-2	Costs related to 13-01			
	2013-FR-3	Costs related to 13-01			
	2013-FR-4	Costs related to 13-01			
	2013-FR-5	Costs related to 13-01			
<b>Fresno Total</b>			<b>154,526</b>	<b>9,700</b>	<b>164,226</b>
Imperial	2012-IM-75	contracted personnel; Metro	122,472	12,000	134,472
	2012-IM-76	contracted personnel; Metro	122,472	12,000	134,472
<b>Imperial Total</b>			<b>244,944</b>	<b>24,000</b>	<b>268,944</b>
Kern	2013-KE-25	Costs related to 13-04b			
	2013-KE-26	Costs related to 13-04b			
	2013-KE-27	Costs related to 13-04b			
	2013-KE-28	Costs related to 13-04b			
	2013-KE-29	Costs related to 13-04b			
	2013-KE-30	Costs related to 13-04b			
	2013-KE-31	20	36,960	8,000	44,960
	2013-KE-32	25	125,000	50,000	175,000
	2013-KE-33	Costs related to 13-05			
	2013-KE-34	63	32,893	34,143	67,036
<b>Kern Total</b>			<b>194,853</b>	<b>92,143</b>	<b>286,996</b>

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Kings	2013-KI-1	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
Kings (cont'd)	2013-KI-2	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-3	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-4	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-5	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-6	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-7	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-8	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-9	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-10	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-11	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-12	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-13	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-14	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
	2013-KI-15	1 technician; 8 monitors	125,000	3,000	128,000
<b>Kings Total</b>			<b>1,875,000</b>	<b>45,000</b>	<b>1,920,000</b>
Los Angeles	2012-LA-593	Cost not available			
	2012-LA-595	7 monitors	35,000	5,000	40,000
	2012-LA-596	12	180,000	19,800	199,800
	2012-LA-597	2 monitors per day	40,674	1,286	41,960
	2012-LA-598	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-599	2 monitors per day	44,000	4,000	48,000
	2013-LA-600	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	66,000	12,000	78,000
	2013-LA-601	1 installer; 4 monitors	40,676	2,800	43,476
	2013-LA-602	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	66,000	12,000	78,000
	2013-LA-603	5 monitors	20,900	1,284	22,184
	2013-LA-605	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-606	2 monitors per day	81,360	3,200	84,560
	2013-LA-607	2 monitors per day	75,000	7,500	82,500
	2013-LA-608	2304 monitor hours and 3800 LEO hours	192,126	1,840	193,966
	2013-LA-609	2 monitors per day	50,000	5,000	55,000
	2013-LA-610	2 monitors per day	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2013-LA-611	296 monitor and 250 LEO hours	18,942	610	19,552
	2013-LA-612	8 officers; 0 monitors; 1 technician	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2013-LA-613	4 installs; 8 monitors; 1 transcript	140,000	10,000	150,000
	2013-LA-614	2 monitors per day	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2013-LA-615	Monitors/technician/SPRINT-RIM	40,674	7,000	47,674
	2013-LA-616	112 monitors and 110 LEO hours	7,539	470	8,009
	2013-LA-617	2 monitors per day	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2013-LA-618	2 installs; 2 monitors; 1 transcript	40,000	3,000	43,000
	2013-LA-619	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-620	352 monitor and 650 LEO hours	31,026	660	31,686
	2013-LA-621	2 monitors per day	22,000	2,000	24,000
	2013-LA-622	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	66,000	12,000	78,000
	2013-LA-624	1 installer; 2 monitors	20,338	2,800	23,138
	2013-LA-625	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	20,000	4,000	24,000
	2013-LA-626	2 monitors per day	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2013-LA-627	monitors/technician/T-Mobile/Sprint	40,674	5,000	45,674
	2013-LA-628	Cost not available			
	2013-LA-629	2 monitors per day	20,947	700	21,647
	2013-LA-630	Cost not available			
	2013-LA-631	4 monitors per day	44,000	1,400	45,400

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-632	336 monitors and 330 LEO hours	22,616	610	23,226
	2013-LA-633	monitors/technician/ Spring-RIM	81,348	14,000	95,348
	2013-LA-634	4 monitors	20,000	1,200	21,200
	2013-LA-635	2 monitors per day - Federal money	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-LA-636	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2013-LA-637	1,424 monitor and 1,264 LEO hours	92,606	1,300	93,906
	2013-LA-638	2 installs; 4 monitors; 1 transcript	40,000	3,000	43,000
	2013-LA-639	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-640	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-641	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-642	368 monitor and 320 LEO hours	23,771	560	24,331
	2013-LA-643	2 monitors per day	25,000	2,500	27,500
	2013-LA-644	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-645	monitors/technicians	30,000	15,000	45,000
	2013-LA-646	1 installer; 4 monitors	40,676	2,800	43,476
	2013-LA-647	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-648	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-649	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-LA-650	2 monitors per day	20,984	2,100	23,084
	2013-LA-651	944 monitor and 960 LEO hours	64,332	990	65,322
	2013-LA-653	2 monitors per day	20,947	700	21,647
	2013-LA-654	12 monitors	60,000	15,000	75,000
	2013-LA-655	8 LEOs/ 1 civilian technician	26,000	2,500	28,500
	2013-LA-656	2 installs; 2 monitors; 1 transcript	20,000	3,000	23,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-657	2 monitors per day	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2013-LA-658	2 monitors per day	25,000	7,500	32,500
	2013-LA-659	1 install, 10 monitors, 2 transcripts	130,000	3,200	133,200
	2013-LA-660	1 install; 2 monitors; 2 transcripts	78,000	3,200	81,200
	2013-LA-661	2 installs; 4 monitors; 2 transcripts	78,000	3,200	81,200
	2013-LA-662	2 installs; 4 monitors; 4 transcripts	40,000	3,200	43,200
	2013-LA-663	2 installs; 4 monitors; 2 transcripts	40,000	3,200	43,200
	2013-LA-664	10 monitors	20,947	730	21,677
	2013-LA-665	monitors/technicians/ Sprint	20,323	2,500	22,823
	2013-LA-666	2 monitors per day	20,000	1,600	21,600
	2013-LA-667	480 monitor and 620 LEO hours	35,889	700	36,589
	2013-LA-668	8 officers; 0 monitors; 1 technician	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2013-LA-669	1 installer; 2 monitors	20,338	2,800	23,138
	2013-LA-670	2 monitors per day	35,000	2,500	37,500
	2013-LA-671	monitors/technicians/ Sprint	20,323	2,500	22,823
	2013-LA-672	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-673	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-LA-674	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2013-LA-675	2 installs; 2 monitors; 1 transcript	20,000	3,000	23,000
	2013-LA-676	2 monitors per day	13,965	700	14,665
	2013-LA-677	7 monitors	35,000	2,500	37,500
	2013-LA-678	4 monitors	7,000	700	7,700
	2013-LA-679	1 installer; 4 monitors	40,676	28,000	68,676
	2013-LA-680	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-681	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2013-LA-682	day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-683	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-LA-684		21,000	1,300	22,300
	2013-LA-685	480 monitor and 300 LEO hours	28,177	700	28,877
	2013-LA-686	day - only	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-687	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
		70 LEO hours	20,472	2,000	22,472
	2013-LA-689	1 installer; 2 monitors	20,338	2,800	23,138
	2013-LA-690	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-691	1 installer; 2 monitors	20,338	2,800	23,138
	2013-LA-692	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-693	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	72,000	8,000	80,000
	2013-LA-694	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-LA-695	4 monitors	7,000	700	7,700
	2013-LA-696	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-697	2 monitors per day	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2013-LA-699	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-700	1 installer, 2 monitors	20,338	2,800	23,138
	2013-LA-701	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-702	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	72,000	8,000	80,000
	2013-LA-703	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-704	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-705	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-706	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-707	2 monitors per day	14,663	700	15,363
	2013-LA-708	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-709	2 monitors per day	5,000	1,640	6,640
	2013-LA-710	8 officers; 1 technician	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2013-LA-712	2 monitors per shift	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-713	2 monitors per shift	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-714	2 monitors per shift	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-715	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-716	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-717	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-LA-718	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-719	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-720	7 monitors	35,000	5,000	40,000
	2013-LA-721	2 monitors per day - federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-722	1 installer and 3 deputies	8,000	1,200	9,200
	2013-LA-723	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-724	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-726	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-727	2 monitors per day - Federal money only	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-728	Spanish monitors	10,474	950	11,424
	2013-LA-729	1 installer; 2 monitors - Federal	19,171	1,600	20,771
	2013-LA-730	8 monitors; 2 technicians; 3 detectives	179,000	6,000	185,000
	2013-LA-731		18,000	19,800	37,800
	2013-LA-732	2 monitors per day	25,000	2,500	27,500
	2013-LA-734	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2013-LA-735	2 monitors per day	25,000	2,500	27,500
	2013-LA-736	Cost not available			
	2013-LA-737	Cost not available			
	2013-LA-738	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-739	Costs related to 13-81			
	2013-LA-740	Costs related to 13-81			
	2013-LA-741	2 monitors per day	25,000	2,500	27,500
	2014-LA-742	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-743	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-744	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-745	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-LA-746	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
<b>Los Angeles Total</b>			<b>4,677,288</b>	<b>497,330</b>	<b>5,174,618</b>
Merced	2013-MER-25	1 agent for installation, one agent per day to monitor wire room	42,086	7,400	49,486
<b>Merced Total</b>			<b>42,086</b>	<b>7,400</b>	<b>49,486</b>
Monterey	2013-MTY-13	2 installers; 24 monitors	229,320	22,240	251,560
	2013-MTY-14	Costs related to 13-001			
	2013-MTY-15	Costs related to 13-001			
	2013-MTY-16	Costs related to 13-001			
	2013-MTY-17	Costs related to 13-001			
	2013-MTY-18	Costs related to 13-001			
	2013-MTY-19	Costs related to 13-001			
	2013-MTY-20	Costs related to 13-001			
	2013-MTY-21	Costs related to 13-001			
	2013-MTY-22	Costs related to 13-001			
	2013-MTY-23	Costs related to 13-001			
<b>Monterey Total</b>			<b>229,320</b>	<b>22,240</b>	<b>251,560</b>



