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DECLARATION OF DAVID KAPLAN IN SUPPORT OF WARNER BROS.
ENTERTAINMENT’S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION
I, DAVID KAPLAN, declare as follows:

1. I hold the position of Senior Vice President, Intellectual Property Counsel, Worldwide Antipiracy Operations at Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc. (“Warner”). In that capacity, I oversee Warner’s antipiracy efforts worldwide, including with respect to so-called “locker” and “linking” sites, including Hotfile. I make this declaration in support of Warner’s Motion for Summary Judgment. Unless otherwise indicated, I have personal knowledge of all of the procedures and events described herein.

   **Warner’s Locker Site Enforcement System**

2. One of the many tasks performed by Warner’s Worldwide Antipiracy Operations Group to protect Warner’s content online is to identify and respond to infringement on so-called “locker sites” (sites that host and provide access to infringing content). Hotfile is an example of a locker site, as is MegaUpload.

3. Many locker sites, including Hotfile, provide no mechanism for a copyright owner to search the site directly to detect unauthorized copies of files hosted on the system. Warner therefore must search other sites in order to locate “links” to copies of infringing Warner content hosted on locker sites, and to then issue notifications of infringement to the locker sites on which the infringing files are hosted. Specifically, there are a large number of so-called “linking sites” whose purpose is to organize information and links regarding infringing files hosted on locker sites. Warner searches link sites using a highly sophisticated system in which it has invested substantial time and resources over the years, and which I describe below.

4. The scale of infringement of Warner’s works on the Internet generally – and on locker sites, including Hotfile – is vast. New infringing copies of Warner’s motion pictures and television programs are continuously being uploaded to Hotfile and other sites like it. Warner,
on its own and through vendors, detects and sends out takedown notices to Hotfile and sites like it on millions of infringing files every year, and has sent something on the order of a million notices to Hotfile alone since early 2009. To keep up with this massive volume of infringing portions of Warner’s works, including but not limited to operating the antipiracy system I describe below. In addition, as noted above, Warner also augments its in-house capabilities by working with third-party vendors to assist with locating infringing copies of Warner’s content online and issuing takedown notices.

5. Due to the volume of infringement on link and locker sites, it is common for many copyright owners and antipiracy vendors to use automated systems to scan link sites and to issue notifications of infringement to locker sites when infringing content is detected. That is the case not only in the motion picture industry, but in other copyright industries (such as the video game and music industries) as well. Warner follows this common practice.

6. Warner does not scan all linking sites (of which there are thousands) that host links to content on locker sites. Rather, to ensure the reliability and accuracy of its search results, Warner only searches specific link sites that Warner personnel have hand-selected based on a determination that the linking site is either devoted to infringing content or has discrete sections of the site (such as a dedicated “Movies” section) devoted to infringing content. Even though there are many sites that might link to Warner files hosted on locker sites, Warner limits its searching to the most notorious linking sites (currently, approximately 200). To augment its antipiracy enforcement efforts beyond those approximately two hundred sites, as discussed above, Warner retains vendors with expertise in searching for infringing content, including content linked to from other linking sites.
7. These hand-selected linking sites are then searched using sophisticated, dedicated software.

9. Many link sites enable searching by genre of content (such as movies, music, games, software, etc.). Warner’s system also takes advantage of this additional information whenever available.
Link sites are typically organized by “post pages” that contain specific links and describe the content being offered. A post page for a movie, for instance, typically contains the title of the movie and links to download the movie. There are often several links on a postpage, both because movies and television programs are often broken up into several files when hosted on locker sites, and because linking sites will often link to copies of a movie or television program on more than one locker site.

11. Again, however, Warner has designed its system to err on the side of conservatism, even if that results in fewer infringing files being identified, in order to avoid errors.

12. Once Warner has identified and collected links to infringing files using the process described above, Warner sends notices on those files, either through emails or (for some sites) web-based takedown tools offered by many locker sites, including Hotfile. (Hotfile, in its Counterclaim, calls its interface its “Special Rightsholder Account” or “SRA”).
The net result of this process is a complex search algorithm for each title and each linking site that is designed to minimize the risk that unintended files will be identified. As described, Warner takes care to ensure, as much as possible, that its system only identifies and sends notices on infringing Warner content. At several places in the process, Warner makes decisions that result in excluding (not sending notices on) potentially infringing Warner content so as to avoid sending notices on misidentified content. The cumulative effect of these decisions is a system that, by design, favors excluding files rather than potentially misidentifying files.

**Accuracy of Warner’s Enforcement System**

Warner believes its system for locating links to infringing content on linking sites (and then issuing notifications of infringement to locker sites hosting the content) to be highly accurate and reliable, and Warner has great confidence in the system. Prior to bringing its lawsuit against Hotfile, for instance, Warner had issued something on the order of four hundred thousand takedown notices to Hotfile using the system described above, but had not received any
counternotices from Hotfile users notifying Warner that the takedown had been sent in error; Warner has received only a handful of such notices subsequent to filing this case.

