

**United States Court of Appeals  
for the Ninth Circuit**

---

PERFECT 10, INC.,

*Plaintiff-Appellant-Cross-Appellee,*

– v. –

GOOGLE, INC.,

*Defendant-Appellee-Cross Appellant.*

---

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL  
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, HON. A. HOWARD MATZ, USDC NO. CV 04-9484  
AHM (SHX) CONSOLIDATED WITH CV 05-4753 AHM (SHX)

---

**BRIEF FOR *AMICI CURIAE*  
RECORDING INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA  
AND NATIONAL MUSIC PUBLISHERS' ASSOCIATION  
IN SUPPORT OF NEITHER PARTY**

---

*Of Counsel*

KENNETH L. DOROSHOW  
LINDA J. ZIRKELBACH  
Recording Industry Association  
of America  
1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 751-0101

ROBERT W. CLARIDA  
RICHARD S. MANDEL  
JONATHAN Z. KING  
COWAN, LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Amici Curiae*  
1133 Avenue of the Americas, 35<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
Tel.: (212) 790-9200  
Fax: (212) 575-0671

JACQUELINE C. CHARLESWORTH  
National Music Publishers'  
Association  
101 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 705 East  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
(202) 742-4375

---

**RULE 26.1 STATEMENT OF PROPOSED *AMICI CURIAE***

Pursuant to Rule 26.1 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, proposed *amici curiae*, the Recording Industry Association of America, Inc. and the National Music Publishers' Association, hereby state that neither entity has a parent corporation and that no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of their respective stock.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 6, 2006

COWAN, LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN, P.C.  
Attorneys for *Amici Curiae* Recording Industry  
Association of America and National Music  
Publishers' Association

By: 

Richard S. Mandel

Jonathan Z. King

Robert W. Clarida

1133 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036-6799

*Of Counsel:*

Kenneth L. Doroshow  
Linda J. Zirkelbach  
Recording Industry Association of America  
1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 400  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 751-0101

Jacqueline C. Charlesworth  
National Music Publishers' Association  
101 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 705 East  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
202) 742-4375

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE.....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	3
ARGUMENT.....	6
I. THE DECISION BELOW CREATES AN INSUPERABLE EVIDENTIARY THRESHOLD FOR PLAINTIFFS IN ESTABLISHING DIRECT COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.....	6
A. The Law Permits Courts to Infer Direct Infringement.....	8
B. An Inference of Direct Infringement Is Appropriate Here .....	10
II. THE DECISION BELOW APPLIED AN ERRONEOUS LEGAL ANALYSIS AS TO CONTRIBUTORY INFRINGEMENT AND VICARIOUS LIABILITY FOR LINKING SITES .....	12
A. Contributory Infringement .....	13
1. Knowledge .....	13
a. The Court’s Focus on File-by-File Notice Misstates the Knowledge Requirement of Contributory Infringement	13
b. The Court Erroneously Allowed Substantial Noninfringing Use to Negate Constructive Knowledge	18
2. Material Contribution .....	22
a. The Court Erroneously Required But-For Causation, Not Material Contribution .....	22
b. The Court Erroneously Required A Close Financial Nexus Between Google’s AdSense Payments and the Infringing Activity.....	24
B. The District Court Improperly Analyzed Google’s Right and Ability to Control Infringing Activity .....	26
III. THE DECISION BELOW ERRONEOUSLY APPLIED A BLANKET RULE PRECLUDING DIRECT LIABILITY FOR LINKING TO INFRINGING CONTENT .....	28
CONCLUSION.....	32