**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Orange	2013-OR-82	7 monitors	9,600	3,070	12,670
	2013-OR-83	7 monitors	6,000	1,600	7,600
	2013-OR-84	12 monitors	21,000	1,400	22,400
	2013-OR-85	6 monitors	27,975	1,344	29,319
<b>Orange Total</b>			<b>64,575</b>	<b>7,414</b>	<b>71,989</b>
Riverside	2012-RIV-574	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	19,952	1,600	21,552
	2012-RIV-575	3 special agents; 7 TFOs; and 2 monitors	111,636	5,800	117,436
	2012-RIV-576	3 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	83,788	1,800	85,588
	2012-RIV-577	2 monitors	20,337	2,600	22,937
	2012-RIV-578	2 monitors; 2 DEA personnel	62,841	2,000	64,841
	2012-RIV-579	2 monitors/Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-RIV-580	2 monitors per day/Federal	66,000	12,000	78,000
	2012-RIV-581	2 monitors	72,000	8,000	80,000
	2012-RIV-582	2 monitors	46,080	4,000	50,080
	2012-RIV-584	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	20,640	1,600	22,240
	2012-RIV-585	2 monitors	20,337	2,600	22,937
	2012-RIV-586	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	72,570	1,600	74,170
	2012-RIV-587	2 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-RIV-588	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	20,640	1,600	22,240
	2012-RIV-590	2 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-RIV-591	2 monitors - Federal	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-RIV-592	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-593	8 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	16,000	0	16,000
	2012-RIV-594	2 monitors	276,480	4,000	280,480

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-595	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,947	2,400	23,347
	2012-RIV-596	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-597	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	41,871	1,100	42,971
	2012-RIV-598	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	41,280	3,200	44,480
	2012-RIV-599	8 persons used re installation, monitoring, and transcript preparation	88,000	0	88,000
	2012-RIV-600	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-601	2 monitors per day	44,000	4,000	48,000
	2012-RIV-602	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-603	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	26,609	830	27,439
	2012-RIV-604	3 DEA SA's; 7 DEA TFOs; and 2 monitors	97,283	7,200	104,483
	2012-RIV-605	2 monitors - Federal	4,000	36,000	40,000
	2012-RIV-606	2 monitors per day	62,841	7,800	70,641
	2012-RIV-607	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	20,160	1,600	21,760
	2012-RIV-608	2 monitors	36,240	1,200	37,440
	2012-RIV-609		100,800	9,600	110,400
	2012-RIV-612	2 monitors - Federal	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-RIV-613	1 investigative technician; 2 monitors	41,900	2,800	44,700
	2012-RIV-614	2 monitors - Federal	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-RIV-615	2 monitors - Federal	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-RIV-616	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	19,000	2,000	21,000
	2012-RIV-617	1 investigator; 6 monitors; and 1 technician	20,947	800	21,747
	2012-RIV-618	2 monitors – Federal	6,000	54,000	60,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-619	10 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	12,000	10,400	22,400
	2013-RIV-620	Cost not available			
	2013-RIV-621	1 investigator; 1 technician; and 6 monitors	44,000	3,000	47,000
	2013-RIV-622	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	24,159	2,600	26,759
	2013-RIV-623	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	22,400	1,486	23,886
	2013-RIV-624	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	19,952	1,600	21,552
	2013-RIV-625	1 investigative technician; 2 monitors	20,950	1,700	22,650
	2013-RIV-626	2 monitors - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-627	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation.	36,240	950	37,190
	2013-RIV-628	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	20,160	1,600	21,760
	2013-RIV-629	1 sworn investigator; 6 monitors and 1 technician	6,300	1,500	7,800
	2013-RIV-630	4 monitors; 1 technician	17,456	1,500	18,956
	2013-RIV-631	2 monitors	186,880	4,000	190,880
	2013-RIV-632	6 DEA personnel; 4 TFOs; and 2 monitors	33,203	1,300	34,503
	2013-RIV-633	4 persons per day	36,240	1,000	37,240
	2013-RIV-634	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	60,480	4,800	65,280
	2013-RIV-635	2 monitors	20,338	2,600	22,938
	2013-RIV-636	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	44,800	1,486	46,286
	2013-RIV-637	3 monitors	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2013-RIV-638	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-639	2 monitors per day	30,506	2,600	33,106

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-640	2 monitors	15,253	1,300	16,553
	2013-RIV-641	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	20,160	1,600	21,760
	2013-RIV-642	1 investigator; 6 monitors; and 1 technician	20,947	800	21,747
	2013-RIV-643	14 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	120,000	3,500	123,500
	2013-RIV-644	6 persons used re installation, monitoring, and transcript preparation	40,000	3,000	43,000
	2013-RIV-645	1 investigator; 6 monitors; and 1 technician	44,000	3,000	47,000
	2013-RIV-646	Cost not available			
	2013-RIV-647	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-648	2 monitors	41,894	1,200	43,094
	2013-RIV-649	4 monitors; 1 technician	13,965	4,500	18,465
	2013-RIV-650	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	22,400	1,486	23,886
	2013-RIV-651	2 monitors	12,202	4,000	16,202
	2013-RIV-652	2 monitors	20,000	1,100	21,100
	2013-RIV-653	2 monitors	15,253	1,300	16,553
	2013-RIV-654	2 monitors	19,000	2,000	21,000
	2013-RIV-655	2 monitors per day - federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-656	2 monitors per day	10,168	2,600	12,768
	2013-RIV-657	2 RPD officers	5,000	375	5,375
	2013-RIV-658	4 RPD officers	8,000	1,610	9,610
	2013-RIV-659	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-660	10 monitors; 1 technician	55,858	6,300	62,158
	2013-RIV-661	2 monitors	40,947	800	41,747
	2013-RIV-662	3 agents; 7 TFOs; and 4 monitors	84,299	3,200	87,499

