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IP in Trade Agreements

- IP first added to WTO/GATT in 1994 with TRIPS on the theory is that failure to protect IP is an unfair trade barrier
- However IP is *itself* often a trade barrier

Examples

- **Patents:** The Apple-Samsung patent dispute has affected trade in smartphones and tablets between the USA and Korea.
- **Trademarks:** The use of “UGG” as a trademark for sheepskin boots created a trade dispute between the USA and Australia.
- **Geographical indicators:** prevent United States vignerons from marketing champagne and cognac under those names.
- **Copyright:** The most iconic image of Albert Einstein is in the public domain in Canada, but cannot be exported to the USA.
Status Quo of IP in TPP

Leaked information – but nothing is agreed until everything is agreed

In?
WCT-like anti-circumvention
ACTA-like IP enforcement
Restatement of three step test

Out?
Limitations on parallel importation
Pre-grant patent opposition ban
Protection of temporary copies

In dispute?
Extension of copyright term
Notice and takedown regime
Investor-state dispute settlement

Overall issues relevant to IP
Transparency
Local content quotas
Regulatory coherence
Investor State Dispute Settlement
IP in TTIP

In?
Geog’ical Indicators
Patentability
Trade secrets

Out?
IP enforcement
Intermediary liability
Data protection

Unknown
Copyright term
Seeds
Trademarks

Overall issues relevant to IP

Transparency
Regulatory coherence
Investor State Dispute Settlement
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Transparency

- The TPP has been notorious for its lack of transparency, which TTIP emulates
  - No release of negotiating texts
  - Limited and uncoordinated release of background materials
  - Individual countries may conduct their own briefings
  - Some allowed cleared advisers access under NDA

- It is false to claim that negotiating texts are not released in other IP venues
  - WIPO releases negotiating texts and allows civil society observers
  - The proposed Free Trade Area of the Americas (FTAA) text was released as a draft in 2001
Participation

- No observers are allowed to attend negotiations
- Until last year negotiation rounds included open space for presentations and/or receptions with negotiators

Civil society groups are planning a side meeting for negotiators during the next round in Vancouver Ottawa. Officials are refusing even to tell us the name of the conference centre.
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- Emerging global norms require multi-stakeholder participation in development of policies that affect the Internet

> Internet governance should be built on democratic, multistakeholder processes, ensuring the meaningful and accountable participation of all stakeholders

– NETmundial Multistakeholder Statement, 2014
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If IP is in, What Should it Look Like?

Example text that could be used for an “IP lite” chapter:

*The parties affirm their existing rights and obligations* . . .  
*nothing in this Chapter shall derogate from existing rights and obligations under [TRIPS, etc]*
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Example text that could be used for an “IP lite” chapter:

The parties affirm their existing rights and obligations . . . nothing in this Chapter shall derogate from existing rights and obligations under [TRIPS, etc]

The Parties recognise the need to achieve a balance between the rights of right holders and the legitimate interests of users and the community with regard to protected subject matter.

The Parties may establish limitations and exceptions in their domestic laws as acceptable under the Berne Convention, the TRIPS Agreement, the WCT and the WPPT.
This Text Comes From the (Former) TPP!
P4 (TPSEP – Trans-Pacific Strategic Economic Partnership)

- The TPP’s predecessor signed in 2005 and entered into force in 2008
- Parties are Singapore, New Zealand, Chile and Brunei
- Not superseded by the TPP – will continue in effect regardless
- Includes chapters on goods, services, IPR, SPS/TBT, competition and procurement
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- The TPP’s predecessor signed in 2005 and entered into force in 2008
- Parties are Singapore, New Zealand, Chile and Brunei
- Not superseded by the TPP – will continue in effect regardless
- Includes chapters on goods, services, IPR, SPS/TBT, competition and procurement

If TTIP will include IP, the P4 provides a better model.
Process Recommendations

- Stakeholders should have ongoing access to the text without non-disclosure obligations
- Parties to the negotiation should publish the draft text of the agreement before any final agreement is signed with sufficient time to enable effective legislative scrutiny and public debate
  - [http://www.TPPMPsforTransparency.org](http://www.TPPMPsforTransparency.org)
- Venues of negotiation rounds should be released to the public at the same time as they are revealed to negotiators
- All negotiation rounds should include accessible, open public engagement events
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Summary

• IP is as often a trade barrier as a trade enabler
• A better approach to IP in trade agreements is to
  • Affirm existing international obligations from specialised bodies
  • Emphasize flexibilities that support rather than inhibit trade
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• As trade agreements move away from tariff negotiation, past practices of secrecy are no longer appropriate
  • Transparency requires release and active dissemination of text and briefings
  • Participation requires access to rounds and the ability to observe and comment

• Process shortcomings common to TPP and TTIP may undo both