15. Warner’s system is not perfect; Warner has become aware at points in the past of instances in which Warner has sent out notices in error. As a matter of regular practice, when Warner has learned of an error, it has investigated it and has taken corrective action, such as to prevent recurrence of the error. If an error was of the sort that cannot be readily corrected by Warner – for instance, if a linking site’s search feature was malfunctioning and is returning incorrect results – Warner’s practice has been to suspend its searching of the site entirely until the issue can be resolved.

16. Warner’s personnel also regularly review reports of past takedowns to identify errors, learn from them, and prevent their recurrence. Over time, Warner has refined and improved those processes. In fact, when Warner learned of Hotfile’s counterclaim, it took its entire system offline it brought the system back online and only after satisfying itself that the system was reliably identifying Warner content.

17. I understand that Hotfile is suggesting in this case that Warner should have downloaded every file before issuing a takedown notice. Given the scale of the online infringement of Warner’s content on locker sites, as well as the sizes of the files typically used to commit such infringement on locker sites, it would not be practicable for Warner to download files prior to issuing a notification of infringement. (Remember that Hotfile is only one of many locker sites that host infringing Warner content and to which Warner sends notices in the manner described). The computing resources and Internet bandwidth that would be required to do so
would be immense. Because of these facts, it is extremely unusual for copyright owners or their vendors who send notices to locker sites in large quantities to download files prior to sending a notice. As described above, however, Warner’s system can reliably and accurately identify content without downloading the file itself.

**Notices in Hotfile’s Counterclaim**

18. Hotfile’s counterclaim identifies a number of takedown notices that Warner appears to have sent in error. As described in the following section, many of these were in fact works for which Warner was searching on behalf of Electronic Arts, Inc., but had not intended to send notices on, and several other files identified by Hotfile are, in fact, works owned by Warner notwithstanding their inclusion in Hotfile’s counterclaim.

19. Nonetheless, the balance of the counterclaim appears to reflect cases in which Warner misidentified the file and sent a mistaken takedown notice. Warner promptly investigated the mistakes identified by Hotfile and has taken corrective action, including shutting down its system entirely when it became aware of Hotfile’s counterclaim and reviewing to improve the accuracy of the system and prevent the recurrence of the errors identified by Hotfile’s counterclaim. The mistakes identified by Hotfile are just that – unintended mistakes. In none of the cases identified by Hotfile did Warner know or believe, at the time it sent the notice in question, that the notice was not accurate.

20. I understand that Hotfile is also suggesting in this case that Warner’s process was deficient because Warner. I note that many of the files in Hotfile’s Counterclaim were actually not generated by Warner’s described above, but rather were located by one of Warner’s vendors, a French company called LeakID, whose process differs from Warner’s and
As with takedowns generated entirely by Warner’s internal process, Warner has confidence in the accuracy of Warner’s system for issuing takedown notices based on infringing links found by LeakID. Nonetheless, human processes can also make mistakes, as occurred in the case of the LeakID links complained of by Hotfile. Again, these errors were entirely unintentional and were unknown to Warner until Hotfile notified Warner of the errors through its counterclaim. And again, Warner promptly investigated the cause of the error with LeakID and reassured itself that steps were in place to prevent the same mistake from recurring.

**Warner’s Antipiracy Work with Electronic Arts**

21. Warner is not solely an owner of motion picture and television programming rights; it also has a substantial business in video games. This includes a business arrangement with Electronic Arts, Inc. (“EA”), whereby Warner holds the rights to distribute EA works in Brazil. As part of this business relationship, the two companies also coordinate some of their online antipiracy activity. In early 2011, Warner and EA were working together on a plan to leverage Warner’s antipiracy system to locate infringing copies of EA files on locker sites, which Warner would then supply to EA so that EA could issue takedown notices on those files.

Unknown to Warner, at the beginning of this process, when Warner first began scanning for EA works, Warner inadvertently sent takedown notices on those EA titles to Hotfile. The issue was resolved quickly (within approximately a week) when the revisions to Warner’s system to search for EA titles were completed. Indeed, Warner did not even know that it had sent notices on EA works back in February 2011 until Hotfile complained about it months later as part of this litigation.
Warner Works in Counterclaim

22. I understand that Hotfile’s Counterclaim includes the allegation that Warner wrongfully took down nineteen files that represent a video game, F.E.A.R. 2 Project Origin. Rights in F.E.A.R. 2 Project Origin are in fact owned Warner and distributed by a Warner affiliate, Warner Bros. Interactive Entertainment, and are not authorized to be distributed through Hotfile.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed in the State of California this ___ day of February, 2012.

David Kaplan