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-663	3 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2013-RIV-664	1 monitor; 1 technician; 1 investigator	15,002	800	15,802
	2013-RIV-665	2 translators	152,000	2,000	154,000
	2013-RIV-666	2 monitors	20,947	600	21,547
	2013-RIV-667	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation.	40,000	3,000	43,000
	2013-RIV-668	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-669	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	60,480	4,800	65,280
	2013-RIV-670	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	36,240	1,000	37,240
	2013-RIV-671	2 monitors per day - Federal	44,000	8,000	52,000
	2013-RIV-672	Cost not available			
	2013-RIV-673	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	3,840	21,150	24,990
	2013-RIV-674	2 monitors - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-675	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-676	2 monitors	8,507	111	8,618
	2013-RIV-677	2 monitors	104,735	4,400	109,135
	2013-RIV-678	2 monitors	20,337	2,600	22,937
	2013-RIV-679	2 monitors	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2013-RIV-680	2 monitors	20,947	1,500	22,447
	2013-RIV-681	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	40,320	1,200	41,520
	2013-RIV-682	2 monitors	20,000	1,500	21,500
	2013-RIV-683	2 monitors - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-684	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	20,160	1,600	21,760
	2013-RIV-685	2 monitors	20,000	1,500	21,500

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-686	2 monitors	40,776	5,200	45,976
	2013-RIV-687	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	41,280	1,600	42,880
	2013-RIV-688	6 monitors; 1 technician	27,929	5,500	33,429
	2013-RIV-689	2 monitors	20,000	1,500	21,500
	2013-RIV-690	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-691	6 DEA; 4 TFOs; and 2 monitors	27,075	1,300	28,375
	2013-RIV-692	Cost not available			
	2013-RIV-693	8 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	80,000	6,000	86,000
	2013-RIV-694	2 monitors	10,547	600	11,147
	2013-RIV-695	2 monitors	40,676	5,200	45,876
	2013-RIV-696	2 monitors	46,400	4,000	50,400
	2013-RIV-697	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	20,160	1,600	21,760
	2013-RIV-698	2 monitors	20,947	2,000	22,947
	2013-RIV-699	4 person used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	36,240	1,200	37,440
	2013-RIV-700	12 DEA; 8 TFOs; and 4 monitors	54,150	2,600	56,750
	2013-RIV-701	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-702	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	16,000	22,000	38,000
	2013-RIV-703	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-704	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-705	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	40,320	3,200	43,520
	2013-RIV-706	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	36,240	1,200	37,440
	2013-RIV-707	3 special agents, 7 TFOs; and 4 monitors	59,973	5,200	65,173
	2013-RIV-708	2 monitors	20,337	2,600	22,937

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-709	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	36,360	430	36,790
	2013-RIV-710	2 monitors	26,875	1,485	28,360
	2013-RIV-712	2 monitors - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-713	2 monitors - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-714	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	20,640	600	21,240
	2013-RIV-715	2 persons used	20,000	1,500	21,500
	2013-RIV-716	2 monitors	60,000	6,000	66,000
	2013-RIV-717	2 monitors	41,894	800	42,694
	2013-RIV-718	2 monitors	5,000	2,600	7,600
	2013-RIV-719	2 monitors - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-720	1 officer; 8 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	39,316	6,400	45,716
	2013-RIV-721	3 monitors	21,070	1,400	22,470
	2013-RIV-722	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-723	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	2,016	1,600	3,616
	2013-RIV-724	4 monitors	60,000	3,000	63,000
	2013-RIV-725	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	6,880	1,600	8,480
	2013-RIV-726	2 monitors	138,240	4,000	142,240
	2013-RIV-727	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-728	12 DEA; 8 TFOs; and 4 monitors	10,488	2,660	13,148
	2013-RIV-729	2 monitors	41,894	800	42,694
	2013-RIV-730	1 officer; 8 monitors; and 1 technician	75,180	3,000	78,180
	2013-RIV-731	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-732	6 Spanish translators	112,935	10,200	123,135
	2013-RIV-733	2 monitors	69,120	2,000	71,120
	2013-RIV-734	2 monitors	48,710	2,970	51,680

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-735	11 DEA personnel; 12 monitors	38,258	0	38,258
	2013-RIV-736	2 monitors	61,164	7,800	68,964
	2013-RIV-737	4 monitors	24,404	8,000	32,404
	2013-RIV-738	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-739	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-740	8 persons used re installation and monitoring	80,000	8,000	88,000
	2013-RIV-741	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	20,640	1,600	22,240
	2013-RIV-742	3 monitors	21,070	1,400	22,470
	2013-RIV-743	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-744	2 monitors	20,000	1,600	21,600
	2013-RIV-745	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	16,384	10,000	26,384
	2013-RIV-746	4 monitors; 1 technician	18,852	1,500	20,352
	2013-RIV-747	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-748	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-749	2 persons used re installation and monitoring.	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-750	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	20,640	1,600	22,240
	2013-RIV-751	8 person used re monitoring	60,000	6,000	66,000
	2013-RIV-753	2 monitors	40,776	5,200	45,976
	2013-RIV-754	2 DEA personel; 1 TFO; and 2 monitors	49,604	2,600	52,204
	2013-RIV-755	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-756	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-757	2 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-759	2 monitors	20,000	2,000	22,000
	2013-RIV-760	2 monitors	20,194	1,200	21,394
	2013-RIV-761	2 monitors	22,000	1,800	23,800



**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-762	4 monitors per day	37,360	1,400	38,760
	2013-RIV-763	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-764	712 man hours	40,974	30,075	71,049
	2013-RIV-765	1 investigator; 6 monitors; and 1 technician	20,947	800	21,747
	2013-RIV-766	2 monitors	20,388	2,600	22,988
	2013-RIV-767	2 monitors/translators	74,710	12,600	87,310
	2013-RIV-768	4 monitors	40,378	1,200	41,578
	2013-RIV-769	2 monitors	22,000	1,800	23,800
	2013-RIV-770	2 monitors	18,000	20,000	38,000
	2013-RIV-771	2 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-772	2 monitors	20,000	1,600	21,600
	2013-RIV-773	6 monitors per day	66,240	2,000	68,240
	2013-RIV-774	4 monitors	36,240	1,200	37,440
	2013-RIV-775	2 monitors	22,000	1,800	23,800
	2013-RIV-776	1 officer; 2 monitors; and 1 technician	10,800	1,200	12,000
	2013-RIV-777	2 monitors	30,024	8,400	38,424
	2013-RIV-778	2 monitors	20,388	2,600	22,988
	2013-RIV-779	2 monitors	20,000	2,000	22,000
	2013-RIV-780	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-781	2 monitors	20,000	1,600	21,600
	2013-RIV-782	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	41,280	1,200	42,480
	2013-RIV-783	13 DEA personnel	86,634	7,800	94,434
	2013-RIV-784	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-785	4 monitors	72,480	2,400	74,880
	2013-RIV-786	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-787	4 persons used re installation and monitoring	40,000	3,200	43,200

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-788	2 monitors	30,024	8,400	38,424
	2013-RIV-789	2 monitors per day	3,300	6,687	9,987
	2013-RIV-790	4 monitors	40,378	1,200	41,578
	2013-RIV-791	2 monitors	40,600	4,000	44,600
	2013-RIV-792	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	20,640	600	21,240
	2013-RIV-794	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-795	2 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-796	2 monitors	44,000	1,000	45,000
	2013-RIV-797	4 monitors	40,000	2,000	42,000
	2013-RIV-798	712 man hours with monitors	40,974	30,075	71,049
	2013-RIV-799	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	20,640	1,800	22,440
	2013-RIV-800	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	0	600	600
	2013-RIV-801	3 DEA special agents; 7 TFOs; and 2 monitors	28,304	4,000	32,304
	2013-RIV-802	2 Spanish monitors/interpreters	42,200	2,800	45,000
	2013-RIV-803	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	3,640	5,200	8,840
	2013-RIV-804	275 man hours	9,625	21,974	31,599
	2013-RIV-805	1 officer; 4 monitors; 1 technician	30,272	1,286	31,558
	2013-RIV-806	2 monitors	22,343	0	22,343
	2013-RIV-807	2 monitors	23,000	625	23,625
	2013-RIV-808	2 persons used re installation and monitoring	20,000	2,000	22,000
	2013-RIV-809	2 persons used re installation and monitoring	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-810	2 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-811	2 translators	41,894	10,500	52,394
	2013-RIV-812	2 monitors	55,200	10,000	65,200
	2013-RIV-813	2 monitors	64,378	7,800	72,178

