

1 JOSEPH M. BURTON - #142105
STEPHEN H. SUTRO - #172168
2 DUANE MORRIS LLP
100 Spear Street, Suite 1500
3 San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 371-2200
4 Facsimile: (415) 371-2201

5 Attorneys for Defendant
ELCOMSOFT COMPANY, LTD.
6

7 SPECIALLY APPEARING AS OF COUNSEL:
KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
8 JOHN W. KEKER - #49092
DARALYN J. DURIE - #169825
9 MICHAEL D. CELIO - #197998
710 Sansome Street
10 San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
Telephone: (415) 391-5400
11 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

12
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 SAN JOSE DIVISION
16

17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
18
19 Plaintiff,
20 v.
21 ELCOM LTD., a/k/a ELCOMSOFT CO.,
LTD., and DMITRY SKLYAROV,
22 Defendants.

Case No. CR 01-20138 RMW

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO DISMISS BASED ON
FIRST AMENDMENT**

Date: April 1, 2002
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. Introduction.....1

II. Background:.....1

 A. The Indictment.....1

 B. The DMCA.....2

III. Argument.....3

 A. The DMCA Violates the First Amendment Because It Burdens More
 Speech Than Is Necessary to Serve the Government’s Interest.....3

 1. Computer Code is Speech Protected By the First Amendment.....3

 2. The DMCA Is Subject To Strict Scrutiny Because It Is A
 Content Based Restriction On Speech.....6

 3. The DMCA Cannot Survive Strict Scrutiny.....9

 4. The DMCA Cannot Survive Even Intermediate Scrutiny.....11

 B. The DMCA Impermissibly Burdens the First Amendment Rights of
 Third Parties.....12

 1. The DMCA Impairs The First Amendment Right To Access
 Non Copyrighted Works.....13

 2. Congress Cannot Preclude Users From Exercising a First
 Amendment Right to Make Fair Use of Copyrighted Works.....13

 C. The DMCA Is Unconstitutionally Vague.....16

 D. The DMCA Is Not A Valid Exercise of Congress’ Enumerated Powers.....17

IV. Conclusion.....19

1 **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

2 **FEDERAL CASES**

3 *American Booksellers v. Hudnut*,
4 771 F.2d 3239

5 *Atari, Inc. v. JS & A Group, Inc.*,
6 747 F.2d 142216

7 *Baker v. Selden*,
8 101 U.S. 9919

9 *Bartnicki v. Vopper* ,
10 532, U.S. 514,121 S.Ct. 17537

11 *Bernstein v. United States Department of State*,
12 922 F.Supp. 14266

13 *Broaderick v. Oklahoma*
14 413 U.S. 601 (1973).....10

15 *Chicago Lock Co. v. Fanberg*,
16 676 F.2d 4007, 8

17 *City of Erie v. Paps A.M.*,
18 120 S.Ct. 1382.....12

19 *City of Houston v. Hill*,
20 482 U.S. 4519

21 *Clark v. Community for Creative Non-Violence*,
22 468 U.S. 28812

23 *Denver Area Educational Television Consortium v. FCC*,
24 518 U.S. 7276

25 *Eldred v. Reno*,
26 239 F.3d, 372, 376 (D.C. Cir. 2001).....15

27 *Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co., Inc.*,
28 499 U.S. 34015, 19

First National Bank of Boston v. Bellotti,
435 U.S. 76513, 14

Forsyth County v. The Nationalist Movement,
505 U.S. 1239

Graham v. John Deere Co.,
383 U.S. 119

Harper & Row v. Nation Enterprises,
471 U.S. 53915, 16