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-814	2 monitors	20,000	2,000	22,000
	2013-RIV-815	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	20,640	1,286	21,926
	2013-RIV-816	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-817	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-818	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-819	2 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2013-RIV-820	259 man hours	9,065	21,974	31,039
	2013-RIV-821	2 Spanish monitors/interpreters	42,200	2,800	45,000
	2013-RIV-822	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	20,640	1,286	21,926
	2013-RIV-823	2 monitors	20,000	2,000	22,000
	2013-RIV-824	2 monitors; 3 SA's; and 7 detectives	8,885	2,000	10,885
	2013-RIV-825	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-826	13 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	15,320	2,600	17,920
	2013-RIV-827	2 monitors	12,202	4,000	16,202
	2013-RIV-828	2 monitors	20,388	2,600	22,988
	2013-RIV-829	2 monitors	20,000	3,000	23,000
	2013-RIV-830	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-831	2 monitors	20,000	3,000	23,000
	2013-RIV-832	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-833	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-834	2 monitors	20,000	2,000	22,000
	2013-RIV-835	2 monitors	21,459	7,600	29,059
	2013-RIV-836	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	41,280	1,286	42,566
	2013-RIV-837	3 monitors	21,070	1,400	22,470
	2013-RIV-838	2 Spanish monitors	42,000	28,000	70,000
	2013-RIV-839	2 monitors	20,000	1,600	21,600

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-840	5 monitors	9,327	1,010	10,337
	2013-RIV-841	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-842	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-843	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	61,920	3,086	65,006
	2013-RIV-844	5 persons used re installation and monitoring	25,000	5,000	30,000
	2013-RIV-845	2 monitors	44,000	3,600	47,600
	2013-RIV-846	2 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2013-RIV-847	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-849	2 monitors	20,388	2,600	22,988
	2013-RIV-850	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-851	8 linguists/monitors/translators	100,000	5,018	105,018
	2013-RIV-852	2 monitors	20,000	1,500	21,500
	2013-RIV-853	1 officer; 0 civilian monitors; 1 technician	0	643	643
	2013-RIV-854	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-855	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	13,760	1,286	15,046
	2013-RIV-856	2 monitors; 1 agent	12,776	4,100	16,876
	2013-RIV-857	2 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2013-RIV-858	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-859	2 monitors	20,000	1,600	21,600
	2013-RIV-860	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	13,760	643	14,403
	2013-RIV-861	2 monitors	66,000	5,400	71,400
	2013-RIV-862	2 monitors	18,000	4,000	22,000
	2013-RIV-863	2 monitors	20,388	2,600	22,988
	2013-RIV-864	5 persons used re installation and monitoring	45,000	3,000	48,000
	2013-RIV-865	Cost not available			
	2013-RIV-866	Cost not available			

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-867	8 monitors and 1 technician	37,800	5,000	42,800
	2013-RIV-868	2 monitors	20,337	2,600	22,937
	2013-RIV-869	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-870	2 monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2013-RIV-871	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-872	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	20,640	643	21,283
	2013-RIV-873	1 officer; 0 civilian monitors; 1 technician	0	643	643
	2013-RIV-874	2 monitors	20,947	1,000	21,947
	2013-RIV-875	2 monitors	42,000	2,800	44,800
	2013-RIV-876	2 monitors	90,000	10,000	100,000
	2013-RIV-877	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-878	2 persons used for installation	0	2,000	2,000
	2013-RIV-881	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-882	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-884	1 agent; 2 monitors	62,000	2,500	64,500
	2013-RIV-885	2 linguists/monitors	4,400	860	5,260
	2013-RIV-886	2 monitors	42,920	1,325	44,245
	2013-RIV-887	2 monitors	42,920	1,325	44,245
	2013-RIV-888	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-889	Cost not available			
	2013-RIV-890	2 monitors	18,000	4,000	22,000
	2013-RIV-891	1 officer 2 monitors and 1 tech	20,640	643	21,283
	2013-RIV-892	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-893	3 monitors	21,059	1,100	22,159
	2013-RIV-894	5 persons used re installation and monitoring	25,000	5,000	30,000
	2013-RIV-895	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; and 1 technician	6,880	643	7,523

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2013-RIV-896	4 monitors	44,000	3,600	47,600
	2013-RIV-897	2 monitors	20,000	1,800	21,800
	2013-RIV-898	2 monitors	20,000	1,540	21,540
	2013-RIV-900	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-901	2 monitors	18,000	4,000	22,000
	2013-RIV-903	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-907	Cost not available			
	2013-RIV-908	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-909	2 Spanish monitors	42,000	2,800	44,800
	2013-RIV-910	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-912	2 monitors	22,000	1,800	23,800
	2013-RIV-913	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-914	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	49,920	1,929	51,849
	2013-RIV-917	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-RIV-919	2 monitors	20,000	1,540	21,540
	2013-RIV-920	2 monitors	21,351	725	22,076
	2013-RIV-921	2 monitors	24,000	1,100	25,100
	2013-RIV-923	2 monitors	90,000	10,000	100,000
	2013-RIV-924	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
<b>Riverside Total</b>			<b>10,591,295</b>	<b>1,179,085</b>	<b>11,770,380</b>
Sacramento	2013-SAC-46	10 investigators	13,200	1,700	14,900
	2013-SAC-47	detectives	11,760	2,916	14,676
	2013-SAC-48	Costs related to WT-13-02			
	2013-SAC-49	Costs related to WT 13-02			
	2013-SAC-50	5 monitors/interpreters; 16 law enforcement; 2 technicians	103,041	10,440	113,481
	2013-SAC-51	Costs related to WT 13-05			
	2013-SAC-52	Costs related to WT-13-05			

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Sacramento (cont'd)	2013-SAC-53		48,000	3,500	51,500
<b>Sacramento Total</b>			<b>176,001</b>	<b>18,556</b>	<b>194,557</b>
San Bernardino	2012-SBD-459	8 officers; 1 technician	170,100	22,500	192,600
	2013-SBD-495	10 officers; 6 monitors	106,885	3,200	110,085
	2013-SBD-496	11 officers; 4 monitors	67,117	3,600	70,717
	2013-SBD-497	10 officers; 6 monitors	89,489	3,200	92,689
	2013-SBD-498	5 monitors	74,536	4,300	78,836
	2013-SBD-499	2 federal monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-SBD-500	2 federal monitors	72,000	8,000	80,000
	2013-SBD-501	2 federal monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-SBD-502	4 officers; 6 monitors; 1 technician	75,600	10,000	85,600
	2013-SBD-503	4 officers; 6 monitors; 1 technician	75,600	10,000	85,600
	2013-SBD-504	10 officers; 6 monitors	89,488	3,200	92,688
	2013-SBD-505	6 monitors; 1 technician	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2013-SBD-506	2 federal monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-SBD-507	11 officers; 6 monitors	89,489	3,200	92,689
	2013-SBD-508	2 case agents; 2 monitors	20,000	6,000	26,000
	2013-SBD-509	2 officers; 3 monitors; 2 technicians	89,489	3,200	92,689
	2013-SBD-510	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-SBD-511	Cost not available			
	2013-SBD-512	11 officers; 2 monitors	42,306	1,800	44,106
	2013-SBD-513	2 officers; 8 monitors; 2 technicians	112,025	10,000	122,025
	2013-SBD-514	13 officers	5,000	4,000	9,000
	2013-SBD-515	12 officers	10,000	4,000	14,000
	2013-SBD-516	10 officers; 6 monitors	89,488	3,200	92,688
	2013-SBD-517		5,000	4,000	9,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Bernard (cont'd)	2013-SBD-518		2,000	1,500	3,500
	2013-SBD-519	8 officers; 1 technician	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2013-SBD-520		5,000	4,000	9,000
	2013-SBD-521	11 officers; 6 monitors	49,959	2,000	51,959
	2013-SBD-522	1 technician	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2013-SBD-523	5 officers; 8 monitors and 1 technician	56,700	7,500	64,200
	2013-SBD-525		18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-SBD-526	5 officers; 8 monitors; 1 technician	75,600	10,000	85,600
	2013-SBD-527	3 monitors; 1 technician and 27 officers	18,600	2,500	21,100
	2013-SBD-528	3 monitors; 1 technician and 27 officers	67,000	6,000	73,000
	2013-SBD-529	3 monitors; 1 technician and 27 officers	67,187	2,000	69,187
	2013-SBD-530	5 officers; 8 monitors; 2 technicians	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2013-SBD-531		18,000	2,000	20,000
	2013-SBD-532	8 officers; 2 monitors; and 1 technician	25,000	5,400	30,400
	2013-SBD-533	2 officers; 1 technician	16,215	1,286	17,501
	2013-SBD-534	7 officers; 4 monitors	34,737	2,000	36,737
	2013-SBD-535	8 officers; 1 technician	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2013-SBD-536	8 officers; 2 monitors and 1 technician	20,000	2,500	22,500
	2013-SBD-537	2 monitors	4,128	0	4,128
<b>San Bernardino Total</b>			<b>1,928,238</b>	<b>178,586</b>	<b>2,106,824</b>
San Diego	2013-SD-162	monitoring agents	8,332	1,020	9,352
	2013-SD-163	5 monitors	21,817	730	22,547
	2013-SD-164	monitors/translation	20,914	250	21,164
	2013-SD-165	monitors/translators	10,549	2,000	12,549
	2013-SD-166	Costs related to 13-08			



**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Diego (cont'd)	2013-SD-167	Costs related to 13-08			
	2013-SD-168	installation and monitors	19,871	4,171	24,042
	2013-SD-169	FBI technicians; detectives	23,337	4,095	27,432
	2013-SD-170	Monitors; interception costs	15,361	3,230	18,591
	2013-SD-171	install: 5; monitor: 30; transcripts: 6	195,000	5,040	200,040
	2013-SD-172	3 monitors	20,000	2,500	22,500
	2013-SD-173	5 monitors	19,000	4,800	23,800
	2013-SD-174	6 monitors	44,000	5,600	49,600
	2013-SD-175	5 monitors	44,000	4,800	48,800
	2013-SD-176	4 monitors	44,000	3,500	47,500
	2013-SD-177	3 monitors + installation costs	42,112	5,100	47,212
	2013-SD-178	2 monitors	4,382	50	4,432
	2013-SD-179	6 monitors; 1 technical officer	270,750	7,720	278,470
<b>San Diego Total</b>			<b>803,425</b>	<b>54,606</b>	<b>858,031</b>
San Joaquin	2012-SJ-18	monitors and surveillance	89,747	0	89,747
	2013-SJ-2	monitors and surveillance	138,444	472	138,916
	2013-SJ-27	Costs related to 2013-02			
	2013-SJ-28	Costs related to 2013-02			
	2013-SJ-29	Costs related to 2013-02			
	2013-SJ-31	monitors and surveillance	30,771	0	30,771
	2013-SJ-32	Costs related to 2013-07			
	2013-SJ-33	Costs related to 2013-08			
	2013-SJ-35	Costs related to 2013-07			
<b>San Joaquin Total</b>			<b>258,962</b>	<b>472</b>	<b>259,434</b>
San Luis Obispo	2013-SLO-5		62,200	0	62,200
	2013-SLO-7	Costs related to 2013-SLO-5 (Wire tap 13-01)			
	2013-SLO-8	Costs related to 2013-SLO-5 (Wire tap 13-01)			

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
<b>San Luis Obispo Total</b>			<b>62,200</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>62,200</b>
San Mateo	2013-SM-9	51 monitors; 2 case agents; 2 supervisors; 3 technicians	40,006	15,000	55,006
<b>San Mateo Total</b>			<b>40,006</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>55,006</b>
Santa Barbara	2013-SBA-41	Cost not available			
	2013-SBA-42	Cost not available			
	2013-SBA-43	Cost not available			
	2013-SBA-44	1 technician; 6 monitors; 2 agents = 9	36,000	3,000	39,000
	2013-SBA-45	1 technician; 6 monitors; 2 agents = 9	36,000	3,000	39,000
<b>Santa Barbara Total</b>			<b>72,000</b>	<b>6,000</b>	<b>78,000</b>
Santa Clara	2013-SCL-56	install; monitor; and prepare transcripts	52,876	4,484	57,360
	2013-SCL-58	install; monitor; and prepare transcripts	29,697	4,700	34,397
	2013-SCL-59	install; monitor; and prepare transcripts	52,194	7,214	59,408
	2013-SCL-60	2 monitors per day	91,348	5,136	96,484
	2013-SCL-61	2 monitors per day	45,335	2,568	47,903
	2013-SCL-62	2 monitors per day	22,838	1,600	24,438
	2013-SCL-63	police officers; personnel 21	430,809	5,950	436,759
	2013-SCL-64	2 monitors per day	23,179	1,600	24,779
	2013-SCL-65	2 monitors per day	22,894	1,600	24,494
	2013-SCL-66	Costs related to WT 13-10			
	2013-SCL-67	Costs related to WT 13-10			
	2013-SCL-68	3 monitors	22,497	1,284	23,781
	2013-SCL-69	3 monitors	22,838	625	23,463
	2013-SCL-70	Law enforcement agents monitored the wiretap	0	2,000	2,000
<b>Santa Clara Total</b>			<b>816,505</b>	<b>38,761</b>	<b>855,266</b>
Shasta	2013-SHA-2	11 monitors	17,127	0	17,127

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
<b>Shasta Total</b>			<b>17,127</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17,127</b>
Stanislaus	2013-STa-49	3 monitors	9,516	2,500	12,016
	2013-STa-50	3 monitors	19,764	2,500	22,264
	2013-STa-51	3 Monitors	22,000	1,500	23,500
	2013-STa-53	law enforcement officers/monitors	20,000	7,500	27,500
	2013-STa-54	3 monitors	3,000	1,500	4,500
	2013-STa-55	3 monitors	19,764	1,500	21,264
	2013-STa-56	2 monitors	5,124	1,500	6,624
	2013-STa-57	0	0	1,500	1,500
	2013-STa-58	monitors/installer	33,600	7,500	41,100
	2013-STa-59		28,800	5,500	34,300
	2013-STa-60	monitors/installer	48,000	3,500	51,500
	2013-STa-61	DOJ monitors	150,000	600	150,600
	2013-STa-62	Costs related to 13-10			
<b>Stanislaus Total</b>			<b>359,568</b>	<b>37,100</b>	<b>396,668</b>
Ventura	2012-VE-60	Cost not available			
	2013-VE-71	10	165,984	10,500	176,484
	2013-VE-72	2	33,811	950	34,761
	2013-VE-73	6 monitors	55,000	12,500	67,500
	2013-VE-75	6	52,000	22,000	74,000
	2013-VE-76	8	57,000	13,627	70,627
	2013-VE-77	6	55,000	17,400	72,400
	2013-VE-78	8	51,000	12,200	63,200
	2013-VE-79		73,055	17,493	90,548
	2013-VE-80	3	32,061	1,550	33,611
	2013-VE-81	8	15,000	0	15,000
	2013-VE-82	10	15,000	0	15,000

**Table 6**  
**Costs of Electronic Interceptions**  
**During Calendar Year 2013<sup>4</sup>**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Ventura (cont'd)	2013-VE-83	Cost not available			
<b>Ventura Total</b>			<b>604,911</b>	<b>108,220</b>	<b>713,131</b>
<b>Total (all reporting counties)</b>			<b>24,123,910</b>	<b>2,501,605</b>	<b>26,625,515</b>

**Table 7**  
***Jurisdiction Reporting No***  
***Electronic Interception Activity During***  
***Calendar Year 2013***

Alpine	Amador	Butte
Calaveras	Colusa	Del Norte
El Dorado	Glenn	Humboldt
Inyo	Lake	Lassen
Madera	Marin	Mariposa
Mendocino	Modoc	Mono
Napa	Nevada	Placer
Plumas	San Benito	San Francisco
Santa Cruz	Sierra	Siskiyou
Solano	Sonoma	Sutter
Tehama	Trinity	Tulare
Tuolumne	Yolo	Yuba

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Contra Costa	2008									1	
	2009			1							
	2013	6/3/2013		13	gangs, firearms, conspiracy	0	0	0	1	0	0
	2013	9/19/2013		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	2013	11/20/09	09-152	1	3 counts murder; 1 count attempted murder	1				1	3 counts murder; 1 count attempted murder
		4/2/10	10-39; 10-62	2	2 counts murder; 4 counts of attempted murder; possession of firearm by felon; shooting at occupied vehicle						2 counts murder; 4 counts of attempted murder; possession of firearm by felon; shooting at occupied vehicle
		4/2/10	10-39; 10-62	3	Murder; attempted murder; shooting at occupied vehicle; intimidating witness					1	Shooting at occupied vehicle
		4/29/10	10-61	1	2 counts murder					1	2 counts murder
		Unavailable	Unavailable	4	Murder; attempted murder; voluntary manslaughter					4	Attempted murder
		6/9/10; 6/28/10	10-118; 10-141	2	Murder; attempted murder					2	1 count murder; 2 counts attempted murder
		9/17/10; 9/23/10	10-183; 10-190	1	Murder; robbery					1	Robbery
		Unavailable	Unavailable	6	Murder, robbery, receiving stolen property, conspiracy to commit crime, accessory after fact; dissuading witness from testifying, possession of marijuana for sale					3	Defendants 1, 2: murder, robbery, receiving stolen property, conspiracy to commit crime; Defendant 3: murder
		10/14/10	10-188	3	Murder; voluntary manslaughter; receiving stolen property					2	Murder; voluntary manslaughter

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Los Angeles (cont'd)		3/15/12	12-23	7	Conspiracy to commit crime; attempt to receive/receiving stolen property; accessory after the fact					5	Attempt to receive/receiving stolen property
		7/22/11; 6/22/11; 7/11/11	11-130; 11-132; 11-155	2	Murder; accessory after the fact					1	Murder
		5/10/10; 6/21/10; 11/4/10	10-93; 10-132; 10-80	7	Conspiracy to commit crime; sale/transportation/offer to sell; possession controlled substance w/firearm					1	Sale/transportation controlled substance
		Unavailable	Unavailable	2	Assault w/deadly weapon; conspiracy to commit crime; sale/transportation/offer to sell controlled substance; possession for sale; possession controlled substance w/firearm						Assault w/deadly weapon; conspiracy to commit crime; sale/transportation/offer to sell controlled substance; possession for sale; possession controlled substance w/firearm
		3/19/12	12-34	3	Conspiracy to commit crime; attempted robbery					1	Conspiracy to commit crime; attempted robbery
		7/20/11	11-149	2	Conspiracy to commit crime; possession of controlled substance w/firearm; false compartment					1	Possession of controlled substance w/firearm
		Unavailable	06-263; 07-13; 07-37; 07-84; 07-88; 07-107; 07-111; 01-139; 07-204; 07-228	10	Conspiracy to commit crime					1	Conspiracy to commit crime
		5/18/09; 6/4/09; 6/24/09	09-44; 09-84; 09-100	4	Conspiracy to commit crime; possession of a controlled substance w/firearm; false compartment					2	Possession of controlled substance w/firearm; false compartment

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Los Angeles (cont'd)		8/21/08	08-143	3	Possession of controlled substance w/firearm					1	Possession of controlled substance w/firearm
		4/13/12	12-50	13	Transportation; assault w/deadly weapon; possession for sale; sale/transportation and offer to sell controlled substance					2	Transportation; assault w/deadly weapon; possession for sale; sale/transportation and offer to sell controlled substance
		9/5/09; 5/18/09; 6/4/09; 6/24/09; 6/21/09; 8/1/09	09-159; 09-44; 09-84; 09-100; 09-140; 09-148	4	Conspiracy to commit a crime					3	Conspiracy to commit a crime
		12/16/10; 2/24/11; 1/24/11; 5/13/11	10-236; 11-38; 11-15; 11-104	3	Possession for sale; conspiracy to commit a crime					3	Possession for sale; conspiracy to commit a crime
		2/15/11	11-30	6	Possession for sale; possession of a controlled substance w/firearm					1	Possession for sale; possession of a controlled substance w/firearm
		5/11/11	11-79	1	Transportation for sale					1	Transportation for sale
		9/1/11	11-162	5	Conspiracy to commit crime; transportation of heroin; possession for sale					1	Conspiracy to commit crime; transportation of heroin; possession for sale
		1/20/12	11-215	2	False compartment					1	False compartment
		12/15/10; 2/1/11	10-241; 11-12	3	Possession for sale					2	Possession for sale
		2/14/10	10-235	2	Conspiracy; possession for sale; transportation					1	Conspiracy; possession for sale; transportation
		2/21/10; 1/28/10	09-292; 09-216	2	Possession of marijuana for sale; possession of firearm by felon					1	Possession of marijuana for sale



**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Los Angeles (cont'd)		3/25/11	11-61	4	Conspiracy; possession of controlled substance w/firearm; manufacturing controlled substance					1	Conspiracy; possession of controlled substance w/firearm; manufacturing controlled substance
		1/26/11; 2/3/11; 6/18/10; 7/26/10; 8/16/10; 9/20/10; 10/14/10; 10/1/10; 10/20/10; 12/16/10; 1/14/11	11-09; 11-17; 10-117; 10-155; 10-169; 10-186; 10-188; 10-195; 10-199; 10-242; 11-07	4	Transportation; proceeds derived from controlled substance; possession for sale					3	Transportation; proceeds derived from controlled substance; possession for sale
		2/15/12	12-17	2	Sale/offer for sale/transportation; manufacturing controlled substance; child abuse; conspiracy; possession for sale					2	Sale/offer for sale/transportation; manufacturing controlled substance; child abuse
		4/13/12	12-31	2	Attempted robbery; second degree commercial burglary					2	Attempted robbery; second degree commercial burglary
		4/2/10	10-39; 10-62	3	Murder; attempted murder; shooting at an occupied vehicle					1	Murder; attempted murder; shooting at an occupied vehicle
		4/29/10	10-61	1	Murder					1	Murder
		Unavailable	Unavailable	4	Murder; attempted murder					4	Attempted murder; voluntary manslaughter
		6/9/10; 6/28/10	10-118; 10-141	2	Murder; attempted murder					1	Murder; attempted murder
		9/17/10; 9/23/10	10-183; 10-190	1	Murder; robbery					1	Robbery
		Unavailable	Unavailable	6	Murder; robbery; receiving stolen property; conspiracy to commit crime					3	Murder; robbery; receiving stolen property; conspiracy to commit crime
Sacramento	2010	3/18/2010	WT-10-01	36	Narcotics; firearms	0	0	0	0	6	Narcotics; firearms

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Sacramento (cont'd)	2011	3/3/2011	WT-11-01	4	Firearms; conspiracy; robbery	0	0	0	0	4	Robbery; accessory
	2011	10/3/2011	WT 11-18; WT 11-23	1	Murder	0	0	0	0	1	Murder
San Diego	2007		07-68, 07-70, 07-73							3	Murder, Kidnapping
	2011		10-56, 10-67, 10-71, 11-06							1	Narcotics
	2011		EW 11-01							3	Attempted Murder, Solicitation for Murder
	2012		12-03			1				1	Solicitation for Murder
	2012		11-43, 11-46							1	Narcotics
	2012		11-42, 11-44, 12-05, 12-08, 12-18, 12-20, 12-25, 12-28, 12-31							21	Narcotics
	2012		12-10, 12-16, 12-22							6	Narcotics
	2012		12-19, 12-24							6	Narcotics, Gangs
Ventura	2012	8/14/2012	12-07					1			
	2012	9/1/2012	12-09							16	Narcotics, extortion, conspiracy

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
PREVIOUSLY UNREPORTED 2012 WT-3 (LOS ANGELES)											
Los Angeles	2012		05-198; 05-210; 05-220; 05-220 Ext. 1, 05-255; 06-25; and 06-47	6	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession of money or instruments over \$100,000; possession of marijuana for sale; transportation of marijuana; possession for sale of a controlled substance; transportation of a controlled substance; false compartment activity	1				1	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession of marijuana for sale; transportation of marijuana, possession for sale of a controlled substance; false compartment activity
	2012		05-185; 05-200; 05-219; 06-08; 06-19; 06-32; 06-45; and 06-62	4	Conspiracy to commit a crime; transport/sale of a controlled substance; possess/ purchase of a controlled substance for sale					1	Transport/sale of a controlled substance
	2012	02/04/08 and 03/03/08	08-18 and 08-18 Ext. 1	4	Conspiracy to commit a crime; sale/ transportation/offer to sell controlled substance; possession for sale of a controlled substance; transportation for sale of a controlled substance	2				2	Conspiracy to commit a crime; sale/transportation/o ffer to sell controlled substance; possession for sale of a controlled substance; transportation for sale of a controlled substance
	2012	03/09/09 and 03/13/09	09-27 and 09-39	1	Murder	1				1	Murder
	2012	07/02/08	08-128	2	Conspiracy to commit a crime					1	Conspiracy to commit a crime

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012	11/17/08, 12/17/08, 01/20/09, 02/27/09, 12/23/08, 01/23/09, 03/06/09, 02/05/09, 05/12/09, 06/11/09, and 06/16/09	08-190; 08-190 Ext. 1; 08-190 Ext. 2; 08-190 Ext. 3; 08-214; 08-214 Ext. 1; 08-214 Ext. 2; 09-10; 09-70; 09-70 Ext. 1; and 09-97	3	Sale/offer to sale/ transportation of a controlled substance; possession for sale of a controlled substance; possession of a firearm by a felon; attempted murder; sale of Phencyclidine	1				1	Attempted murder
	2012	11/17/08, 12/17/08, 01/20/09, 02/27/09, 12/23/08, 01/23/09, 03/06/09, 02/05/09, 05/12/09, 06/11/09, and 06/16/09	08-190; 08-190 Ext. 1; 08-190 Ext. 2; 08-190 Ext. 3; 08-214; 08-214 Ext. 1; 08-214 Ext. 2; 09-10; 09-70; 09-70 Ext. 1; and 09-97	3	Murder; assault with a semi-automatic firearm; possession of a firearm by a felon; accessory after the fact (knowledge of a crime)	1				1	Murder
	2012		06-213	1	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale/ transportation/ offer to sell controlled substance					1	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale/transportation/ offer to sell controlled substance
	2012	01/15/10, 01/28/10, 02/22/10, 03/19/10 and 04/26/10	10-04; 10-12; 10-28; 10-51; and 10-85	9	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of a controlled substance; proceeds over \$25,000 derived from controlled substance offenses; possession of certain controlled substances while armed with firearm; possession for sale					3	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of a controlled substance; possession for sale; kidnapping

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012	11/05/09, 12/03/09, 02/05/10 and 03/05/10	09/196; 09-213; 10-06; 10-06 Ext. 1	2	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of a controlled substance; transportation of a controlled substance	1				1	Conspiracy to commit a crime
	2012	04/14/10, 05/14/10, 05/05/10, 06/03/10, 07/01/10, 08/12/10, 06/15/10, 07/21/10, 06/21/10, 07/21/10, 08/23/10 and 09/03/10	10-65; 10-65 Ext. 1; 10-94; 10-94 Ext. 1; 10-94 Ext. 2; 10-94 Ext. 3; 10-94 Ext. 4; 10-130; 10-130 Ext. 1; 10-136; 10-136 Ext. 1; 10-136 Ext. 2; and 10-177	2	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale/offer to sell/ transportation of a controlled substance					2	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale/offer to sell/transportation of a controlled substance
	2012	02/09/11	11-11	1	Murder	1				1	Murder
	2012	01/22/12 and 02/26/2012	10-03 and 10-29	1	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; possession of marijuana for sale; possession of a firearm by a felon	1				1	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; possession of marijuana for sale; possession of a firearm by a felon
	2012	12/15/10	10-241	3	Evading an officer; proceeds derived from controlled substance offenses; possession of a firearm by a felon; conspiracy to commit a crime; kidnapping; transportation of a controlled substance; possession for sale of a controlled substance					3	Evading an officer; possession of a firearm by a felon; conspiracy to commit a crime
	2012	12/15/10	10-241	3	Possession for sale of a controlled substance					1	Possession for sale of a controlled substance

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012	09/30/08, 12/09/08, and 11/16/10	08-180; 08-208; and 10-220 <i>Note: Case was strictly from physical surveillance but wiretaps were initially involved and let to spin off; seizures occurred when wiretaps were already taken down.)</i>	1	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale/transportation offer to sell controlled substance; possession of a controlled substance with firearm					1	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; possession of a controlled substance with firearm
	2012	01/25/11, 02/25/11, 03/17/11, 03/30/11, 05/02/11, 06/10/11, 07/08/11, 07/22/11, and 08/15/11	11-16; 11-37; 11-52; 11-66; 11-66 Ext. 1; 11-123; 11-123 Ext. 1; 11-154; and 11-161	8	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale of a controlled substance; conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale/transportation/offer to sell controlled substance; assault with a deadly weapon; manufacturing a controlled substance other than PCP; possession of an assault weapon; child abuse					4	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale/transportation/offer to sell of a controlled substance; manufacture of a controlled substance, conspiracy to commit a crime; assault with a deadly weapon; manufacturing a controlled substance other than PCP
	2012	06/03/10, 08/02/10, 08/06/10, 09/23/10, 09/30/10, 10/14/10, 10/20/10, 10/28/10, 12/14/10, 01/28/11, 03/04/11, and 03/25/11	10-91; 10-147; 10-162; 10-179; 10-182; 10-196; 10-197; 10-209; 10-235; 11-05; 11-40; and 11-61	2	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of a controlled substance; possession of a controlled substance with firearm; manufacturing a controlled substance other than PCP					1	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of a controlled substance; manufacturing a controlled substance other than PCP

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012	06/03/10, 08/02/10, 08/06/10, 09/23/10, 09/30/10, 10/14/10, 10/20/10, 10/28/10, 12/14/10, 01/28/11, 03/04/11, and 03/25/11	10-91; 10-147; 10-162; 10-179; 10-182; 10-196; 10-197; 10-209; 10-235; 11-05; 11-40; and 11-61	2	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession of a controlled substance, sale of a controlled substance					1	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale of a controlled substance
	2012	09/22/10, 10/21/10, 11/18/10, 12/16/10, 01/14/11, 11/08/10, 12/07/10, 12/06/10, 03/07/11, 04/05/11, and 04/11/11	10-184; 10-184 Ext. 1; 10-184 Ext. 2; 10-184 Ext. 3; 10-184 Ext. 4; 10-193; 10-193 Ext. 1; 10-231; 11-29; 11-29 Ext. 1; and 11-77	3	Conspiracy to commit a crime; second degree burglary of vehicle; possession of a firearm by a felon					1	Conspiracy to commit a crime
	2012	09/22/10, 10/21/10, 11/18/10, 12/16/10, 01/14/11, 11/08/10, 12/07/10, 12/06/10, 03/07/11, 04/05/11, and 04/11/11	10-184; 10-184 Ext. 1; 10-184 Ext. 2; 10-184 Ext. 3; 10-184 Ext. 4; 10-193; 10-193 Ext. 1; 10-231; 11-29; 11-29 Ext. 1; and 11-77	3	Conspiracy to commit a crime; attempting to dissuade a witness					2	Conspiracy to commit a crime
	2012	06/03/11	11-102	3	Murder; robbery					3	Murder; robbery

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012	01/31/12	12-10	2	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; conspiracy to commit a crime; possession of money or instruments over \$100,000; possession of a controlled substance with a firearm by a felon					2	Possession for sale of a controlled substance
	2012	06/18/10	10-117	4	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale transportation/ offer to sell controlled substance; possession of money or instruments over \$100,000; conspiracy to commit a crime					4	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale/transportation/ offer to sell controlled substance; possession of money or instruments over \$100,000; conspiracy to commit a crime
	2012	01/20/12, 01/27/12, 02/28/12, and 03/02/12	12-06; 12-09; 12-22; and 12-28	3	Conspiracy to commit a crime; sale/ transportation/ offer to sell controlled substance; possession for sale of a controlled substance; possession of money or instruments over \$100,000					3	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; possession of money or instruments over \$100,000
	2012	02/15/2012	12-17	1	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; possession of a controlled substance with firearm; false compartment activity					1	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; possession of a controlled substance with firearm



**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012	11/15/2011	11-208	4	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale/transportation/offer to sell controlled substance; possession of money or instruments over \$100,000					1	Possession for sale of a controlled substance
	2012	05/12/11, 07/11/11, 08/12/11, 07/22/11, 08/29/11, and 12/02/11	11-110; 11-136;; 11-136 Ext. 1; 11-148; 11-148 Ext. 1; and 11-214	1	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale/transportation/offer to sell controlled substance; false compartment activity					1	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale/transportation/offer to sell controlled substance; false compartment activity
	2012	04/23/10	10-73	2	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; possession of a firearm by a felon					2	Possession for sale of a controlled substance; possession of firearm by a felon
	2012	09/05/08, 10/03/08, 09/22/08, 10/22/08, 11/21/08, 11/17/08, 12/26/08, and 12/23/08	08-167; 08-167 Ext. 1; 08-179; 08-179 Ext. 1; 08-179 Ext. 2; 08-196; 08-196 Ext. 1; and 08-217	1	Attempted murder; shooting from a motor vehicle; assault with a firearm; assault with a semiautomatic firearm	1				1	Attempted murder; shooting from a motor vehicle; assault with a firearm
	2012	07/26/10 and 09/13/10	10-144 and 10-144 Ext. 1	1	Murder	1				1	Murder
	2012	09/17/10 and 09/23/10	10-183 and 10-190	1	Murder; robbery					1	Robbery
	2012	12/13/10	10-240	3	Murder; possession of a firearm by a felon; voluntary manslaughter	1				3	Murder; possession of a firearm by a felon
	2012	03/05/12, 04/03/12, 05/02/12,	12-23; 12-45; 12-45 Ext. 1; 12-52; 12-68;	1	Possession of a firearm by a felon (two priors)					1	Possession of a firearm by a felon (two priors)

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
		04/17/12, 05/14/12, 06/15/12, 07/13/12, and 07/06/12	12-94; 12-04 Ext. 1; and 12-108								
	2012	10/09/08	08-182	4	Murder; conspiracy to commit a crime; solicitation to commit a crime	1				1	Conspiracy to commit a crime
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012	02/11/10, 03/12/10, 04/09/10, 02/25/10, 03/26/10, and 03/08/10	10-02; 10-02 Ext. 1; 10-02 Ext. 2; 10-32; 10-32 Ext. 1; and 10-43	1	Murder; robbery; involuntary manslaughter					1	Involuntary manslaughter
	2012		06-191; 06-191 Ext. 1; 06-191 Ext. 2; 06-191 Ext. 3; 06-191 Ext. 4; 06-191 Ext. 5; 06-191 Ext. 6; 06-230; 06-230 Ext. 1; 06-230 Ext. 2; 06-241; 06-241 Ext. 1; 06-241 Ext. 2; 06-241 Ext. 3; 06-241 Ext. 4; 06-241 Ext. 5; 06-255; 06-255 Ext. 1; 06-255 Ext. 2; 06-255 Ext. 3; 06-255 Ext. 4; 06-255 Ext. 5; 06-255 Ext. 6; 06-255 Ext. 7; 07-04; 07-04 Ext. 1; 07-04 Ext. 2; 07-04 Ext. 3; 07-04 4 Ext. 4; 07-04 Ext. 5; 07-04 Ext. 6;	7	Conspiracy to commit a crime; sale of Phencyclidine; possession for sale of Phencyclidine					1	Conspiracy to commit a crime; sale of Phencyclidine, possession for sale of Phencyclidine

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
			07-23; 07-23 Ext. 1; 07-23 Ext. 2; 07-38; 07-38 Ext. 1; 07-132; 07-132 Ext. 1; 07-132 Ext. 2; 07-133; 07-133 Ext. 1; 07-133 Ext. 2; 07-136; 07-179; and 07-226								
	2012	12/15/10, 01/13/11, 02/10/11, and 02/04/11	10-226; 10-226 Ext. 1; 10-226 Ext. 2; and 11-24	3	Murder; attempted murder	2				2	Murder; attempted murder
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012	12/15/10, 01/13/11, 02/10/11, and 02/04/11	10-226; 10-226 Ext. 1; 10-226 Ext. 2; and 11-24	3	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession of a firearm by a felon; unlawful firearm activity; unlicensed business/sale of concealable firearms	3				3	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession of a firearm by a felon; unlawful firearm activity
	2012	12/15/10, 01/13/11, 02/10/11, and 02/04/11	10-226; 10-226 Ext. 1; 10-226 Ext. 2; and 11-24	3	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of cocaine base					3	Conspiracy to commit a crime; possession for sale of cocaine base
	2012	03/18/10, 04/16/10, 05/07/10, and 06/18/10	10-34; 10-34 Ext. 1; 10-34 Ext. 2; 10-34 Ext. 3	4	Attempted murder; short barreled shotgun or rifle activity; assault by means to produce great bodily injury; vandalism; receiving stolen property; grand theft; street gang activity; possession for sale of a controlled substance; sale/transportation/ offer to sell controlled substance; assault with firearm; possession of marijuana for sale;	1				1	Attempted murder; short barreled shotgun or rifle activity; vandalism; receiving stolen property; grand theft; street gang activity

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions**  
**Conducted in Prior Years**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
				Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
					sale/ transportation/ offer to sell marijuana; possession of a firearm by a felon						
	2012	03/18/10, 04/16/10, 05/07/10, and 06/18/10	10-34; 10-34 Ext. 1; 10-34 Ext. 2; and 10-34 Ext. 3	4	Attempted murder; assault with a semiautomatic firearm; shooting at an inhabited dwelling; assault on a peace officer with a semiautomatic firearm; carrying a loaded firearm	1				2	Attempted murder; assault with a semiautomatic firearm; shooting at an inhabited dwelling; assault on a peace officer with a semiautomatic firearm; carrying a loaded firearm
	2012	01/15/09, 02/13/09, 03/16/09, 02/13/09, 03/16/09, and 02/27/09	09/01; 09-01 Ext. 1; 09-01 Ext. 2; 09-15; 09-15 Ext. 1; and 09-32	3	Murder; attempted murder	3				3	Murder; attempted murder
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012	03/05/12, 04/03/12, 05/02/12, 04/17/12, 05/14/12, 06/15/12, 07/13/12, and 07/06/12	12-23; 12-45; 12-45 Ext. 1; 12-52; 12-68, 12-94; 12-94 Ext. 1; and 12-108	4	Residential burglary; receiving stolen property					1	Residential burglary, receiving stolen property

**Table 9**  
**List of Electronic Interception Orders**  
**Approved But Never Installed or Not Used**  
**Calendar Year 2014**

Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Installed	Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Used
2013-ALA-05	2013-LA-604
2013-LA-623	2013-LA-698
2013-LA-652	2013-SHA-1
2013-LA-725	
2013-LA-733	
2013-RIV-711	
2013-RIV-752	
2013-RIV-793	
2013-RIV-848	
2013-RIV-879	
2013-RIV-880	
2013-RIV-883	
2013-RIV-918	
2013-SJ-30	

## *Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62*

### *“Report by Attorney General”*

(a) The Attorney General shall prepare and submit an annual report to the Legislature, the Judicial Council, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts on interceptions conducted under the authority of this chapter during the preceding year. Information for this report shall be provided to the Attorney General by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order pursuant to this chapter.

(b) The report shall include all of the following data:

- (1) The number of orders or extensions applied for.
- (2) The kinds of orders or extensions applied for.
- (3) The fact that the order or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied.
- (4) The number of wire or electronic communication devices that are the subject of each order granted.
- (5) The period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order.
- (6) The offense specified in the order or application, or extension of an order.
- (7) The identity of the applying law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application.
- (8) The nature of the facilities from which or the place where communications were to be intercepted.
- (9) A general description of the interceptions made under the order or extension, including (A) the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, (B) the number of communications intercepted, (c) the percentage of other communications intercepted, and (d) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the interceptions.
- (10) The number of arrests resulting from interceptions made under the order or extension, and the offenses for which arrests were made.
- (11) The number of trials resulting from the interceptions.
- (12) The number of motions to suppress made with respect to the interceptions, and the number granted or denied.
- (13) The number of convictions resulting from the interceptions and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions.
- (14) Except with regard to the initial report required by this section, the information required by paragraphs (9) to (13), inclusive, with respect to orders or extensions obtained in a preceding calendar year.
- (15) The date of the order for service of inventory made pursuant to Section 629.68, confirmation of compliance with the order, and the number of notices sent.
- (16) Other data that the Legislature, the Judicial Council, or the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall require.

(c) The annual report shall be filed no later than April of each year, and shall also include a summary analysis of the data reported pursuant to subdivision (b). The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the content and form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to this section by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order to intercept wire or electronic communications.

(d) The Attorney General shall, upon the request of an individual making an application, provide any information known to him or her as a result of these reporting requirements that would enable the individual making an application to comply with paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 629.50.